



A Study on Different AKIS Actors on Agricultural Knowledge and Information Dissemination

Golam Torab Ali ^a, Ganesh Das ^{b*}, Litan Das ^c,
Sabita Mondal ^c, Prabhat Kumar Pal ^c, Ashutosh Sarkar ^c
and Deb Sankar Gupta ^c

^a West Bengal Comprehensive Area Development Corporation, Balarampur Project, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

^b Krishi Vigyan Kendra West Tripura, ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, ICAR-ATARI, Zone-VII, Umiyam, Meghalaya, India.

^c Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6793>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118868>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/05/2024

Accepted: 19/07/2024

Published: 27/07/2024

ABSTRACT

The study on the Agricultural Knowledge Information System (AKIS) in the Jalpaiguri District of West Bengal (2017-2023) aimed to understand the actors involved and their inter-linkages for disseminating agricultural knowledge and information. It focused on three blocks: Jalpaiguri Sadar, Maynaguri, and Dhupguri. The findings indicated a high linkage diversity (average value of 0.79), suggesting a dense network of connections among actors. However, the linkage strength was weak

*Corresponding author: Email: ganesh.ext@gmail.com;

Cite as: Ali, Golam Torab, Ganesh Das, Litan Das, Sabita Mondal, Prabhat Kumar Pal, Ashutosh Sarkar, and Deb Sankar Gupta. 2024. "Study on Different AKIS Actors on Agricultural Knowledge and Information Dissemination". *Archives of Current Research International* 24 (6):349-54. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6793>.

(average value of 0.15), highlighting that while a broad network of interactions exists, the effectiveness of these connections needs improvement. Despite challenges in mobilizing officials for data collection, the study provided valuable insights into the AKIS in the district.

Keywords: AKIS; actors; linkage diversity; linkage strength; Jalpaiguri.

1. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural Knowledge and Information Systems (AKIS) form an integrated framework that combines agricultural research, extension, and education to generate and disseminate new knowledge to farmers. This network comprises various actors and perspectives, including farmers, researchers, educators, extension agents, local leaders, NGOs, the private sector, and more. These actors collaborate to support decision-making, problem-solving, and innovation in agriculture through processes such as knowledge creation, transformation, transmission, storage, retrieval, integration, diffusion, and utilization. The effectiveness of AKIS lies in its ability to create synergistic systems where research and extension are seen as interconnected participants in a unified system. This interconnection facilitates the translation of research findings into practical farming practices through strong links and relationships between the actors [1-6].

Many developing countries face challenges with poor collaboration between national agricultural research and extension organizations, as well as with different categories of farmers and farm organizations. By improving farmers' access to agricultural information and strengthening the linkages between various actors, AKIS can better target and serve the needs of farmers. The role of extension services and information transfer has evolved significantly over the past few decades, yet extension policies and approaches have often lagged behind these technological advancements. The influence of social network linkages on the dissemination of agricultural knowledge is critical, as effective information links can accelerate the adoption of new technologies. Conversely, poor information links can hinder the transfer and uptake of technology among rural farmers in emerging nations.

This study aims to investigate the actors involved in AKIS and their inter-linkages with respect to agricultural knowledge and information dissemination, highlighting the importance of these networks in achieving agricultural development and prosperity for farmers.

2. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in the Jalpaiguri district of West Bengal from 2017 to 2023, focusing on three randomly selected blocks: Jalpaiguri Sadar, Maynaguri, and Dhupguri. It assessed the linkage of various institutional sources responsible for the generation and dissemination of agricultural knowledge and information. These linkages were measured across five dimensions:

1. Policy and Administrative Dimension
2. Research Dimension (technology generation and backstopping)
3. Extension Dimension (technology dissemination through training and demonstration)
4. Resource Dimension (manpower and fund sharing)
5. Service Dimension (product marketing, consultancy, etc.)

The study included a variety of organizations:

- Public Organizations: Krishi Vigyan Kendra, line departments (agriculture, animal resources, fishery, horticulture, soil conservation, etc.), Agricultural Technology Management Agencies, banks.
- Private Organizations: Input dealers, corporate sectors.
- NGOs
- Farmers' Producer Organizations
- Farmers Clubs

The quantity and quality of linkages among the actors were assessed using two constructs: Linkage Strength and Linkage Diversity. Linkage Strength measures the quantitative achievement of an actor based on the total number of dimensions of linkage established within an AKIS. On the other hand, Linkage Diversity highlights the qualitative expansion of linkages built by an actor with all other actors participating in the AKIS [7-10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the Jalpaiguri district, the agricultural knowledge and information network consists of public, private, non-governmental, autonomous, and farmer networks as actors within the AKIS.

Table 1 presents the AKIS actors and their services in the agricultural knowledge and information network.

It is evident from Table 1 that among the different actors, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, the Agriculture Department, the Fishery Department, NABARD, and the Agricultural Technology Management Agency undertake the highest number of AKIS services in the Jalpaiguri district. They are followed by the Horticulture Department, the Animal Resource Department, Farmers Clubs, Farmer Producer Organizations, Farmers Cooperatives, the Soil Conservation Department, Nationalized Banks, Microfinance Institutes, Private Companies, and Input Dealers, which provide a limited number of services. It is also observed that all the actors provide comparable types of services across all the studied blocks in the Jalpaiguri district. This suggests that Jalpaiguri is uniform in its access to the services of AKIS actors based on their functions. The consistency in service provision indicates that the district is uniformly served by AKIS actors across its various blocks.

Table 2 presents the quality and quantity of linkages among the actors of AKIS in the

Jalpaiguri district of West Bengal. The Table 2 that Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK) achieved the highest position in both linkage diversity and linkage strength among all actors. KVK is a district-level institute that serves as a knowledge and information resource center to promote agricultural and rural development. Its activities are spread across the district, building links with all other actors in an AKIS through a convergence mode.

Similarly, the Agriculture Department, Farmers Clubs, Farmer Producer Organizations, Agricultural Technology Management Agency (ATMA), and the Horticulture Department play significant roles in agricultural and rural development. The values of both linkage diversity and strength for Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Farmers Clubs, and Farmer Producer Organizations reflect this fact. Line departments such as Agriculture, ATMA, and Horticulture also contribute significantly to agricultural knowledge and information dissemination. In an era of pluralistic extension, all service providers are interconnected to deliver agricultural services to end-users. Such interrelated networks enhance performance, which may stimulate increased agricultural productivity [11-13].

Table 1. AKIS services undertaken by different actors of AKIS in Jalpaiguri district

| AKIS actors | AKIS services undertaken (Superscript indicates block under study) | Key for AKIS services |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Krishi Vigyan Kendra | ACFGHIJKMN ^{1,2,3} | A=Input supply; |
| 2. Agriculture department | ACEFGHJKM ^{1,2,3} | B=Output marketing; |
| 3. Horticulture department | AIEFGMN ^{1,2,3} | C=Market information; |
| 4. Animal Resource department | AFGHIM ^{1,2,3} | D=Loans and other forms of credit; |
| 5. Fishery department | ACDEFGHM ^{1,2,3} | E=Providing subsidy; |
| 6. Soil Conservation department | GHM ^{1,2,3} | F=Technology backstop; |
| 7. Agricultural Technology Management Agency | ACEFGHM ^{1,2,3} | G=Training for knowledge development; |
| 8. Farmers Club | ABCHM ^{1,2,3} | H=Training for production skill development; |
| 9. Farmer Producer Organization | ABCHM ^{1,2,3} | I=Training for business skill development; |
| 10. Farmer's Cooperative | AGKM ^{1,2,3} | J= Providing educational services; |
| 11. Private Company | A ^{1,2,3} | K=Providing welfare services; |
| 12. Input Dealer | A ^{1,2,3} | L= Facilitate access to development institution for different services; |
| 13. NABARD | DEFGHILM ^{1,2,3} | M= Implementing govt. development schemes; |
| 14. Nationalized Bank | DM ^{1,2,3} | N=Providing weather, climate and ecological services. |
| 15. Microfinance Institute | DM ^{1,2,3} | 1=Jalpaiguri Sadar block 2=Maynaguri block 3=Dhupguri block |

Table 2. Inter-Linkage among AKIS actors in Jalpaiguri district

| AKIS Actors | Linkage Diversity (Densest=1, Thinnest=0) | | | Linkage Strength (Strongest=1, Weakest=0) | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| | Jalpaiguri sadar | Maynaguri | Dhupguri | Jalpaiguri sadar | Maynaguri | Dhupguri |
| 1. Krishi Vigyan Kendra | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.39 |
| 2. Agriculture department | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| 3. Horticulture department | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 4. Animal Resource department | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| 5. Fishery department | 0.74 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| 6. Soil Conservation department | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 7. Agricultural Technology Management Agency | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| 8. Farmers Club | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| 9. Farmer Producer Organization | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| 10. Farmer's Cooperative | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 11. Private Company | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 12. Input Dealer | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| 13. NABARD | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| 14. Nationalized Bank | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 15. Microfinance Institute | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Average Value | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Statistical Implication (Kruskal Wallis Test) | H=0.151 (p=.927) | | | H=0.071 (p=.965) | | |

The average values of linkage diversity among all the AKIS actors in different study blocks indicate that the quality of inter-linkages is high, whereas the strength of these linkages is lower, supporting previous findings. This observation suggests that the inter-linkage network among the actors at the block level is dense but not particularly strong [14-16].

According to the Kruskal-Wallis test (H-value), both linkage diversity and linkage strength are not significant, revealing that the entire district is homogeneous in terms of interlinkages among AKIS actors for agricultural knowledge and information exchange.

4. CONCLUSION

Jalpaiguri, located in northern West Bengal, features a diverse array of actors in its Agricultural Knowledge and Information Systems (AKIS), including public, private, autonomous, individual, and non-governmental organizations. These stakeholders are interconnected across five key dimensions: policy and administration, research, extension services, resources, and direct services. The district shows a high linkage diversity (average value=0.79), indicating a dense network among AKIS actors, despite relatively weak linkage strength (average strength value=0.15). This study explores the intricate connections facilitating agricultural information dissemination across various state organizations to benefit local farmers.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ali GT, Panda S, Pal PK. Agricultural knowledge information system (AKIS) in Cooch Behar District of West Bengal: The Actors and their Inter-linkage with Respect to Agricultural Knowledge and Information Communication. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*. 2021;13(1):458-461.
2. Berdegue JA, Escobar G. Agricultural knowledge and information systems and poverty reduction; 2001. retrived from: Available:<http://www.rimisp.org/FCKeditor/UserFiles/File/documentos/docs/pdf/0115-000824akisandpovertyrevisedfinal.pdf>
3. Boyaci M, Yildiz Ö. Agricultural knowledge and information system from extension window: The Turkish case. *Ege University Faculty of Agriculture Journal*. 2017;54(1): 37-44.
4. Kaine G, Doyle B, Reeve I, Lees J. Agricultural knowledge and information systems: A network analysis. Paper presented to the 43rd Annual Conference of the Australian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society, Christchurch, New Zealand; 1999, January 20–22.
5. Nain MS, Singh R, Mishra JR, Sharma JP. Utilization and linkage with agricultural information sources: A study of Palwal district of Haryana state. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development*. 2015;10(2):152-156.
6. Panda S. Pluralistic extension services— access, quality, and implications from the restructured policy reforms in Cooch Behar district of West Bengal. Ph. D. Thesis. Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya. West Bengal. India; 2020.
7. Panda S, Modak S, Devi YL, Das L, Pal PK, Nain MS. Access and usage of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) to accelerate farmers' income. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development*. 2019;14(1): 200-205.
8. Rees DJ, Momanyi M, Wekundah J, Ndungu F, Odondi J, Oyure AO, Andima D, Kamau M, Ndubi J, Musembi F, Mwaura L, Joldersma R. Agricultural knowledge and information systems in Kenya: implications for technology dissemination and development. *Agricultural Research and Extension Network (AgREN) Network Paper no. 107*. London: Overseas Development Institute; 2000.
9. Röling NG. Communication for development in research, extension, and education. Paper presented at the 9th UN Roundtable on Communication for Development 6-9 September 2004, Rome, Italy; 2004. Retrieved from: Available:<http://www.fao.org/nr/com/gtzworkshop/RolingPaperENG.pdf>

10. Silva KNN. Social network to accelerate agricultural technology adoption: Evidence from Hambanthota District, Sri Lanka. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*. 2023;59(1):1-6.
11. Sulaiman VR. Agricultural extension: Involvement of private sector, Occasional paper 29, Department of Economic Analysis and Research, National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), Mumbai; 2003.
12. Swanson BE. Strengthening research extension farmer linkages, In: Swanson BE, Bentz RP, Sofranko AJ. (eds.), *Improving Agricultural Extension. A Reference Manual* (2nd ed.). 1997;89-107.
13. Nirmala T, Vijaya Anu George, RS, Jiji Subin K, Mohan A, Devivaraprasad Reddy, Geetha R, Biya Ann Joseph. Information communication technology tools for animal husbandry technology dissemination. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*. 2023;45(10):88-105. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2023/v45i102202>.
14. Ali, Golam Torab, Ganesh Das, Prabhat Kumar Pal. Inter-collaboration network among institutional actors of agricultural knowledge information system (AKIS): A comparative study between Cooch Behar and Jalpaiguri Districts of West Bengal, India. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*. 2021; 39(11):35-41. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2021/v39i1130723>.
15. Munyua HM. Agricultural knowledge and information systems (AKISs) among small-scale farmers in Kirinyaga District, Kenya (Doctoral dissertation).
16. Mouratiadou I, Lemke N, Chen C, Wartenberg A, Bloch R, Donat M, Gaiser T, Basavegowda DH, Helming K, Yekani SA, Krull M. The Digital Agricultural Knowledge and Information System (DAKIS): Employing digitalisation to encourage diversified and multifunctional agricultural systems. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*. 2023, Oct 1;16:100274.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118868>