



# Effect of Bio-fertilizers on Yield and Its Attributing Traits on Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.)

Abhinav Singh <sup>a+++\*</sup>, Devi Singh <sup>b#</sup> and C. John Wesley <sup>c#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture (Vegetable Science), Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>c</sup> Centre for Geospatial Technologies VIAET, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92495

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103805>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/05/2023

Accepted: 26/07/2023

Published: 02/08/2023

## ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Effect of Bio-Fertilizers on Yield and Its Attributing Traits on Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.)" was carried out during October, 2022 to April 2023 at Horticultural Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences. It was concluded that the application of bio fertilizer treatments rendered their significant effect on almost all the growth, developmental as well as yield characters of fennel. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments in three replications as follows- T<sub>0</sub>- RDF(50:10:10 kg /ha), T<sub>1</sub>-90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub>- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>3</sub>- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>4</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>5</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub> -90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Associate Professor,

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: abhinavsingh1197@gmail.com;

(5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha), T<sub>8</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha). The treatment T<sub>7</sub>, i.e. 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) application was found superior in terms of plant height (203.47cm), number of branches (15.55), days taken for 50% flowering (105.33 days), days taken for maturity (159.68 days), number of umbels per plant (14.61), number of umbelletes per umbel (23.16), number of seeds per umbelletes (33.90), seed yield per plant (30.80 g/plant), seed yield per hectare (2.41 t/ha) and test weight (7.17 g). Among the different treatments the highest gross return (Rs/ha) (3,61,500), net return (Rs/ha) (2,42,04.7), benefit: cost ratio (3.02) was also obtained from treatment (T<sub>7</sub>), that is, 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha).

**Keywords:** Fennel; azotobacter; Azospirillum; PSB; growth; yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.) is one of the most important seed spice crops grown in India belongs to the family Apiaceae (Umbelliferae), grown for its seeds. It is widely cultivated throughout the temperate and tropical regions of the world and is thought to be the native of southern Europe and Mediterranean region. It is perennial, but it is grown as annual or biennial. It is cultivated throughout the temperate and subtropical regions of the world for its aromatic seeds which are used for culinary purpose. Plants have dark green or bronze wispy leaves with yellow flowers on compound umbels and are cross pollinated [1].

The seeds of fennel have been used for their flavour and spice in food industry. Fennel seeds are useful in various ailments as it contains phytonutrients and antioxidants. The anethole, makes fennel highly nutritious and powerful. It has been used to stimulate lactation in animals. It is a remedy against colic, cough and asthma and is a safeguard against blindness” [2-8]. “It has number of pharmaceutical uses and it is the most important medicinal crop that finds use in the indigenous ‘Unani’ and allopathic system of medicines. Phytochemicals found in fennel fruit have been shown in bio pharmacological investigations to be effective as an antioxidant, anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal, antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory, chemopreventive, hepatoprotective, memory – enhancing, anti-aging, antidiabetic, and insecticide [1,9-13].

India accounts about 45% of the global spice export. Major production centres of fennel in India are Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Karnataka. In India, fennel is cultivated over an area of 1,00,000 ha with

production of 1,43,000 m ton and productivity of 1430 kg/ha [14-20].

Indian farmers pay reasonable attention to cultivation, especially in respect of seed bed preparation, manuring and irrigation, however sufficient attention has not been paid to fertilizer management aspect which remains one of the constraints in boosting up the production [21]. Among the several agro techniques, the proper supply of major nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are of greater importance. Nitrogen is an essential constituent of protein, chlorophyll and nitrogen is present in many compounds of physiological importance in crop metabolism such as nucleotides, phospholipids, alkaloids, enzymes, hormones and vitamins etc. Nitrogen promotes growth of leaves and stem. Phosphorus, being the constituent of nucleic acid and phospholipids is also very essential for proper development of crops. It imparts hardness to shoot, improves grain quality, regulates photosynthesis, governs physiochemical processes and helps in the enlargement of cell, develop resistant to diseases and fixation of phosphorus[22,23-28].

Bio fertilizers are biological preparation of efficient microorganisms that promote plant growth by improving nutrient acquisition. It plays an important role in crop production as it acts on soil physical properties, facilitates the proper movement of air, water as well as absorption of rain water [29-32]. It adds plant nutrient to the soil and organic acid during dry matter decomposition, which acts on the insoluble nutrient reserve in the soil and make them available. Bio fertilizer or microbial preparations of live or latent cells of efficient strain of nitrogen fixing microorganism in soil or rhizosphere and consequently improve the extent of microbiologically fixed nitrogen for plant growth [33-36].

Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) solubilizes the unavailable bound phosphate of the soil and make them available to plants which increase overall plant growth thus helps to improve quality and quantity of yield. Azospirillum is an associate symbiotic nitrogen fixer, aerobic free living does the job of making the atmospheric nitrogen available to various crop. Azotobacter spp. are non-symbiotic heterotrophic bacteria capable of fixing an average 20 kg N/ha per year [37,38]. It can fix nitrogen directly from the atmosphere that help plants for better grain production. Azotobacter plays an important role to fix nitrogen in the nitrogen cycle.

Considering the need for proper fertilizer management in fennel, the goal of the study was to identify the significance of Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB along with N and P on growth, yield and quality of fennel.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled “Effect of Bio-Fertilizers on Yield and Its Attributing Traits on Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L)” was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences during 2022-2023. The experiment was conducted in the site with latitude of 20° 15° North and longitude of 60° 3” East and at an altitude of 98 meters above the mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments in three replications as follows- T<sub>0</sub>- RDF(50:10:10 kg /ha), T<sub>1</sub>-90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub>- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>3</sub>- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>4</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>5</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub> -90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha), T<sub>8</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha). Fennel variety Ajmer fennel-1 was grown in open field and biofertilizers were applied in the soil. The experiment included application of biofertilizers such as Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB were applied at required quantity as per the treatment combination before transplanting to all the plots in the field. The fertilizer urea containing 46% N and single super phosphate (SSP) containing 16% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as basal dose was drilled as nitrogen and phosphorous source before transplanting as per treatments all the package of practices were followed as per

recommendation to raise a quality crop. Five plants were selected randomly from each treatment per replication and the observations were recorded on growth, yield and quality parameters on these plants. The data were statistically analysed by the method suggested by Fisher and Yates, 1963.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to effect of bio-fertilizers on vegetative growth parameters like plant height, number of branches at different stages of growth and developmental parameters like days to 50% flowering and days to maturity in fennel are depicted in Table 1.

Plant height statistically varies among different biofertilizers. Maximum plant height was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (203.47 cm), followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (200.88 cm) and the shortest is found in the treatment T<sub>0</sub>-Control (182.44 cm). The increased plant height might be because of biofertilizers such as Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB which can directly increase plant growth by enhancing atmospheric nitrogen fixation, better proliferation of roots and higher uptake of nutrients. Among the bio-fertilizers azospirillum secrete bioactive substances (IAA, Cytokinins, Gibberellins, Amino Acids) which have performed similar as that of growth hormones besides biological nitrogen fixation noted by Kalidasu *et al.* [39] in coriander.

Number of branches statistically varies among different concentrations of bio fertilizers. Maximum number of branches per plant recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (15.55), followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (15.11) and the lowest is found in the treatment T<sub>0</sub>-Control (11.33). The increased number of branches by the treatment with Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB performed better than control in the present investigation. The possible reason might be due to the increased rate of photosynthesis and leading to accumulation of photosynthate. This character is also found to be related with endogenous hormonal level by production of plant growth promoting hormones (IAA, cytokinins and gibberellins) and apical dominance in the plant. The findings are in close harmony with the results of Singh and Prasad (2006).

**Table 1. Effect of biofertilizers on vegetative growth and developmental parameters of fennel**

Treatments	Growth parameters		Developmental parameters	
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches	Days to 50% flowering (days)	Days to maturity (days)
T0- 50:10:10 kg /ha	182.44	11.33	115.33	170.33
T1- 90 % N + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha)	192.70	12.50	113.38	163.33
T2- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)	191.79	12.11	113.66	164.72
T3- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha)	191.08	12.05	114.66	165.16
T4- 90 % N + 90 % P + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)	197.55	15	110.38	160.77
T5- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)	194.99	13.83	112.05	163.22
T6- 90 % N + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)	196.93	14.22	111.02	162.50
T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha)	203.47	15.55	105.33	159.68
T8- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i> (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha)	200.88	15.11	108.50	160.22
<b>F-Test</b>	S	S	S	S
<b>S.ED</b>	1.70	0.49	1.16	1.54
<b>CD @ 5%</b>	3.60	1.05	2.45	3.27

**Table 2. Effect of biofertilizers on yield parameters and economics of fennel**

Treatments	Yield parameters					Economics			
	No. of umbels per plant	No. of umbellets per umbel	No. of seeds per umbellet	Seed yield per plant (g/plant)	Seed Yield per hectare (t/ha)	Test weight (g)	Gross returns (Rs/ha)	Net returns (Rs/ha)	Benefit Cost ratio
T0- 50:10:10 kg /ha	8.61	17.70	22.20	22.46	1.90	5.76	2,85,000	1,68,072.3	2.43
T1- 90 % N + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha)	11.66	19.91	28.98	26.61	2.09	6.33	3,13,500	1,97,729.2	2.70
T2- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)	11.42	19.42	27.94	25.70	2.05	6.26	3,07,500	1,92,229.2	2.66
T3- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha)	10	19.22	27.13	25.59	2	6.06	3,00,000	1,84,012.5	2.58
T4- 90 % N + 90 % P + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)	13.72	22.38	31.98	28.76	2.25	6.95	3,37,500	2,19,041.7	2.84
T5- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)	12	21.53	29.58	26.74	2.12	6.39	3,18,000	2,00,041.7	2.69
T6- 90 % N + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)	13.05	21.76	30.11	28.26	2.18	6.51	3,27,000	2,10,229.2	2.80
T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i> (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha)	14.61	23.16	33.90	30.80	2.41	7.17	3,61,500	2,42,041.7	3.02
T8- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i> (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha)	13.88	22.50	32.31	29.41	2.32	7.07	3,48,000	2,30,291.7	2.95
<b>F-Test</b>	S	S	S	S	S	S			
<b>S.ED</b>	0.56	0.65	1.13	1.28	0.06	0.28			
<b>CD @5%</b>	1.19	1.38	2.40	2.71	0.13	0.58			

Minimum days to 50% flowering was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (105.33 days) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (108.50 days) whereas the maximum was in the treatment T0 – Control (115.33 days). The reason for earliness in flowering in this treatment might be due to the fact that plants treated with Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB become physiologically more active and enable to synthesize required amount of hormone or to build up adequate food reserves. Similar results were found by Hnamte *et al.*, [40] in coriander.

Minimum days to maturity was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (159.68 days) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (160.22 days) whereas the maximum was in the treatment T0 – Control (170.33 days). The possible reason of early maturity of crop may be due to increase availability of nutrients lead into high accumulation of net photo-synthetics with optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB and availability of energy source for prolonged time. Thus, good proliferation of roots and enhance the uptake of nutrients and increase growth attributing characters [37]. Similar findings were also reported by Mandal and Sinha [41].

The data's regarding yield parameters like number of umbels per plant, number of umbelletes per umbel, number of seeds per umbelletes, seed yield(g/plant), seed yield per hectare (t/ha), test weight and economics are showed in the Table 2.

Number of umbels per plant was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum number of umbels per plant was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (14.61) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (13.88) whereas the minimum number of umbels per plant was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (8.61). The increase in number of umbels per plant with the application of this treatment containing optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorous along with

biofertilizers might be due to the increase in supply of major plant nutrients that are required in larger quantities for fruit productivity, growth and development of plants. The accessibility of phosphorous is improved by PSB (Rhizobium), nitrogen fixed from atmosphere by Azotobacter that leads to balance supply of major nutrients and ultimately contributed into the increase in number of umbels per plant [42].

Number of umbelletes per umbel was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum number of umbelletes per umbel was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (23.16) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (22.50) whereas the minimum number of umbelletes per umbel was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (17.70). The increase in number of umbelletes per umbel with the application of biofertilizers along with optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorous caused significant improvement in overall growth of crop by virtue of increased photosynthetic efficiency. Thus, greater availability of photosynthates, metabolites and nutrients to develop reproductive structures seems to have resulted in increased number of umbelletes per umbel. The present findings are in line with those reported by Giridhar kalidasu (2008), Darzi *et al.*, [43], Patel *et al.*, (2010).

Number of seeds per umbellet was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum number of seeds per umbellet was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (33.90) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (32.31) whereas the minimum number of seeds per umbellet was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (22.20). The increase in number of seeds per umbellet with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers might enhanced its availability to plants which resulted in increased photosynthetic activity and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink and this may be the cause of higher growth and yield attributes. Adequate supply of nitrogen and phosphorus play vital role in varies metabolic processes which resulted in increased flowering and improving number of seeds per umbellet. The present findings are within the close vicinity

of those reported by Giridhar Kalidasu (2008), Patel et al., (2010), Aishwath et al., [42].

Maximum seed yield per plant was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (30.80 g) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (29.41g) whereas the lowest seed yield per plant was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (22.46 g). Increase in seed yield per plant with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers is an output of sequential metamorphosis from source to sink. Hence higher growth parameters in turn resulted in increasing the seed yield. Partitioning of photosynthates in vegetative and reproductive parts those simultaneously in the later growth phases which resulted in higher seed yield. These results are in accordance with the findings of Naimuddin et al., (2014) and Raiyani et al., (2018) in fenugreek.

Seed yield per hectare was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum seed yield per hectare was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (2.41t/ha) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (2.32 t/ha) whereas the lowest seed yield per hectare was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (1.90 t/ha).

Test weight was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum test weight was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (7.17) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (7.07) whereas the lowest test weight was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (5.76). The significant improvement in test weight with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers might be due to the increased supply of easily unavailable nutrients into available form. Moreover, biofertilizers also perform better when soil is well supplied with nutrients, resulting in vigorous growth and quality seed production. The positive effects of biofertilizers on quality parameters are also reported by Patel et al., (2003) in fennel.

In case of economic parameter, T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) gained maximum gross return (Rs/ha) (3,61,500), net return (Rs/ha) (2,42,041.7) and benefit: cost ratio (3.02).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it may be concluded that the treatment T7 i.e. application of 90% N +90% P +Azotobacter(5kg/ha) +Azospirillum(5kg/ha) +PSB(5kg/ha), proved to be superior to other treatments in regarding all vegetative, developmental and yield parameters like plant height, number of branches, number of seeds per umbel, number of umbels per plant, number of umbelletes per umbel, seed yield per plant, seed yield per hectare, test weight and economics. Earliness in flowering and seed maturity was also observed in treatment T7. Thus the application of biofertilizers to increase sustainable crop productivity of sweet fennel and the avoidance of synthetic fertilizers as well as increased health advantages written on revenue and fewer environmental damage.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Hossam SE, Nada RS, Ashmawi E, Abdullah EG, Ahamad AE, Ahamad AS, Awad NA, Salem MA, Mostafa MZ, Randhir TO. Effect of Organic and Bio-Fertilization on Fruit yield, Bioactive Constituents, and Estragole content in Fennel Fruits. *Agronomy*. 2023;13(5):1189.
2. Abdallah I, Amer A, Dalia E. Influence of herbicides under biofertilizer application on fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) yield and quality with special reference to herbicide residues, *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*. 2021;45:77.
3. Akhani A, Darzi MT, Hadi MHS. Effects of biofertilizer and plant density on yield components and seed yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). *Int J Agric Crop Sci*. 2012;4(16):1205-1211.
4. Alkaff HA, Saeed OS, Salim AZ. Effect of biofertilizer, inorganic, organic and foliar application of Power 4 on the productivity of onion. *Univ Aden J Nat Appl Sci*. 2002;6(1):1-14.

5. Amiri A, Rafrie M. Effect of soil inoculation with *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* bacteria in nitrogen use efficiency and agronomic characteristics of corn. *Ann Biol Res.* 2013;14(2):77-79.
6. Ardalan Ghilavizadeh, Darzi MT, Haj Seyed Hadi M. Effects of biofertilizer and plant density on essential oil content and yield traits of Ajowan (*Carum copticum*). *Middle-East J Sci Res.* 2013;14(11):1508-1512.
7. Bastami A, Majidian M. Effects of Mycorrhiza, Phosphatic Biofertilizer on Photosynthetic Pigments and Yield in Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). *J Plant Prod.* 2016;38(4):49-60.
8. Choudhary GR, Jain NK, Jat NL. Response of coriander to inorganic nitrogen, farmyard manure and biofertilizer. *Indian J Agric Sci.* 2008;78(9):761-763.
9. Darzi MT, Haj Seyed Hadi MR. Effects of the application of organic manure and biofertilizer on the fruit yield and yield components in dill (*Anethum graveolens*). *J Med Plants Res.* 2012;6:3266-3271.
10. Darzi MT, Ghalavand A, Rejali F. Effect of mycorrhiza, vermicompost and phosphate biofertilizer application on flowering biological yield and root colonization in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *Iran J Crop Sci.* 2008;10:88-109.
11. Darzi MT, Ghalavand A, Rejali F, Sefidkon F. Effects of biofertilizers application on yield and yield components in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *Iran J Med Aromatic Plants.* 2007;22:276-292.
12. Darzi MT, Haj Seyyed Hadi M, Rejali F. Effects of applying manure and biological fertilizers on biomass yield, seed yield and essential oils content of coriander. *Q Med Plants.* 2011;9:77-90.
13. Yadav D, Prasad VM, Gujar KD. Effect of different biofertilizers in association with phosphorus on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) – a white onion var. *Indwo.* *New Agriculturist.* 2005;16(1-2):87-89.
14. Devi AKB, Limi Ado. Effect of fertilizers and biofertilizers on physiological growth parameters of multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum* Don.). *Indian J Agric Sci.* 2005;75(6):352-354.
15. Shahmohammadi F, Darzi MT, Haj Seyed Hadi M. Influence of compost and biofertilizer on yield and essential oil of dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.). *Int J Adv Biol Biomed Res.* 2013;2(2):446-455.
16. Aswani G, Paliwal R, Sarolia DK. Effect of nitrogen and biofertilizer on yield and quality of rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L) cv. Puna Red. *Agric Sci Digest.* 2005;25(2):124-126.
17. Hussein AH, Ahl SA, Atef MZ, Sarhan, Dahab MA, El-Shahat N, Zeid A, Ali MS, Naguib NY. Growth and Chemical Composition of Dill Affected by Nitrogen and BioFertilizers. *Int J Life Sci Eng.* 2015;1(2):75-84.
18. Ibrahim ME, Rabhu HA, Motawe HM, Hussein HM. Improved growth, yield of seeds and oil production of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* var. *vulgare*) plants. *J Mater Environ Sci.* 2020;11(7):1112-1120.
19. Jat BL, Shakhawat MS, Poonia TC. Effect of phosphorus, sulfur and biofertilizers on productivity and soil fertility of fenugreek and their residual effect on pearl millet. *Ann Agric Res New Series.* 2003;24(2):383-389.
20. Koocheki A, Tabrizi L, Ghorbani R. Effect of biofertilizers on agronomic and quality criteria of Hyssop (*Hyssopus officinalis*). *Iran J Agron Res.* 2009;6:127-137.
21. Desai SS, Chaudhary MG, Patel RS, Joshi JR. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield and Economics of Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2020;9(11):3712-3719
22. Sathyanarayana E, Hadole SS, Ghawade SM. Effect of nutrient levels on nutrient uptake by Ajwain crop (*Trachyspermum ammi* L. Sprague). *International Journal of Chemical Studies.* 2017;5(4):1987-90.
23. Koyani CR, Chovatia PK, Gohil BS. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield attributes and yield of rabi fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). In: *Agriculture: Towards a New Paradigm of Sustainability.* ISBN. 2012:978-93-83083-64-0.
24. Kumar S, Choudhary GR, Chaudhari AC. Effects of nitrogen and biofertilizers on the yield and quality of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). *Ann Agric Res.* 2002;23(4):634-637.
25. Mahfouz, Hassan FAS. Partial substitution of mineral nitrogen fertilizer by bio-fertilizer on (*Anethum graveolens* L.) plant. *Agric Biol J North Am.* 2011;2(4):652-660.
26. Mahfouz SA, Sharaf Eldin MA. Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on growth, yield and essential oil content of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill). *Int Agrophis.* 2007;21:361-366.

27. Shirkhodaei M, Darzi MT. The effects of organic manure and biofertilizer application on some essential oil constituents of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Int J Basic Sci Appl Res. 2014;3(5):274-280.
28. Korla MK, B.N. Studies on influence of bio-fertilizers on quality and economics of cauliflower cv. PSB K-1 production. Indian J Hort. 2012;69(2):215-220.
29. Moradi R, Rezvani Moghaddam P, Nasiri Mahallati M, Lakzian A. The effect of application of organic and biological fertilizers on yield, yield components and essential oil of *Foeniculum vulgare* (Fennel). Iran J Agron Resour. 2010;7:625-635.
30. Moradi R, Rezvani Moghaddam P, Nasiri Mahallati M, Nezhadali A. Effects of organic and biological fertilizers on fruit yield and essential oil of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* var. dulce). Spanish J Agric Res. 2011;9(2):546-553.
31. Mounika Y, Sivaram GT, Reddy PSS, Ramaiah M. Effect of biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth, leaf yield and quality of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) cv. Sadhana. J Horticult Sci. 2017;12(2):113-117.
32. Mishra N, Singh CP, Mishra US. Effect of bio-fertilizers on bio-nutrients, nitrogen, total protein, extractable lipid and mineral contents of cultivated variety of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* Linn.). J Phytol. 2011;3(8):15-17.
33. Rahimi AR, Mashayekhi K, Amini S, Soltani E. Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on the growth, yield and essential oil content of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Med Aromatic Plant Sci Biotechnol. 2009. Global Science Books.
34. Singh SP. Effect of bio-fertilizer *Azospirillum* on growth and yield parameters of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) cv. Pant haritima. Indian Journal Vegetable Science. 2013;40(1):77-79.
35. Sonali RA, Soyam AP, Wagh VN, Dod PK, Nagre N, Gade RN. Effect of different biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of fenugreek. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2012;7(1):28-30.
36. Waghmode HS, Patil RS, Pndure BS. Effect of bio-fertilizer and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of onion. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010;5(1):228-230.
37. Patidar JK, Ramjan, Singh B, Mishra BK, Aiswath OP, Krisna Kant, Bhupendra Sharma, Rai RK. Influence of integrated supply of AM, PSB, Azotobacter and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and quality in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) and micro flora population in the soil. Indian J Agric Sci. 2016;86(9):1140-1144.
38. Sahu RL, Sahu H, Kumar S. Effect of application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth components and yield traits of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Int J Agric Sci. 2014;10.
39. Kalidasu G, Sarada C, Yellamanda Reddy, T. Efficacy of biofertilizers on the performance of rainfed coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) in vertisols. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2008;17:98-102.
40. Hnamte R, Chatterjee, Tania C. Growth, flowering, fruit setting and maturity behaviour of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) With organics including biofertilizers and inorganics. The Biosean. 2013;8(3):791-793.
41. Mandal KG, Sinha AC. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in foothills soils of eastern India. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2002;47(1):109-13.
42. Aishwath OP, Lal G, Kant KY, Sharma, Ali SF, Naimuddin. Influence of bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). International Journal of Seed Spices. 2012;2(2):9-14.
43. Darzi MT, Ghalavand A, Sefidkon F, Rejali F. Effects of Mycorrhiza, Vermicompost and Phosphatic Biofertilizer Application on Quantity and Quality of Essential Oil in Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Iran Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. 2009;24:396-413.

© 2023 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103805>