Assessment of Soil Particle Distribution and Primary Nutrient Status in the Groundnut-Producing Areas of Nalgonda, Telangana, India

Manoj. R [a*], Shailaja. K [a++], Pavan Chandra Reddy. K [b#] and Ramanjaneyulu. A. V [c†]

[a] *Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.*
[b] *Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, AICRP on STCR, Institute of Soil Health Management, Agricultural Research Institute, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.*
[c] *AICRP on Agroforestry, Rajendranagar, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, 500030, Telangana, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The current research involved a thorough field survey to assess particle size distribution and the availability of primary nutrients in *rabi*-grown groundnut soils (2022-2023) across three productivity regions: high (2497.15-3006.47 kg ha^{-1}), medium (1987.82-2497.15 kg ha^{-1}), and low (up to 1987.82

[++] *Assistant Professor;*
[#] *Principal Scientist;*
[†] *Principal Scientist (Agronomy) & Head;*
Corresponding author: E-mail: manumadhur77@gmail.com;

kg ha^{-1}) in Nalgonda district, Telangana. A total of 150 surface soil samples (0 - 15 cm depth), with 50 samples from each productivity region, were collected before the sowing of *rabi* groundnut crop using a stratified random sampling method. These collected soil samples are further processed and analysed for soil texture, available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). The objective of this research is to know the status of texture and primary nutrients in groundnut growing areas of Nalgonda district. The sand, silt and clay content in high, medium and low productivity regions averaged 72.90%, 71.29%, 67.56%; 6.82%, 8.35%, 10.16% and 20.27%, 20.36%, 22.27% respectively. The respective available N, P and K showed mean values of 257.29 kg ha^{-1}, 189.40 kg ha^{-1} and 138.99 kg ha^{-1}; 48.025 kg h IJPSS_116978a^{-1}, 44.512 kg ha^{-1}, 27.09 kg ha^{-1} and 251.91 kg ha^{-1}, 236.48 kg ha^{-1}, 174.86 kg ha^{-1} in high, medium and low productivity regions. In regions characterized by high productivity, there was a pronounced prevalence of sand content, significantly influencing soil particle aggregation. Notably, silt content exhibited considerable variation, particularly within areas of medium and low productivity, whereas clay content displayed the most variability in regions of low productivity. The availability of nitrogen decreased sequentially from high to medium productivity areas, and further from medium to low productivity regions. This same pattern was observed for available phosphorus and potassium, with substantial variations across the three productivity categories, declining consistently from high productivity regions to low productivity ones. Variations in available phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) exhibited extensive dispersion within every productivity category, as evidenced by the significant standard deviation and coefficient of variation values across these regions. This is particularly notable for potassium, highlighting the necessity of considering diverse soil conditions and factors affecting potassium availability when formulating fertilization plans.

1. INTRODUCTION

As the groundnut growing areas of Nalgonda district is known as low productivity area (Acc. to DES,2021), To know the reason for the low productivity, it is necessary to assess the status of texture and primary nutrients of soil.

Soil, an indispensable natural resource, constitutes a crucial component of the terrestrial ecosystem and fulfills a multitude of roles, notably in facilitating plant growth [1]. Comprehending its properties is imperative for strategic land use planning aimed at optimizing agricultural output [2]. The physical, chemical, and biological attributes of soil exert substantial influence on its various functions, including its role as a medium for plant growth, regulation of water supply, recycling of raw materials, and provision of habitat for soil organisms [3]. Agricultural soil quality, shaped by its physical properties, which primarily pertain to its strength and storage characteristics within the root zone of crops [4], directly impacts both crop performance and environmental sustainability. Soil texture, a persistent trait, functions as a crucial gauge for multiple factors that dictate the agricultural capability of soil, encompassing water retention, infiltration rate, aeration, fertility, tillage ease, and susceptibility to erosion [5]. The physical attributes of soil have further degraded due to overfertilization and inadequate management, posing a threat to soil productivity potential [6].

Nitrogen (N) is required by plants in comparatively larger amounts than other elements. As a crop of Leguminosae family, groundnut can fix as much as 40-80 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. About 86-92% of the N taken up by the groundnut comes from Biological Nitrogen Fixation (BNF) which is equivalent to 125-178 kg N ha^{-1}. Although legumes can fix their own N, they often need phosphorus and calcium and other nutrients for good seed formation. Phosphorus (P) is the second major essential nutrient element for crop growth and good quality yield. The most obvious effect of P is on the plant root system. The requirement of P in nodulating legumes is higher compared to non-nodulating crops as it plays a significant role in nodule formation and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen.

As per the data collected from Directorate of Economics and Statistics [7] the Nalgonda district is shown as low productivity. To know the reasons, it is necessary to assess the status of texture and primary nutrients of soil. In addition to physical properties, soil fertility significantly influences plant growth, determined by the concentrations of water, micronutrients, organic and inorganic materials, as well as the availability

of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). The NPK ratio serves as a critical indicator of crop productivity, quality, and yield, distinguishing between balanced and imbalanced fertilization practices. Thus, achieving optimal crop production requires careful and balanced application of fertilizers [8]. Soil chemical fertility, particularly nutrient deficiency, plays a significant role in soil degradation, limiting productivity, stability, and sustainability [9]. Nutrient cycling in the soil, influenced by various inputs and losses, maintains the equilibrium of organic and inorganic constituents. Long-term fertilizer trials have revealed diminishing yields with continuous chemical fertilizer use, attributed to a decrease in nutrient supply and soil fertility, which impacts global food security [10], essential for sustainable agricultural production. However, the extensive use of agrochemicals resulting from industrialization and agricultural expansion poses risks such as soil degradation and adverse effects on agricultural productivity [11].

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is important oilseed crop and oil content of the seed varies from 44-50%, depending on the varieties and agronomic conditions. They are rich in protein and vitamins A, B. The cake can be used for manufacturing artificial fibre. The haulms are fed (green, dried or silaged) to livestock. Groundnut shell is used as fuel for manufacturing coarse boards, cork substitutes etc. Groundnut is also of value as a rotation crop. The production are concentrated in the four states of Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Orissa have irrigated areas. (Source-IndiaAgroNet.com).

Groundnut is grown in an area of 31.5 million hectares worldwide with a total production of 53.6 million tonnes and an average productivity of 1699 kg/ha (FAOSTAT, 2020) [12]. India is the second largest producer of groundnut after China with an area of 6.01 million hectares, production of 10.2 million tonnes and productivity of 1703 kg/ha (INDIASTAT, 2020-21) [13]. In India, Gujarat (2.16 million hectares) has most area under groundnut followed by Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. In Telangana, groundnut is predominantly cultivated as a *rabi* crop in an area of 0.12 million hectares with a production and productivity of 0.29 million tonnes and 2286 kg/ha respectively (INDIASTAT, 2020-21) [13]. Highest productivity is in Bhadradri, Medium is in Nagarkurnool and lowest is in Janagaon and Nalgonda. The major groundnut growing districts in the state are Nagarkurnool, Wanaparthy, Gadwal and Mahabubnagar. Objective of study is to know the status of soil texture and primary nutrients of groundnut growing areas of Nalgonda district.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location of Study Site

Nalgonda district of Telangana state in India is located between Latitudes 17.056620 N to Longitudes 79.268333 E with the borders of districts Yadadri Bhuvanagiri to the north, while the Suryapet to the east, Ranga Reddy to the west, and the Guntur District of Andhra Pradesh state to the south (https://nalgonda.telangana.gov.in/about-district/) [14]. The study site of Groundnut soil regions of Nalgonda district, Telangana, India, are illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.2 Soil Sample Collection

The present study involved the survey and collection of soil samples from the study area where groundnut was cultivated by dividing the mandals of the district into low (up to 795.13 kg acre^{-1}), medium (795.13-998.86 kg acre^{-1}) and high (998.86-1202.59 kg acre^{-1}) productive regions based on the area and yield data gathered from the District Agriculture office, Nalgonda. A total of 150 samples were collected, specifically 50 from each productive region from the topsoil layer (0 – 15 cm deep) before sowing the crop, 2022-2023 by stratified random sampling method. These samples were then air-dried, crushed to pass through 2 mm sieve, stored in plastic bags and subjected to further laboratory analysis.

2.3 Laboratory Analysis

The collected soil samples were analysed for the parameters *i.e.,* soil texture by Bouyoucos hydrometer method that elucidates the particles size distribution as described by Piper, [15]. The analysis for available nitrogen was carried out by alkaline permanganate method [16], available phosphorus by Olsen's method using spectrophotometer or colorimeter at 660 nm [17] and available potassium by extraction with neutral normal ammonium acetate using flame photometer [18] that aimed to explore the relation between soil characteristics and groundnut productivity in the three regions of Nalgonda district.

Fig. 1. Location of the study site

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Valuation of Particle Size Analysis in the three Productivity Regions

Table 1 and Fig. 2 depict the particle size distribution of soils, showcasing variations ranging from clay loamy to sandy clay, thereby highlighting the diverse soil compositions within the study area. In regions with high productivity, the sand content fluctuated between 39.68% and 89.37%, averaging at 72.90% with a standard deviation of 12.84 and 17.61% coefficient of variation. In medium productivity areas, the range was from 31.47 % to 91.23 %, averaging at 71.29% with a standard deviation of 13.87 and 19.47 % coefficient of variation. Low productivity

regions saw a range of 31.63 % to 90.51 %, with an average of 67.56 %, a standard deviation of 14.68, and 21.74 % coefficient of variation.

Regarding silt content, high productivity regions showed a range from 2.24% to 18.48%, with an average of 6.82%, a standard deviation of 3.99, and a coefficient of variation of 58.45%. In medium productivity areas, the range extended from 2.85% to 23.72%, averaging at 8.35%, with a standard deviation of 4.56 and a coefficient of variation of 54.58%. Low productivity regions displayed a silt content range of 1.42% to 25.66%, with an average of 10.16%, a standard deviation of 6.02, and a coefficient of variation of 59.28%.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of particle size analysis among the three groundnut productivity regions

High Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV(%)
Sand (%)	39.68	89.37	72.90	12.84	17.61
Silt (%)	2.24	18.48	6.82	3.99	58.45
Clay (%)	6.88	46.11	20.27	9.46	46.70
Medium Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV(%)
Sand (%)	31.47	91.23	71.29	13.87	19.47
Silt (%)	2.85	23.72	8.35	4.56	54.58
Clay (%)	5.30	46.81	20.36	10.09	49.60
Low Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD	CV(%)
Sand (%)	31.63	90.51	67.56	14.68	21.74
Silt (%)	1.42	25.66	10.16	6.02	59.28
Clay (%)	8.07	47.10	22.27	9.69	43.52

*SD – Standard deviation, CV – Coefficient of Variation*

Fig. 2. Particle size distribution among the three productivity groundnut regions

Upon analyzing clay content, high productivity regions exhibited a range from 6.88% to 46.11%, with an average of 20.27%, a standard deviation of 9.46, and a coefficient of variation of 46.70%. In medium productivity areas, the range spanned from 5.30% to 46.81%, averaging at 20.36%, with a standard deviation of 10.09 and a coefficient of variation of 49.60%. Low productivity regions showcased a clay content range of 8.07% to 47.10%, with an average of 22.27%, a standard deviation of 9.69, and a coefficient of variation of 43.52%. Fig. 2 presents a visual representation of soil particle distribution across the three productivity regions.

Consequently, it can be deduced that the soils of the three regions exhibit distinct compositions. Sand content displays variability across productivity regions, with the highest average found in high productivity areas. Silt content exhibits significant variation, with the greatest coefficient of variation observed in low productivity regions. Clay content shows variations as well, with the highest average noted in low productivity regions and a noticeable coefficient of variation in medium productivity areas. High productivity regions typically feature higher mean sand content and lower silt and clay contents compared to the other two, facilitating the dispersion of soil particles.

Similar trends were observed in the high, medium, and low productive groundnut (peanut) soils studied by Zhao et al. [19]. Particle size distribution plays a crucial role in determining soil texture, which, in turn, influences root production and, consequently, water and nutrient uptake. It's noteworthy that sandy soil promotes the growth of stems and leaves in peanut crops, resulting in higher yields compared to clay soil, which is less conducive to stem and leaf growth throughout the entire growth period. The variability in particle size distribution across different productivity levels underscores the significance of soil dispersion in effective agricultural management.

3.2 Valuation of Primary Nutrients in the three Productivity Regions

3.2.1 Available nitrogen

Nitrogen is so vital because it is a major component of chlorophyll, the compound by which plants use sunlight energy to produce sugars from water and carbon dioxide (i.e., photosynthesis). It's also important because nitrogen is a: Major component of amino acids, the building blocks of proteins.

In high productivity regions, the available nitrogen (N) levels in the current study (Table 2) ranged from 169.84 to 349.60 kg ha-1, with a mean of 257.29 kg ha-1, a standard deviation of 55.22, and a coefficient of variation of 21.46%. Meanwhile, in medium productivity regions, the range spanned from 103.73 to 366.28 kg ha-1, with a mean of 189.40 kg ha-1. The standard deviation and coefficient of variation were 58.89 and 31.09% respectively. In low productivity regions, the available N ranged from 87.43 to 213.49 kg ha-1, with a mean of 138.99 kg ha^{-1}, a standard deviation of 32.99, and a coefficient of variation of 23.74%. The mean available N values exhibited a decreasing trend from high to medium, and further from medium to low productivity regions. However, the standard deviation and coefficient of variation values suggested a moderate level of variability in N levels across the three productivity regions, with the highest variability observed in high and medium productivity areas. Overall, the categorization implies a low to medium level of nitrogen availability in the soils across the studied regions.

3.2.2 Available phosphorus

Phosphorus is one of the major plant nutrients in the soil. It is a constituent of plant cells, essential for cell division and development of the growing tip of the plant. For this reason, it is vital for seedlings and young plants. In high productivity regions, the available phosphorus (P) ranged from 18.35 to 88.66 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 48.025 kg ha^{-1}, a standard deviation of 18.57, and a coefficient of variation of 38.67%. Meanwhile, in medium productivity regions, the range extended from 10.44 to 84.15 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 44.51 kg ha^{-1}, a standard deviation of 18.73, and a coefficient of variation of 42.08%. In low productivity regions, the range varied from 10.45 to 47.16 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 27.09 kg ha^{-1}, a standard deviation of 8.38, and a coefficient of variation of 30.94% (Table 2). These findings align with those of Sannidi et al. [20]. The observed variability in available P levels underscores the significance of tailoring agricultural management practices to the specific phosphorus dynamics within each productivity category.

3.2.3 Available potassium

Potassium is associated with the movement of water, nutrients and carbohydrates in plant tissue. It's involved with enzyme activation within the plant, which affects protein, starch and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of primary nutrients among the three groundnut productivity regions

High Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	**Minimum**	**Maximum**	**Mean**	**SD**	**CV(%)**
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	169.84	349.60	257.29	55.22	21.46
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	18.35	88.66	48.025	18.57	38.67
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	173.65	389.85	251.91	41.06	16.30
Medium Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	**Minimum**	**Maximum**	**Mean**	**SD**	**CV(%)**
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	103.73	366.28	189.40	58.89	31.09
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	10.44	84.15	44.512	18.73	42.08
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	108.10	343.85	236.48	52.09	22.02
Low Productivity Regions (n = 50)					
Soil Property	**Minimum**	**Maximum**	**Mean**	**SD**	**CV(%)**
Available Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	87.43	213.49	138.99	32.99	23.74
Available Phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	10.45	47.16	27.09	8.38	30.94
Available Potassium (kg ha^{-1})	115.0	290.95	174.86	40.92	23.40

**SD – Standard deviation, CV – Coefficient of Variation*

Fig. 3. Depiction of Essential nutrients across three groundnut productivity regions

Potassium is one of the three major essential nutrient elements required by plants. Though potassium is not a constituent of any compound or structurally bound in groundnut, it is required for translocation of assimilates and involved in maintenance of water status of plant especially the turgor pressure of cells and opening and closing of stomata and increase the availability of metabolic energy for the synthesis of starch and proteins. Besides, it increased peg formation, nodulation, synthesis of sugar and starch and help in pod growth and filling [21].

The available potassium (K) content in soils in high productivity regions, varied from 173.65 to 389.85 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 251.91 kg ha^{-1}, having standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 41.06 and 16.30 % respectively. Medium productivity regions displayed available K levels ranging from 108.10 to 343.85 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 236.48 kg ha^{-1} having standard deviation of 52.09 and 22.02 % coefficient of variation. Similarly, low productivity regions showcased K levels ranging from 115.0 to 290.95 kg ha^{-1}, with a mean of 174.86 kg ha^{-1} having standard deviation and coefficient of variation of 40.92 and 23.40 % respectively (Table 2) and are categorised from medium to high similar to the findings reported by Vasu et al. [22]. Fig. 3 depicts a graphical representation

of the primary nutrient values across the three productive regions. The notable standard deviation and coefficient of variation values observed across the productivity regions indicate a considerable dispersion of potassium (K) levels within each category. This variability can be attributed to factors such as soil composition, weathering, and other environmental influences. Hence, farmers and agronomists should take into account the diverse soil conditions and factors influencing potassium availability when devising fertilization strategies.

4. CONCLUSION

The research reveals notable disparities in sand, silt, and clay content, delineating distinct soil compositions contributing to the unique characteristics of each productivity zone. Notably, regions with high productivity exhibit the highest average sand content, impacting soil particle dispersion and subsequently crop yield. Silt content demonstrates significant variability, particularly in regions with medium and low productivity. Meanwhile, clay content displays variations, with high productivity areas showing the lowest average, favouring soil particle dispersion. Nitrogen availability in the soil exhibits a declining trend from high to medium and medium to low productivity regions. Fluctuations in available phosphorus underscore the importance of tailored agricultural management strategies considering phosphorus dynamics within each productivity category. Potassium levels within each category appear widely distributed, as evidenced by substantial standard deviation and coefficient of variation across productivity regions. When devising fertilization strategies, farmers and agronomists should consider various soil conditions and factors influencing potassium availability. Particle size distribution, pivotal in determining soil texture, affects water and nutrient uptake, consequently impacting root production and yield.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nwachokor MA, Uzu FO, Molindo WA. Variations in physicochemical properties and productivity implications for four soils in the derived savannah of southern Nigeria. American-Eurasian Journal of Agronomy. 2009;2(3):124-129.

2. Kumar P, Kumar A, Dhyani BP, Kumar P, Shahi UP, Singh SP, Kumar R, Kumar Y, Kumar A Raizada S. Soil fertility status in some soils of Muzaffarnagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India, along with Ganga canal command area. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(14): 1209-1217.

3. Vasu D, Singh SK, Ray SK., Duraisami VP, Tiwary P, Chandran P, Nimkar AM, Anantwar SG. Soil quality index (SQI) as a tool to evaluate crop productivity in semi-arid Deccan plateau, India. Geoderma. 2016b;282:70-79.

4. Mohanty M, Painuli DK, Misra AK, Ghosh PK. Soil quality effects of tillage and residue under rice–wheat cropping on a Vertisol in India. Soil and Tillage Research. 2007;92(1-2):243-250.

5. Nath TN. Soil texture and total organic matter content and its influences on soil water holding capacity of some selected tea growing soils in Sivasagar district of Assam, India. International Journal of Chemical Science. 2014;12(4):1419-1429.

6. Kumar V, Kumar M, Singh SK, Jat RK. Impact of conservation agriculture on soil physical properties in rice-wheat system of eastern indo-gangetic plains. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2018;28:1432–1440.

7. DES. Statistical Year Book, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Telangana; 2021.

8. Tale KS, Ingole S. A review on role of physico-chemical properties in soil quality. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2015;4(13):57-66.

9. Hartemink AE. Land use change in the tropics and its effect on soil fertility. In Proceedings 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Brisbane, Australia, 01-06 August, 2010. 55-58.

10. Shahid M, Nayak AK, Shukla AK, Tripathi R, Kumar A, Mohanty S, Bhattacharyya P, Raja R, PandaBB. Long-term effects of fertilizer and manure applications on soil

quality and yields in a sub-humid tropical rice-rice system. Soil Use and Management. 2013;29(3): 322–32.

11. Narkhede SR, Bhirud SR, Patil NS and Choudhary RR. Physico-Chemical Analysis of Soil Collected from Chorwad, Tehsil – Bhusawal, Dist. Jalgaon (M.S.). International Journal of Chemical Science. 2011;9(4):1973-1978.

12. Available:https://www.fao.org/food-agriculture-statistics/en/

13. Available:https://www.indiastat.com/

14. Available:https://nalgonda.telangana.gov.in/about-district/

15. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay. 1966;137-153.

16. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 1956;25:259-260.

17. Olsen SR. Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular: 939, Washington; 1954

18. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi; 1973.

19. Zhao CX, Jia LH, Wang YF, Wang ML, McGiffen Jr ME. Effects of different soil texture on peanut growth and development. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2015. 46(18): 2249-2257.

20. Sannidi S, Bindu GM, Neelima TL, Umadevi M. Soil quality mapping in the groundnut belt of erstwhile Mahabubnagar District, Telangana, India using GIS. Current Science. 2022;122(5): 600.

21. Patel PK, Viradiya MB, Kadivala VH, Shinde RD. Effect of potassium and sulphur on yield attributes, yield and quality of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under middle Gujarat condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(9):2268-2273.

22. Vasu D, Singh SK, Duraisami VP, Jangir A, Butte PS. Fertility status of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) growing soils in Thimmajipet mandal, Mahabubnagar district, Telangana. Andhra Pradesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2016a;2 (1):10-15.