

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international* <www.sciencedomain.org>

Coefficients Bounds for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions of Complex Order

B. A. Frasin¹[,](#page-0-0) T. Al-Hawary² and M. Darus^{3∗}

¹*Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, P.O. Box: 130095 Mafraq, Jordan.* 2 *Irbid National University, Faculty of Science and Technology, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box: 2600 Irbid, Jordan.* ³*School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia.*

Original Research Article

> *Received: 18 March 2014 Accepted: 17 May 2014 Published: 30 June 2014*

Abstract

In this paper, we determine coefficients bounds for functions in certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order, which are introduced here by means of the nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation of order m . Our main result contain some corollaries as special cases.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Coefficient bounds; Starlike functions of complex order; Convex functions of complex order; Nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equations 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1 Introduction and Definitions

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k
$$
\n(1.1)

which are analytic and univalent in the open disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be

starlike of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})$ and type $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$, that is $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\gamma}(\beta)$, if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1\right)\right\} > \beta \qquad (z\in\mathcal{U};\gamma\in\mathbb{C}^*),\tag{1.2}
$$

**Corresponding author: E-mail: maslina@ukm.edu.my*

and is said to be convex of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*)$ and type $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(\beta)$ if and only if

$$
\text{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \beta \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*). \tag{1.3}
$$

The classes $S^*_{\gamma}(\beta)$ and $C_{\gamma}(\beta)$ were introduced by the first author in [1]. Note that $S^*_{\gamma}(0) = S^*_{\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{C}_\gamma(0)=\mathcal{C}_\gamma$ the classes considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [2] and Wiatrowski [3]. Also, $\mathcal{S}_1^*(\beta)=$ $S^*(\beta)$ and $C_1(\beta) = C(\beta)$ which are, respectively, the familiar classes of starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$ and convex functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$.

Let $Q(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of A consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following condition

$$
\text{Re}\left[1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z[\lambda\mu z^2 f''(z)+(\lambda-\mu)zf'(z)+(1-\lambda+\mu)f(z)]'}{\lambda\mu z^2 f''(z)+(\lambda-\mu)zf'(z)+(1-\lambda+\mu)f(z)}-1\right)\right] > \beta\tag{1.4}
$$

where $0 \le \mu \le \lambda \le 1$; $0 \le \beta < 1$; $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

For $\mu = 0$, the class $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{SC}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ introduced by Altintas et al. [4]. Clearly, we have $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma,0,0,\beta) = \mathcal{S}_{\gamma}^{*}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma,1,0,\beta) = \mathcal{C}_{\gamma}(\beta)$.

In the present paper, we propose to derive some coefficient bounds for the class $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta)$ and also for functions in the subclass $\mathcal{H}(\gamma,\lambda,\mu,\beta,m;\zeta)$ of A, which consists of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation of order m :

$$
z^{m} \frac{d^{m} w}{dz^{m}} + {m \choose 1} (\zeta + m - 1) z^{m-1} \frac{d^{m-1} w}{dz^{m-1}} + \dots + {m \choose m} w \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta + j) = g(z) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta + j + 1) \quad \text{(1.5)}
$$

 $(w = f(z); g(z) \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta), \zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]; m \in \mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, ...\}.$

2 Coefficient Estimates

We begin by obtaining coefficient bounds for functions in the class $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta)$, *then*

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} [j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{(n-1)![1+(\lambda\mu n+\lambda-\mu)(n-1)]} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
 (2.1)

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$; $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\gamma \in C^*$.

Proof. Let the function $F(z)$ be defined by

$$
F(z) = \lambda \mu z^2 f''(z) + (\lambda - \mu) z f'(z) + (1 - \lambda + \mu) f(z) \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}; z \in \mathcal{U}).
$$
 (2.2)

Then $F(z)$ is analytic in U with $F(0) = F'(0) - 1 = 0$. From [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) and [\(2.2\)](#page-1-0) it is easily seen that

$$
F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).
$$

where

$$
A_k := [1 + (\lambda \mu k + \lambda - \mu)(k - 1)]a_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).
$$
 (2.3)

2517

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}-1\right)-\beta}{1-\beta}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
zF'(z) - F(z) = \gamma (1 - \beta)(p(z) - 1)F(z)
$$
\n(2.4)

then $p(z)=1+c_1z+c_2z^2+\cdots$ is analytic in ${\cal U}$ and ${\sf Re}\{p(z)\}>0.$ Therefore, we have $\,|c_n|\le 2^{-(n-1)/2}$ N). From [\(2.4\)](#page-2-0), it follows that

$$
(n-1)A_n = \gamma(1-\beta)(c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}A_2 + \cdots + c_1A_{n-1}).
$$

In particular, for $n = 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$
|A_2| \leq 2|\gamma|(1-\beta),
$$

\n $|A_3| \leq \frac{2|\gamma|(1-\beta)[1+2|\gamma|(1-\beta)]}{2!},$

and

$$
|A_4| \leq \frac{2|\gamma| (1-\beta)[1+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)][2+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{3!},
$$

respectively. Thus, by using the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain

$$
|A_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} |j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{(n-1)!} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*).
$$
 (2.5)

From [\(2.3\)](#page-1-1) it is clear that

$$
A_n = [1 + (\lambda \mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]a_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*).
$$
 (2.6)

Now the inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-1-2) follows immediately from [\(2.5\)](#page-2-1) and [\(2.6\)](#page-2-2). This completes the proof of orem 2.1. Theorem [2.1.](#page-1-3)

Putting $\mu = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-3) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1)and satisfies the condition*

$$
\mathsf{Re}\left[1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z[z^2f''(z)+f(z)]'}{z^2f''(z)+f(z)}-1\right)\right] > \beta\tag{2.7}
$$

then

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} [j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{(n^2 - n + 1)(n-1)!} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
\n(2.8)

where $0 \leq \beta < 1$, *and* $\gamma \in C^*$.

Putting $\mu = 0$ in Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-3) we get the following result obtained by Altintas et al. [5].

Corollary 2.3. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). If* $f(z) \in \mathcal{SC}(\gamma, \lambda, \beta)$ *, then*

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} [j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{(n-1)![1+\lambda(n-1)]} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
\n(2.9)

2518

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$; $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\gamma \in C^*$. Finally, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). If* $f(z) \in H(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta, m; \zeta)$, *then*

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} |j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)! \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta+j+1)}{(n-1)![1+(\lambda\mu n+\lambda-\mu)(n-1)] \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta+j+n)} \qquad (m,n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
 (2.10)

where $0 \le \mu \le \lambda \le 1$; $0 \le \beta < 1$; $\gamma \in C^*$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]$ *.*

Proof. Let the function $f(z) \in A$ be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). Also let

$$
g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma, \lambda, \mu, \beta).
$$

Then from [\(1.5\)](#page-1-4), we get

$$
a_n = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta + j + 1)}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta + j + n)}\right) b_n \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*; \zeta \in R \setminus (-\infty, -1]).
$$

Thus, by using Theorem [2.1](#page-1-3) , we readily obtain the inequality [\(2.10\)](#page-3-0) .

 \Box

Putting $\mu = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem [2.4,](#page-3-1) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). If* $f(z)$ *satisfies the equation [\(1.5\)](#page-1-4)* and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ satisfies the condition [\(2.7\)](#page-2-3), then

$$
|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} [j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)] \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta+j+1)}{(n^2-n+1)(n-1)! \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\zeta+j+n)} \qquad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
 (2.11)

where $0 \le \beta < 1$; $\gamma \in C^*$ *and* $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]$ *.*

Putting $\mu = 0$ and $m = 2$ in Theorem [2.4,](#page-3-1) we get the following result obtained by Altintas et al. [5].

Corollary 2.6. *Let the function* $f(z) \in A$ *be given by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). If* $f(z)$ *satisfies the nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation of order* 2, *given by* [\(1.5\)](#page-1-4) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ satisfies the *condition [\(2.7\)](#page-2-3), then*

$$
|\alpha_n| \le \frac{(\zeta + 1)(\zeta + 2)\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} [j+2|\gamma| (1-\beta)]}{(n-1)![1+(\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)](\zeta + n)(\zeta + n + 1)} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*),
$$
 (2.12)

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$; $0 \leq \beta < 1$; $\gamma \in C^*$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]$ *.*

A similar work can also be referred to Eker et al. [6]. In this article they studied the Dziok-Srivastava operator.

Open problem: Is it possible to solve problems related to the Fekete-Szegö theorem as given in [7]? It is yet to be solved.

Acknowledgment

The second and third authors are partially supported by LRGS/TD/2011/UKM/ICT/03/02. We also would like to thank the referees for some suggestions to improve the content of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

[1] Frasin BA. Family of analytic functions of complex order. Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíegyháziensis. 2006;22(2):179-191.

[2] Nasr MA, Aouf MK. Starlike functions of complex order. J. Natur. Sci. Math. 1985;1- 12.

[3] Wiatroski P. On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions. Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Lődz Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod. 1970;2(39):75-85.

[4] Altintas O, Oskan O, Srivastava HM. Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2000;13(3):63-67.

[5] Altintas O, Irmak H, Owa S, Srivastava HM. Coefficient bounds for some families of starlike and convex functions of complex order. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2007;20:1218- 1222.

[6] Eker SS, Seker B, Acu M. Coefficient bounds for some families of starlike and convex functions of complex order. General Mathematics. 2009;17(4):195-204.

[7] Khaled AA, Darus M. On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for the generalized Owa-Srivastava operator. Proceedings of the Romanian Academy-series A: Mathematics. 2011;12(3):179-188.

———- $©2014$ Frasin et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons *Attribution License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=583&id=6&aid=5128