



Halotolerant Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria as Growth Promoter for Mung Bean, Pea and Wheat Crops

**Anurag Yadav ^{a*}, Rahul Kumar Chauhan ^a
and Kusum Yadav ^b**

^a Department of Microbiology, College of Basic Science and Humanities, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Dist. Banaskantha, Gujarat-385506, India.

^b Department of Biochemistry, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226007, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i213970

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107670>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/07/2023
Accepted: 12/10/2023
Published: 17/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Sustainable agriculture necessitates innovative solutions that enhance crop yield while minimizing environmental impact. This study evaluates the efficacy of three halotolerant phosphate solubilizing bacterial (PSB) strains viz., *Enterobacter bugandensis* PH27, *Psychrobacter faecalis* PH28, and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PH30 treatment across growth stages of pea GDF-1, wheat GW-451, and mung bean GM-4 varieties. Our analysis reveals that *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 treatment consistently outperformed other isolates, significantly enhancing seed germination rates and key growth metrics such as plant height, dry weight, and leaf area. Additionally, PH30 improved soil fertility by elevating levels of available phosphorus. The data suggest a multi-pronged mechanism of action for PH30 involving phytohormone production, nutrient chelation, and phosphate solubilization.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: anuragyadav123@gmail.com;

Keywords: Halotolerant bacteria; PGPR; phosphate solubilizing bacteria; phosphorous bioavailability

1. INTRODUCTION

In the quest for sustainable agriculture, halotolerant phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) have emerged as pivotal players in enhancing plant growth and nutrient bioavailability, particularly in saline soils.

Halotolerant PSBs are biological marvels capable of thriving in high-salinity environments, offering a promising agricultural solution in salt-affected terrains. Previous studies have substantiated their growth promoting attributes, revealing their capacity to solubilize phosphorus and produce plant growth regulators [1,2]. Moreover, halotolerant PSBs are biological alleviators of salt stress, a pervasive issue plaguing global agriculture. These bacteria enhance plant resilience by producing growth regulators like indole-3-acetic acid and making phosphorus more accessible in saline soils [3,4]. Their unique osmoregulatory and metabolic adaptations have been the subject of extensive research, further solidifying their role in sustainable agriculture.

The solubilization of phosphorus, an essential but often limiting nutrient, enhances its bioavailability, catalyzing plant growth and yield [5]. PSB inoculation strategies were shown to amplify the growth and yield of crops, including mung bean and wheat, through enhanced nutrient uptake and seed yield [6,7].

Our study offers a granular plant analysis across growth stages—from seed germination to young plant metrics like height, dry weight, and leaf area. We also evaluated the soil fertility impact of PSB inoculation on postharvest available phosphorus levels. Notably, *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 emerged as a standout performer. This comprehensive study delves into the multifaceted effect of three distinct halotolerant PSB strains—*Enterobacter bugandensis* PH27, *Psychrobacter faecalis* PH28, and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PH30—on the growth dynamics of mung bean, pea, and wheat crops.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, College of Basic Science and Humanities, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India. Seeds of different

crop varieties, viz., pea GDF-1, wheat GW-451, and mung bean GM-4, were obtained from certified suppliers. Three halotolerant PSB—*E. bugandensis* PH27, *P. faecalis* PH28, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30—used in the study were isolated by authors in a previous study [8].

2.1 Seed Germination Assay

The seeds underwent a rigorous sterilization process to ensure a sterile experimental environment. They were submerged in a 6% hydrogen peroxide solution for 15 minutes in a 100 mL beaker. Subsequently, the seeds were rinsed quintuple times using distilled water to remove any residual sterilizing agent. Following sterilization, the seeds were bioprimered for 30 minutes with halotolerant PSB isolates in nutrient broth tubes maintained at 10^{12} CFU mL⁻¹. Post-priming, the seeds were carefully transferred to water agar plates for the germination assay. The seed plates were incubated at 25°C in a growth chamber with a 16/8 hour light/dark cycle. Germination was observed after seven days, and germination percentage was calculated.

2.2 Pot Study of Germinated Seeds Treated with Halotolerant PSB Isolates

A pot experiment was initiated with complete random design (CRD) during the first week of January 2021 to investigate the influence of halotolerant PSB isolate treatments on three plant types. Before the pot experiment, *E. bugandensis* PH27, *P. faecalis* PH28, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 were incubated in nutrient broth culture tubes for 24 hours. The culture broths contained a population density of no less than \log_{12} CFU mL⁻¹. To achieve a target microbial density of 10^9 colony forming units (CFU) per gram of soil, 10 mL of bacterial broth containing 10^{12} CFU mL⁻¹ was uniformly incorporated into each pot containing 10 kg of soil supplemented with 0.1 g rock phosphate. Subsequently, germinated seedlings were transplanted into the microbiologically treated pots. The experimental details are described in Table 1. These pots were subjected to a consistent watering regimen. Concurrently, any adventitious grasses that emerged were promptly eradicated to minimize competition for nutrients and space.

Table 1. Experimental details of the pot study

i.	Location and agro-climatic zone: Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat; semi-arid
Experimental Details:	
ii.	a. Bacterial treatment: <i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27, <i>P. faecalis</i> PH28, and <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30 with GenBank® accession numbers MW857285, MW857286, and MW857287
	b. Design: CRD
	c. Replications: 05
	d. Crops and varieties: Pea GDF-1, wheat GW-451, and mung bean GM-4
	e. Seed rate: Five per pot
	f. Manuring and fertilizers: Nil
Cultural details:	
iii.	a. Date of transplanting: 03/01/2021
	b. No. of waterings: 05
	c. No. of weeding: As required
	d. Date of harvesting: 03/02/2021
Initial soil fertility status:	
iv.	a. Total available phosphorus: 1.20 mg Kg ⁻¹ dry soil
	b. Total carbon content: 0.45 %
General environmental conditions:	
v.	a. Plant stand: Direct sunlight
	b. Season: Winter
	c. Rainfall (mm) and rainy days: Nil

The plants were uprooted from pots after 30 days of growth, and an exhaustive evaluation was conducted, encompassing five key growth parameters. These included plant height, dry weight, collar diameter, leaf count, and leaf surface area. The metrics were rigorously measured to obtain a holistic understanding of plant growth dynamics. The plant dry weights were ascertained through an overnight desiccation process, employing a hot air oven set at 80°C. The acquired data were articulated as mean values. The statistical tool for agricultural research (STAR) was utilized for a robust statistical interpretation.

2.3 Soil Phosphorus Analysis

Soil samples were collected from each pot, postharvest and analyzed for available phosphorus. Available phosphorus was estimated using the Fiske-Subbarow method [9]. All experiments were conducted in five replicates, and data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD test for multiple comparisons. The pot experiment results are presented as mean.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effects of Halotolerant PSB Treatment on Seed Germination

Table 2 presents data on the germination percentages of three seed varieties. In the control group, pea GDF-1 seeds exhibited the highest germination percentage at 87.8%, setting a baseline for evaluating the effects of bacterial treatments. Wheat GW-451 seeds, with a germination rate of 67.2%, had the lowest percentage in the control group. Mung bean GM-4 seeds sit in between with a germination rate of 77.2%. When treated with *E. bugandensis* PH27, mung bean GM-4 seeds showed a notable increase in germination percentage to 86.6%, suggesting a positive interaction between this bacterial strain and mung bean seeds. Wheat GW-451 seeds also offer a modest improvement to 70.4%, but less so than mung bean. Turning our attention to *P. faecalis* PH28, wheat GW-451, and mung bean GM-4 seeds exhibited a slight uptick in germination percentages to 70.8% and 79.8%, respectively, compared to their respective controls. While the increase is not as dramatic as

the previous bacterial strain, it is still noteworthy. However, the most striking results were observed with *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30. All plant varieties treated with this bacterial strain showed a significant increase in germination percentage. Seeds of pea GDF-1 reached 92.2%, wheat GW-451 climbed to 80.6%, and mung bean GM-4 stood out with the most dramatic improvement to 94.6%.

The findings of this study also align with research on the effects of salinity on seed germination. Salinity can negatively impact seed germination by creating osmotic potential that prevents water uptake or by the toxic effects of ions on embryo viability [10]. The application of halotolerant PSB can help alleviate the harmful effects of salinity, improve seed germination rate, and promote nutrient uptake [11].

3.2 Effects of Halotolerant PSB Treatment on Plant Growth

The pot experiment showed that *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 consistently improved multiple growth parameters (Table 3). In mung bean, the height increased significantly to 19.74 cm when treated with *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, compared to a control (10.68 cm). Similarly, the dry weight was highest at 2.28 g for the same treatment, in contrast to the control (1.7 g). The collar diameter, leaf number, and leaf area also showed marked improvements, with values of 4.3 mm, 8.2, and 2.88 cm², respectively.

The trend of *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 enhancing growth parameters was also observed in pea and wheat plants. In the pea plant, the height reached 26.18 cm, with a dry weight of 19.74 g, both highest among the treatments and significantly higher than the control values of 13.14 cm and 10.68 g, respectively. The collar diameter, leaf number, and leaf area followed suit, with values of 1.74 mm, 19.4, and 7.22 cm², respectively.

In wheat plants, the height, dry weight, collar diameter, leaf number, and leaf area were

highest when treated with *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, with values of 20.28 cm, 2.088 g, 1.6 mm, 16.4, and 2.58 cm², respectively, compared to the control. When comparing the growth of all three plants, it is noteworthy that the effect of *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 was most pronounced in pea plants, followed by mung bean and wheat. These observations imply that *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 holds considerable promise as a versatile bio-stimulant for augmenting plant growth across various species, although species-specific factors may modulate its effectiveness.

B. amyloliquefaciens PH30 consistently outperformed other halotolerant PSB isolates across multiple growth stages and parameters. Initially, it significantly boosted seed germination rates, laying a foundation for subsequent growth stages. The initial germination advantage amplified as the plant matured, with PH30-treated plants showing superior metrics in height, dry weight, collar diameter, leaf number, and leaf area.

In saline-affected agricultural ecosystems, the bioavailability of essential nutrients like phosphorus is often compromised due to precipitation with calcium and other cations [12]. Solubilization significantly enhances phosphorus availability in saline soils, facilitating nutrient uptake and promoting plant growth [13]. Several studies underscore the pivotal role of halotolerant PSB in alleviating these challenges. For example, a range of halotolerant bacterial genera—including *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Azospirillum*, *Vibrio*, *Phyllobacterium*, and *Oceanobacillus*—have been isolated from the halophyte *Avicennia marina* and demonstrated to possess the capability to solubilize multiple forms of phosphate, including Ca₃(PO₄)₂, AlPO₄, and FePO₄ [14]. Study conducted in the Bay of Bengal, Sundarbans, India's coastal regions have identified *Halomonas* and *Halobacillus* genera as potent phosphate solubilizers [15]. In another study, *Providencia rettgeri* strain TPM23, when used with rock phosphate, enhanced soil biochemical properties and peanut growth in saline soils [12].

Table 2. Germination percentage of three plant varieties treated with halotolerant PSB isolates

Plant varieties	Control	<i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27	<i>P. faecalis</i> PH28	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30
Mung bean GM-4	77.2±4.49	86.6±2.69	79.8±2.22	94.6±1.36
Pea GDF-1	87.8±2.82	87.2±2.8	87.6±2.71	92.2±1.46
Wheat GW-451	67.2±3.54	70.4±3.5	70.8±2.99	80.6±2.54

*Each value is a mean of five replicates ± SEM

Notably, halotolerant PSB serves as a countermeasure against the harmful effects of salinity stress, which otherwise impairs nutrient uptake and disrupts plant physiological processes [16,17]. These bacteria further augment soil fertility by improving biochemical properties, including enzyme activity and organic matter content [12]. Various methodologies have been employed to assess the phosphate solubilizing capabilities of these bacteria [16,18].

Beyond performing phosphate solubilization, these halotolerant bacteria exhibit multifaceted roles in plant growth promotion. They synthesize phytohormones such as auxin, cytokinin, and gibberellins, produce iron-chelating siderophores to enrich iron nutrition, and generate volatile compounds that modulate plant signaling pathways [12]. These attributes collectively

render halotolerant PSB invaluable for improving soil health and plant vitality, particularly in saline-affected agricultural landscapes.

3.3 Effect of Halotolerant PSB Treatment on the Phosphorus Availability of Potting Soil

Table 4 describes the quantity of available phosphorus (mg Kg^{-1} dry soil) in the potting soils after the plant harvest. In the control group for mung bean, the available phosphorus level was 1.29 mg Kg^{-1} dry soil. When treated with *E. bugandensis* PH27, the level increased to 1.83; with *P. faecalis* PH28, it goes up to 1.51. However, the highest increase was observed with *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, reaching 2.03.

Table 3. Growth of plants treated with halotolerant PSB isolates^{*a}

a) Mung bean GM-4					
Bacterial treatments	Height (cm)	Dry wt. (g)	Collar diameter (mm)	Leaf Number	Leaf area (cm²)
Control	10.68 ^d	1.70 ^c	1.32 ^b	5.00 ^b	2.56 ^{ab}
<i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27	13.12 ^c	1.88 ^{bc}	1.90 ^b	7.20 ^a	2.66 ^{ab}
<i>P. faecalis</i> PH28	16.14 ^b	2.12 ^{ab}	3.30 ^a	7.20 ^a	2.42 ^b
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30	19.74 ^a	2.28 ^a	4.30 ^a	8.20 ^a	2.88 ^a
R ²	0.85	0.54	0.70	0.39	0.36
MSE	2.48	0.05	0.74	2.65	0.06
F	30.90	6.38	12.24	3.45	3.06
CV%	25.23	15.47	53.12	27.78	10.83
b) Pea GDF-1					
Bacterial treatments	Height (cm)	Dry wt. (g)	Collar diameter (mm)	Leaf number	Leaf area (cm²)
Control	13.14 ^d	10.68 ^d	0.86 ^c	14.80 ^c	2.64 ^b
<i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27	22.56 ^b	13.12 ^c	1.44 ^{ab}	16.80 ^{bc}	7.06 ^a
<i>P. faecalis</i> PH28	16.96 ^c	16.14 ^b	1.26 ^{bc}	17.20 ^b	7.24 ^a
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30	26.18 ^a	19.74 ^a	1.74 ^a	19.40 ^a	7.22 ^a
R ²	0.82	0.82	0.54	0.59	0.91
MSE	6.90	0.10	0.11	2.35	0.48
F	24.31	24.58	6.36	7.57	53.28
CV%	28.84	31.18	33.46	12.83	35.00
c) Wheat GW-451					
Bacterial treatments	Height	Dry wt. (g)	Collar diameter (mm)	Leaf number	Leaf area (cm²)
Control	15.8 ^b	1.26 ^c	0.96 ^b	12.20 ^b	1.40 ^b
<i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27	18.08 ^{ab}	1.51 ^b	1.36 ^a	13.60 ^{ab}	1.66 ^b
<i>P. faecalis</i> PH28	16.78 ^b	1.67 ^b	1.34 ^a	10.60 ^b	1.74 ^b
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30	20.28 ^a	2.09 ^a	1.60 ^a	16.40 ^a	2.58 ^a
R ²	0.43	0.86	0.59	0.48	0.79
MSE	4.61	0.02	0.05	6.15	0.07
F	4.07	34.12	7.61	4.92	19.86
CV%	14.75	20.22	23.31	23.91	27.72

* The F-value for each treatment in all three plant varieties was significant at the 5% ANOVA

^a Each value is a mean of five replicates

Table 4. Postharvest phosphorus availability (mg Kg⁻¹ dry soil) in pot soil for the treatments

Plant	Bacterial treatments			
	Control	<i>E. bugandensis</i> PH27	<i>P. faecalis</i> PH28	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PH30
Mung bean GM-4	1.29±0.09	1.83±0.11	1.51±0.14	2.03±0.10
Pea GDF-1	1.39±0.09	1.96±0.07	1.66±0.22	2.00±0.19
Wheat GW-451	1.15±0.10	1.60±0.15	1.48±0.20	1.98±0.13

* Each value is a mean of three replicates ± SEM

The control group showed available phosphorus level of 1.39 mg Kg⁻¹ dry soil for pea plant pot soil. Treatment with *E. bugandensis* PH27 and *P. faecalis* PH28 resulted in levels of 1.96 and 1.66, respectively. Again, *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 showed the highest level at 2. Similarly, in the case of wheat, the control group had a level of 1.15. The levels increased to 1.60, 1.48, and 1.98 mg Kg⁻¹ dry soil when treated with *E. bugandensis* PH27, *P. faecalis* PH28, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, respectively.

In sustainable agriculture, the role of PSBs is gaining increasing attention, particularly for their ability to enhance phosphorus availability in soil [19]. In our study, *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 emerged as a standout among the three PSB strains evaluated, demonstrating superior phosphorus solubilization capabilities compared to *E. bugandensis* PH27 and *P. faecalis* PH28. This distinction is not trivial; different PSB strains employ varied mechanisms—ranging from acidification and chelation to exchange reactions—for solubilizing phosphorus [20]. The exceptional performance of PH30 suggests an advanced mechanism for phosphorus solubilization that merits in-depth molecular and biochemical scrutiny.

The significance of this finding is further amplified when considered in the context of global soil phosphorus levels. Phosphorus concentrations in soil can vary widely, influenced by factors such as soil type, parent material, and geographical location. Globally, soil phosphorus levels have been reported to range from 1.4 to 9630 mg kg⁻¹, with a median of 430 mg kg⁻¹ and a mean of 570 mg kg⁻¹ [21]. In specific instances, concentrations typically lie between 500–800 mg kg⁻¹ of dry soil [22]. Another study shows that soil can have different amounts of total phosphorus, ranging from 280 to 1732 mg kg⁻¹. On average, the amount is 713 mg kg⁻¹. More critically, the fraction of this phosphorus readily available for plant uptake varies between 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ and 19.8 mg kg⁻¹, averaging 6.2 mg kg⁻¹ [23].

Against this backdrop, our study reveals compelling evidence for the efficacy of halotolerant PSB in enhancing phosphorus availability, particularly in potting soil. Control groups involving various plant types—mung bean, pea, and wheat—showed baseline available phosphorus levels between 1.15 and 1.39 mg Kg⁻¹ soil. These levels fall significantly short of the optimal range for plant growth, generally above 2-3 mg Kg⁻¹ dry soil [24].

The implications of these findings extend beyond mere numbers. *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 enhances soil health and positively impacts plant growth, creating a symbiotic plant-microbe-soil interaction [25]. This is particularly beneficial in challenging environments such as saline or drought-prone areas, where halotolerant microbes like PH30 could offer a distinct advantage. The cascading benefits include improved seed germination rates, increased plant height, dry weight, and leaf area. By reducing the dependency on chemical fertilizers, such microbes could substantially mitigate environmental impacts while contributing to long-term soil health [26].

4. CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis reveals halotolerant PSB isolate, *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, as a potent growth promoter, demonstrating superior efficacy across multiple growth stages and parameters in three plant varieties. This bacterial strain significantly enhanced seed germination rates, providing an initial advantage carried through subsequent growth stages of plants. PH30-treated plants consistently outperformed controls and other bacterial treatments in crucial growth metrics, including height, dry weight, and leaf area as plants grew. The data suggest a multi-pronged mechanism of action for PH30 involving various strategies like phytohormone production, nutrient chelation, and phosphate solubilization. *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 treatment offers a holistic approach to sustainable agriculture, acting as a comprehensive growth promoter. Its consistently high performance across growth

stages and impact on soil fertility make it a promising candidate for further research and practical agricultural applications.

5. FUTURE STUDY

The current study establishes halotolerant PSB isolate, *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30, as a potent biofertilizer with consistently high performance across multiple plant species and growth parameters. However, further research is needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying its efficacy. Future studies could focus on genomic and transcriptomic analyses to identify the specific genes and metabolic pathways involved in phytohormone production, nutrient chelation, and phosphate solubilization. Understanding the molecular basis of such interactions will validate the proposed mechanisms and offer opportunities for strain improvement through genetic engineering.

Another promising avenue for future research is field trials to assess the scalability of such isolates in real-world applicability. While controlled experiments provide valuable insights, field trials will offer a more comprehensive understanding of how *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 performs under varying environmental conditions, including different soil types, climates, and cropping systems. Such trials could also evaluate the long-term impact of PH30 on soil health and fertility, providing data crucial for its commercial application in sustainable agriculture.

Future studies should explore the potential synergistic effects of combining *B. amyloliquefaciens* PH30 with other beneficial microorganisms or organic amendments. Such combinations could enhance its efficacy as a biofertilizer and broaden its applicability across various crops and soil conditions. Additionally, economic analyses could be conducted to assess the cost-effectiveness of implementing this bacterial strain in large-scale agricultural operations, thereby providing a comprehensive evaluation of its potential as a sustainable alternative to chemical fertilizers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the Principal College of Basic Science and Humanities for providing facilities for this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Mapelli F, Marasco R, Rolli E, Barbato M, Cherif H, Guesmi A, Ouzari I, Daffonchio D, Borin S. Potential for plant growth promotion of rhizobacteria associated with *Salicornia* growing in Tunisian hypersaline soils. *Biomed Res Int*. 2013;2013:248078. DOI:10.1155/2013/248078.
2. Walpola BC, Kong W-S, Yoon M-H. Solubilization of inorganic phosphates and plant growth promotion by *Pantoea* strains. *Korean Journal of Soil Science and Fertilizer*. 2013;46(6):494-501. DOI:10.7745/kjssf.2013.46.6.494.
3. Orhan F. Alleviation of salt stress by halotolerant and halophilic plant growth-promoting bacteria in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Braz J Microbiol*. 2016;47(3):621-627. DOI:10.1016/j.bjm.2016.04.001.
4. Jiang H, Qi P, Wang T, Chi X, Wang M, Chen M, Chen N, Pan L. Role of halotolerant phosphate-solubilising bacteria on growth promotion of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under saline soil. *Annals of Applied Biology*. 2018;174(1):20-30. DOI:10.1111/aab.12473.
5. Yuan M, Chen M, Zhang W, Lu W, Wang J, Yang M, Zhao P, Tang R, Li X, Hao Y, et al. Genome sequence and transcriptome analysis of the radioresistant bacterium *Deinococcus gobiensis*: insights into the extreme environmental adaptations. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(3):e34458. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0034458.
6. Rashid A, Hamid B, Nazir M, Baba Z, Iqbal S, Tahir S. Nitrogen indices, nodulation and yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient supply. *International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry*. 2020;21-32. DOI:10.9734/irjpac/2020/v21i1930274.
7. Aye PP, Pinjai P, Tawornpruek S. Effect of Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria on Soil Available Phosphorus and Growth and Yield of Sugarcane. *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST)*. 2021;18(12):10754. DOI:10.48048/wjst.2021.10754.

8. Yadav A, Chauhan R, Soni K, Yadav K. Unveiling the multifaceted abilities of halotolerant phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from the Kutch desert ecosystem. *Biological Forum: An International Journal*. 2023;15(9): 476-484.
9. Fiske CH, Subbarow Y. The colorimetric determination of phosphorus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1925;66(2):375-400.
10. Kaymakanova M. Effect of salinity on germination and seed physiology in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*. 2014;23 (sup1):326-329. DOI:10.1080/13102818.2009.10818430.
11. Debbarma V, Sathvik D. Influence of biofertilizers and sulphur on growth and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2022;707-713. DOI:10.9734/ijec/2022/v12i1131028.
12. Jiang H, Li S, Wang T, Chi X, Qi P, Chen G. Interaction between halotolerant phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (*Providencia rettgeri* strain TPM23) and rock phosphate improves soil biochemical properties and peanut growth in saline soil. *Front Microbiol.* 2021;12777351, DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2021.777351.
13. Fahsi N, Mahdi I, Mesfioui A, Biskri L, Allaoui A. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria isolated from the jujube (*Ziziphus lotus*) plant enhance wheat growth, Zn uptake, and heavy metal tolerance. *Agriculture*. 2021;11(4): 316. DOI:10.3390/agriculture11040316.
14. Olenska E, Malek W, Wojcik M, Swiecicka I, Thijs S, Vangronsveld J. Beneficial features of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving plant growth and health in challenging conditions: A methodical review. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;743140682. DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140682.
15. Joshi G, Kumar V, Brahmachari SK. Screening and identification of novel halotolerant bacterial strains and assessment for insoluble phosphate solubilization and IAA production. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*. 2021;45(1): 83. DOI:10.1186/s42269-021-00545-7.
16. Shah RK, Saraf M. Exploring inorganic phosphate solubilizing trait of halotolerant rhizobacteria isolated from *Cuminum cyminum*. *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*. 2019;7 (5):308-315. DOI:10.32622/ijrat.752019107.
17. Saryanah NA, Sulastri, Himawati S, Bidara IS, Roswanjaya YP, Asiani N, Sukmadi RB, Irawati AFC. Salinity stress mitigation on *Zea mays* L. seedling by halotolerant bacteria. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2023;1160(1):012004. DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/1160/1/012004.
18. Mehta S, Nautiyal CS. An efficient method for qualitative screening of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. *Curr Microbiol*. 2001; 43(1):51-56. DOI:10.1007/s002840010259.
19. Hiba RC, Hakim H, Abdelwaheb C. Enhancement of phosphate solubilization by *Bacillus subtilis* induced by UV mutagenesis. *International Journal of Biosciences*. 2022;21(5):239-245. DOI:10.12692/ijb/21.5.239-245.
20. Sarkar D, Sankar A, Devika OS, Singh S, Shikha, Parihar M, Rakshit A, Sayyed RZ, Gafur A, Ansari MJ, et al. Optimizing nutrient use efficiency, productivity, energetics and economics of red cabbage following mineral fertilization and biopriming with compatible rhizosphere microbes. *Scientific Reports*. 2021;11(1): 15680. DOI:10.1038/s41598-021-95092-6.
21. He X, Augusto L, Goll DS, Ringeval B, Wang Y, Helfenstein J, Huang Y, Yu K, Wang Z, Yang Y, et al. Global patterns and drivers of soil total phosphorus concentration. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*. 2021; 13(12): 5831-5846. DOI:10.5194/essd-13-5831-2021.
22. Mengel K, Kirkby EA, Kosegarten H, Appel T. Phosphorus. In *Principles of Plant Nutrition*, Mengel, K., Kirkby, E.A., Kosegarten, H., Appel, T., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2001;453-479. DOI:10.1007/978-94-010-1009-2_9.
23. Çimrin KM. Relationship between some soil characteristics and contribution on available phosphorus of inorganic phosphorus fractions in calcareous soils. *Mustafa Kemal Üniversitesi Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*. 2020;25(2):138-144. DOI:10.37908/mkutbd.702342.
24. Syers J, Johnston A, Curtin D. Efficiency of soil and fertilizer phosphorus use. *FAO fertilizer and plant nutrition bulletin*. 2008;18(108):5-50.

25. Khan N, Ali S, Shahid MA, Mustafa A, Sayyed RZ, Cura JA. Insights into the interactions among roots, rhizosphere, and rhizobacteria for improving plant growth and tolerance to abiotic stresses: A review. *Cells*. 2021;10(6):1551. DOI:10.3390/cells10061551.
26. Sagar A, Rai S, Ilyas N, Sayyed RZ, Alturki A, El-Enshasy HA, Simarmata T. Halotolerant rhizobacteria for salinity-stress mitigation: Diversity, mechanisms and molecular approaches. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(1):490. DOI:10.3390/su14010490.

© 2023 Yadav et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107670>