

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 41, Issue 9, Page 784-793, 2023; Article no.AJAEES.102003 ISSN: 2320-7027

An Economic Analysis of Production of Magur Hatcheries in Bankura District of West Bengal

Souvik Roy ^{a*} and Sanjay Kumar ^a

^a Department of Science in Agricultural Economics, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2023/v41i92104

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102003

Original Research Article

Received: 19/04/2023 Accepted: 23/06/2023 Published: 07/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted in Bankura district of West Bengal which has the highest production of Magur seed in the Hatchery. Ramsagar or 7 more villages were selected on the basis of highest no. of magur hatcheries availability. A sample of 100 farmers was surveyed for this magur seed production in the hatcheries. The sample included 12 marginal, 22 small, 30 semi-medium, 29 medium and 7 large magur seed producer in the hatchery. The analysis of data revealed that the growth rates of area and productivity were significant. On an overall basis, average cost of production of magur seed in the hatchery was Rs. 23627.27. On an average, the gross income was Rs. 60000, net income was Rs. 36372.71, the family labour income was Rs. 38812.65, the farm business income was Rs. 42613.8,the farm investment income was Rs. 38646, with cost benefit ratio 1:2.536.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: roysouvikpupai1998@gmail.com;

Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 784-793, 2023

Keywords: Magur seed; hatchery; bankura; cost; production; profitability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Magur hatcheries are present throughout India and play a crucial role in artificial breeding and rearing of Magur fish. They meet the high demand for Magur fish, contribute to species conservation. and promote sustainable aquaculture practices. Using controlled breeding techniques, these hatcheries produce healthy fingerlings that are distributed to Magur seed producers or stocked in ponds and reservoirs nationwide [1,2]. Magur fish are popular for their taste, nutritional value, and medicinal properties, and hatcheries help ensure their availability while populations. reducina pressure on wild Production figures vary across regions, with hatcheries established in states like West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, and others known for aquaculture [3-5].

Magur hatcheries in Bankura district, West Bengal, India, are essential for the artificial breeding and rearing of Magur fish. These hatcheries cater to the local demand for Magur fish, contribute to the region's fish production and economy, and follow similar processes as other Magur hatcheries [4-8]. They employ controlled breeding techniques and optimal rearing conditions to produce healthy fingerlings that are supplied to local Magur seed producers. Additionally, Magur hatcheries in Bankura district provide valuable technical support, training programs, and expertise in hatchery management. water quality maintenance. control. and feedina disease practices. enhancing the productivity of fish farming operations and supporting the local aquaculture sector's growth [9,10].

1.1 Objective

- To study the socio-economic status of the farmers in study area.
- To estimate the cost and return / qt fish seed in different size farm groups in a study area.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

The study area selected for the analysis of fish seed production was Bankura a district in West Bengal. The economic analysis was conducted in the Onda block of Bankura, which is renowned for its abundant fish hatcheries and the substantial demand for pisciculture. The study focused on several key villages, namely Ramsagar, Barpetia, Radhanagar, Panchmura, Dwarika, Chhinpur, Dhyulapur, and Mouchura, as these areas boasted the highest concentration of fish hatcheries. By examining these specific locations, the analysis aimed to gain insights into the economic dynamics, production practices, revenues, profitability, and market costs. potential of Magur hatcheries in the region. A village-wise enumeration was conducted to compile a comprehensive list of fish farmers operating hatcheries in the selected villages. A total of 100 fish farmers were randomly selected from various size groups, comprising 12 marginal, 22 small, 30 semi-medium, 29 medium, and 7 large farmers. The data collection process involved obtaining primary data directly from Magur hatchery owners through structured interviews and surveys. In addition, secondary data from relevant sources were gathered to supplement the primary data. The collected data were analyzed using various analytical tools and techniques, including cost analysis, revenue analysis, and profitability indicators. This rigorous methodology allowed for a systematic and scholarly investigation into the economic aspects of Magur hatchery production in the study area.

2.2 Analytical Tools and Technique

The different costs items are that are included under each cost concept are detailed below with their procedures.

□ Cost A1= it includes the value of: -

Cost of hatchery preparation, Cost of hired labor, Cost of all hatchery equipment, Cost of feed, Cost of medicines, Cost of manure, Cost of fertilizer, Cost of water supply charges, Upkeep charges of owned machines, Electric Charges, Cost of Hatchery Management, Miscellaneous charges, Interest on working capital, Depreciation on fixed resources, Land revenue paid to government.

The total of all these cost items makeup **cost A1**

- □ **Cost A2=** Cost A1+ Rent paid for leased-in land, if any.
- □ Cost B1= it includes Cost A1 + Rental value of owned capital assets (excluding land)

Roy and Kumar; Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 784-793, 2023; Article no.AJAEES.102003

- □ Cost B2= Cost B1 + Rental value of owned land (net of land revenue) and rent paid for leased in land.
- □ Cost C1= Cost B1 + Imputed value of family labour.
- □ Cost C2= Cost B2 + Imputed value of family labour.
- □ **Cost C3=** Cost C2 + 10 % of C2 (value of managerial cost).

2.2 Total Cost of Marketing

The total cost incurred on marketing by various intermediaries involved in the sale and purchase of the commodity till it reaches the ultimate consumer will be computed as follows:

Where:

C = total cost of marketing

Cf = cost paid by the producer from the time the produce leaves till he sells it

Cmn = cost incurred by middlemen in the process of buying and selling.

2.3 Income Measures

Gross income = Gross return – Total cost **Net income** = Gross income – Cost C

Family labour income = Gross income -

Cost B Farm business income = Gross income -

Cost A2

Farm investment income = Net income + rental value of owned land + interest on fixed capital

2.4 Benefit-Cost Ratio

Benefit-Cost Ratio = \sum Present Value of Future Benefits / \sum Present Value of Future Costs.

2.5 Arithmetic Mean (\bar{x})

Arithmetic mean is the quotient that results when sum of all items in the series is divided by the number of items (N).

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum x}{N}$$

Where,

 \overline{x} = mean $\sum x$ = Sum of each individual score N = Total number of items

2.6 Standard Deviation

Standard deviation is the positive square root of the arithmetic mean of the square of all the deviation from arithmetic mean. It is represented by the symbol (σ).

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x-\overline{x})^2}{n}}$$

Where,

_X = Each value in the data set = Mean of all value in the data set N = Total number of respondents

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics of Magur Seed Producers

The study analyzed the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of Magur seed producers based on their age groups and categories. The age structure was divided into Young (up to 40 years), Adult (41-60 years), and Old (above 60 years). The data showed that the majority of Magur seed producers fell under the Adult age group, with 69 respondents, followed by the Young and Old age groups with 12 and 19 respondents, respectively. The respondents were further categorized into Marginal, Small, Semi-Medium, Medium, and Large Magur seed producers. The study included a total of 100 respondents, with varying distributions across the different age groups and categories (Table 1).

The study examined the educational status of Magur seed producers and categorized them into different levels: Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, Intermediate, and Graduation. The data showed that among the respondents, the majority were in the Secondary education category, with 44 respondents, followed by the Primary category with 27 respondents. There were also significant numbers of respondents in the Illiterate and Intermediate categories. The study included a of 100 respondents, with total varying distributions across the educational categories (Table 2).

| SI. No | Age Group | | Categories of Magur Seed Producers | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------------|--|
| | (in years) | Marginal | Small | Semi-Medium | Medium | Large | respondents | |
| 1 | Young (upto 40) | 4 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 12 | |
| 2 | Adult (41 – 60) | 6 | 12 | 21 | 25 | 5 | 69 | |
| 3 | Old (Above 60) | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 19 | |
| Total | | 12 | 22 | 30 | 29 | 7 | 100 | |

Table 1. Age structure of magur seed producers

Table 2. Educational status of magur seed producers

| SI. No. | Educational Status | | Total no. of | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------------|
| | | Marginal | Small | Semi Medium | Medium | Large | respondents |
| 1 | Illiterate | 2 | 6 | 3 | - | - | 11 |
| 2 | Primary | 4 | 3 | 13 | 7 | - | 27 |
| 3 | Secondary | 6 | 12 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 44 |
| 4 | Intermediate | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| 5 | Graduation | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| Total | | 12 | 22 | 30 | 29 | 7 | 100 |

Table 3. Family structure of magur seed producers

| SI. No. | Family Member | | Total | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|----------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-----|
| | | Marginal | Small | Semi Medium | Medium | Large | |
| 1 | Small (upto 4) | 3 | 10 | 9 | - | - | 22 |
| 2 | Medium (5 – 6) | 9 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 5 | 74 |
| 3 | Large (above 6) | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | , | 12 | 22 | 30 | 29 | 7 | 100 |

| SI. No. | Occupation | Categories of magur seed producers | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-----|
| | | Marginal | Small | Semi Medium | Medium | Large | |
| 1 | Fish Farming | 2 | 2 | 6 | - | - | 10 |
| 2 | Fish Farming + Services | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 | - | 22 |
| 3 | Fish Farming + Business | 5 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 48 |
| 4 | Fish Farming + other works | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
| Total | - | 12 | 22 | 30 | 29 | 7 | 100 |

Table 4. Occupation of magur seed producers

The study examined the family structure of Magur seed producers and categorized them into three groups: Small (up to 4 members), Medium (5-6 members), and Large (above 6 members). The data revealed that among the respondents, the majority belonged to the Medium family structure category, with 74 respondents. This was followed by the Small category with 22 respondents. There were also a few respondents in the Large family structure category. In total, the study included 100 respondents, distributed across the different family structure categories (Table 3).

The study examined the occupation of Magur seed producers and categorized them into four groups: Fish Farming, Fish Farming + Services, Fish Farming + Business, and Fish Farming + other works. The data showed that among the respondents, the majority were engaged in Fish Farming + Business, with 48 respondents. This was followed by Fish Farming + Services with 22 respondents. There were also respondents in the Fish Farming and Fish Farming + other works categories. In total, the study included 100 respondents, distributed across the different occupation categories (Table 4).

The study analyzed the number of trays in the hatcheries and categorized them based on the size of the farmers. The results showed that among the respondents, the majority of marginal producers had less than 10 trays in their hatcheries, with a total of 12 respondents. Small farmers had 11-20 trays, with 22 respondents. Semi-medium farmers had 21-30 trays, with 30 respondents. Medium farmers had 31-40 trays,

with 29 respondents. Lastly, large farmers had more than 40 trays, with 7 respondents (Table 5).

The distribution of Magur seed producers based on their annual income was analyzed, and they were categorized into different income ranges. findinas revealed that among The the respondents, the majority of marginal farmers had an annual income below 90,000, with a total of 12 respondents. Small farmers had an income range between 90,001 and 180,000, with 22 respondents. Semi-medium farmers had an income range between 180,001 and 270,000, with 30 respondents. Medium farmers had an income range between 270,001 and 360,000, with 29 respondents. Lastly, large farmers had an annual income above 360,000, with 7 respondents (Table 6).

3.2 To Estimate the Cost of production and Farm Business Income in Different Magur Seed Producer

The cost analysis of Magur seed production in Indian hatcheries provides valuable insights into the financial aspects of fish farming for different categories of Magur seed producers, including marginal, small, semi-medium, medium, and large-scale farmers. The cost components considered in the analysis include lime organic application, manure, fertilizer. supplementary feed and feeding equipment, watching and feeding charges, electricity charges, fishing charges, miscellaneous charges, interest on working capital, interest on fixed capital, rental value of own land, depreciation, family labor charges, and managerial cost (Table 7).

| SI. No. | Categories of Proucers | No. of Trays | Total no. of Respondents |
|---------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Marginal | <10 | 12 |
| 2 | Small | 11 – 20 | 22 |
| 3 | Semi Medium | 21 – 30 | 30 |
| 4 | Medium | 31 – 40 | 29 |
| 5 | Large | >40 | 7 |

Table 5. No. of trays in the hatcheries

 Table 6. Distribution of magur seed producers based on their annual income

| SI. No. | Categories of Magur Seed Producers | Income Range | Total no. of Respondents |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Marginal | < 90000 | 12 |
| 2 | Small | 90001 – 180000 | 22 |
| 3 | Semi – Medium | 180001 – 270000 | 30 |
| 4 | Medium | 270001 – 360000 | 29 |
| 5 | Large | >360000 | 7 |

| SI | Particulars | Categories of | Magur Seed Prod | ucers | | | Sample |
|---------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|
| No. | | Marginal | Small | Semi medium | Medium | Large | Average |
| 1 | Lime application | 60 | 60 | 58 | 55 | 52 | 57 |
| 2 | Manure | 40 | 40 | 38 | 35 | 32 | 37 |
| 3 | Organic fertilizer | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 4 | Supplementary feed and feeding equipment | 90 | 90 | 90 | 85 | 80 | 87 |
| 5 | Watching and feeding charges | 200 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 175 | 189 |
| 6 | Electricity Charges | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| 7 | Fishing charges | 45 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 43.8 |
| 8 | Miscellaneous Charges | 16200 | 16120 | 15963 | 15591 | 15155 | 15880.2 |
| 9 | Interest on Working Capital @ 10% | 1620 | 1612 | 1596 | 1559 | 1515 | 1580.4 |
| Cost A | (Variable cost) | 17820 | 17732 | 17559 | 17150 | 16670 | 17386.2 |
| 10 | Interest on F.C. @7% | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 11 | Rental value of own land | 1875 | 1828.12 | 1771.87 | 1743.75 | 1725 | 1788.74 |
| 12 | Depreciation | 1240 | 1237 | 1228 | 1197 | 1160 | 1212.4 |
| Cost B | (Variable Cost + Overhead | 21735 | 21597.12 | 21358.87 | 20890.75 | 20355 | 21187.34 |
| Cost) | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Family labour charges | 300 | 300 | 290 | 290 | 280 | 292 |
| Cost C | (Cost B + charges) | 22035 | 21897.12 | 21648.87 | 21180.75 | 20635 | 21479.34 |
| 14 | Managerial cost @ 10% of cost C | 2203.5 | 2189.71 | 2164.9 | 2118.07 | 2063.5 | 2147.93 |
| Total C | Cost of Production | 24238.5 | 24086.83 | 23813.77 | 23298.82 | 22698.5 | 23627.27 |

Table 7. Cost of Production of different groups of Magur Seed Producer in the Hatcheries

| Table 8, Farm business income o | f different size groups | s of magur seed | producers in hatcheries |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | i anicient size groupe | s or magar seca | producers in natorieries |

| SI. No. | Particulars | Categories of magur seed producers | | | | | Sample Average |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| | | Marginal | Small | Semi Medium | Medium | Large | |
| 1 | Gross Income | 60000 | 60000 | 60000 | 60000 | 60000 | 60000 |
| 2 | Total cost of production | 24238.5 | 24086.83 | 23813.77 | 23298.82 | 22698.5 | 23627.27 |
| 3 | Net income (in Rs) | 35761.5 | 35913.17 | 36186.23 | 36701.18 | 37301.5 | 36372.71 |
| 4 | Family labour income (in Rs.) | 38265 | 38402.88 | 38641.13 | 39109.25 | 39645 | 38812.65 |
| 5 | Farm business income (in Rs.) | 42180 | 42268 | 42441 | 42850 | 43330 | 42613.8 |
| 6 | Farm investment income (in Rs.) | 38098.34 | 38236.22 | 38474.47 | 38942.59 | 39478.34 | 38646 |
| 7 | Benefit Cost ratio | 1:2.47 | 1:2.49 | 1:2.51 | 1:2.57 | 1:2.64 | 1:2.536 |

For marginal farmers, the total cost of production is Rs. 24,238.5. This includes expenses such as Rs. 60 for lime application, Rs. 40 for manure, Rs. 70 for organic fertilizer, Rs. 90 for supplementary feed and feeding equipment, Rs. 200 for watching and feeding charges, Rs. 700 for electricity charges, Rs. 45 for fishing charges, Rs. 16,200 for miscellaneous charges, and Rs. 1,620 for interest on working capital.

Small-scale farmers incur a total cost of production of Rs. 24,086.83. The cost components for this category are similar to marginal farmers, with slight variations in certain expenses such as miscellaneous charges, which amount to Rs. 16,120.

Semi-medium farmers have a total cost of production of Rs. 23,813.77. The costs for lime application, manure, organic fertilizer, supplementary feed and feeding equipment, watching and feeding charges, electricity charges, fishing charges, miscellaneous charges, and interest on working capital are similar to the previous categories, but with slight variations in amounts.

Medium-scale farmers have a total cost of production of Rs. 23,298.82. The cost components for this category are similar to the previous categories, with variations in amounts for certain expenses such as lime application, manure, supplementary feed and feeding equipment, watching and feeding charges, and miscellaneous charges.

Large-scale farmers have the lowest total cost of production among the categories, amounting to Rs. 22,698.50. The cost components are similar to the previous categories, with variations in amounts for certain expenses.

The cost analysis provides Magur seed producers with valuable information on the financial implications of fish seed production in hatcheries. It allows them to understand the breakdown of costs and make informed decisions regarding their hatchery operations. By analyzing the costs, farmers can identify areas where expenses can be optimized and explore opportunities to improve profitability. Additionally, the analysis serves as а benchmark for comparing the cost efficiency of different categories of Magur seed producers and aids in formulating strategies for sustainable profitable fish seed production and in hatcheries.

The farm business income of different size groups of fish seed producers in hatcheries was analyzed. The sample average gross income for all categories, including marginal, small, semimedium, medium, and large, was 60,000 Rs. The total cost of production varied across the categories, with marginal producers having the highest cost at 24,238.5 Rs and large producers having the lowest at 22,698.5 Rs. The net income, which is the difference between gross income and total cost of production, ranged from 35,761.5 Rs for marginal producers to 37,301.5 Rs for large producers, with an average of 36,372.71 Rs. Family labor income, separate from net income, varied from 38,265 Rs for marginal producers to 39,645 Rs for large producers, with an average of 38,812,65 Rs. The farm business income, calculated by adding net income and family labor income, ranged from 42.180 Rs for marginal producers to 43,330 Rs for large producers, with an average of 42,613.8 Rs. The benefit-cost ratio across all categories ranged from 1:2.47 to 1:2.64, with an average ratio of 1:2.536. These results highlight the variation in farm business income and profitability among different size groups of fish seed producers in hatcheries (Table 8).

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The study reveals the production of Magur seed production in the hatcheries in Bankura District of West Bengal. The main objective of the study was to analyze socio economic characteristic of sample respondents, cost of production and farm business income of magur seed producer in the different categories of Magur seed producers. From the study, it can be concluded that the majority of the farmers were middle aged, had high school level of education, small size of family with fish farming alone as their occupation. Most of them had small size of area covered under fish farming, and earns high level of annual income with medium and high level of fish farming experience respectively. Highest percentage of the Magur seed producers had low level of scientific orientation with partial training exposure and medium level of market orientation respectively. The average total cost involved in maintenance was Rs. 23627.27 with a benefitcost ratio of 1:2.536. The average farmer's gross income was found to Rs. 60000; Average net income was Rs. 36372.71; Average family labour income was Rs. 38812.65; Average farm business income was Rs. 42613.8 and the average farm investment income was Rs. 38646 respectively.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing 5. interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Islam MA, Talukder RK, Khan MA. Technical efficiency of the hatchery operators in fish seed production farms in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2007 Jun 30; 30(454-2016-36418):95-108.
- Khan MA, Alam MF. Technical efficiency of the hatchery operators in fish seed production farms in two selected areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2003 Dec 31; 26(454-2016-36531):55-70.
- Edet EO, Udoe PO, Uwah ED. Costs and return analysis of fish farming in Calabar Metropolis, Cross River State Nigeria. Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018;17(1):23-31.
- Husain N, Balkhi MH, Bhat TH, Dar SA. Socio-economic status of fishermen in district Srinagar of Jammu and Kashmir. IRA-International Journal of

Management & Social Sciences. 2016; 5(1):66-70.

- Joseph MM. The First Indian Fisheries Forum. Proceedings. Asian Fisheries Society, Indian Branch; 1988.
- 6. GOI. Handbook Fisheries Statistics; 2023.
- Devi Z, Singh NR. Potentiality of Fishery Towards ITS Sustainable Production and Marketing-A Case Study in Manipur Saikhom. ZENITH International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research. 2015;5(8):160-166.
- 8. Dhondyal SP. Benefit-Cost Ratios in Fish Culture. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics. 1968;23(902-2018-2175):235-239.
- 9. Puthucherril TG. Moving towards sustainable coastal development in South Asia by linking coastal climate change adaptation with Integrated Coastal Zone Management through the Instrumentality of Law; 2015.
- Sathiadhas R, Panikkar KKP. Socioeconomics of small scale fishermen with emphasis on cost and earnings of traditional fishing units along Trivandrum coast, Kerala-A case study. Seafood Export Journal. 1988;20(11):21-36.

© 2023 Roy and Kumar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102003