Journal of Scientific Research and Reports



Volume 30, Issue 9, Page 51-61, 2024; Article no.JSRR.122414 ISSN: 2320-0227

Export Competitiveness of Indian Coffee: Analysing Trade Potential in the Global Market

Jagadeesh M S ^{a*}, Jahnavi A P ^{b++}, Vinay H T ^{c#}, Abhishek G J ^{d#}, Abhishek S S ^{e†} and Anil Sidaray Chikkalaki ^{f#}

^a Division of Agricultural Economics, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, India.

^b Department of Agricultural Statistics, College of Agriculture, Hagari. University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India.

^c Department of Agricultural Statistics, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Cooch Behar-736165, West Bengal, India.

^d ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.

e ICAR-National Institute of Veterinary Epidemiology and Disease Informatics, Bengaluru, India.

^f CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i92329

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122414

> Received: 19/06/2024 Accepted: 22/08/2024 Published: 23/08/2024

Original Research Article

++ Assistant Professor;

Cite as: M S, Jagadeesh, Jahnavi A P, Vinay H T, Abhishek G J, Abhishek S S, and Anil Sidaray Chikkalaki. 2024. "Export Competitiveness of Indian Coffee: Analysing Trade Potential in the Global Market". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (9):51-61. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i92329.

[#] Ph.D. Scholar;

[†] Research Scholar;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: msjagadeesh15@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Coffee plays a vital role in the Indian economy, serving as a significant agricultural export and a major source of income for millions of farmers, particularly in the southern states. The coffee industry not only contributes to India's foreign exchange earnings but also supports rural development and employment in various regions of the country. This study investigates the export competitiveness of Indian coffee in the global market, focusing on production volumes, trade potential, and comparative advantage. The study is mainly based on the secondary data obtained from ITC trademap, Coffee Board of India, etc. India ranks among the top coffee producers globally, with Karnataka, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu as the leading coffee-producing states. Despite consistent production growth, India's export competitiveness, measured through Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) and Revealed Symmetric Comparative Advantage (RSCA) indices, remains below that of major coffee-exporting countries like Honduras, Ethiopia, and Colombia. The study employs the gravity model, analysing India's coffee exports to 178 trading partners from 2014 to 2023. The Poisson Pseudo Maximum Likelihood (PPML) method addresses zero trade observations, providing robust estimates. The results indicate that larger economies import more coffee from India, while greater geographical distance negatively impacts trade. Interestingly, the analysis reveals a disconnect between India's current export focus and its untapped trade potential. India has exhausted its trade potential in key markets like Italy and Belgium, yet these remain major export destinations. Conversely, significant untapped potential exists in markets like the United Kingdom, France, and the Netherlands. This misalignment suggests an opportunity for India to redirect its export strategies towards underutilized markets. The study concludes that enhancing production efficiency, improving product quality, and aligning export strategies with markets offering the greatest growth potential are critical for strengthening India's position in the global coffee trade.

Keywords: Coffee; trade competitiveness; trade potential; international trade; gravity model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coffee is grown primarily in India's southern states and is heavily reliant on the south-west monsoon [1]. Coffee is the one of the highly traded agricultural commodity in the world with a total trade value of \$42.2 billion (Table 1) and more than 9.5 billion kg produced [2]. Globally Brazil shares first position in coffee production with a percentage of 17.40 followed by Switzerland and Germany. Coffee has been cultivated in approximately 80 tropical countries [3]. Outside of the Arabian Peninsula, coffee is thought to have been grown in India for the longest time. India ranks eight among the top twenty countries in the world in terms of coffee production (Table 2) and occupies 18th position in global export (Table 1). India's coffee was considered as best coffee in the world. To increase the sustainability of coffee production, an emphasis should be placed on improving coffee qualitv through sustainable, environmental friendly cultivation practices, which can result in higher net returns in long run. India is becoming known around the world as one of the world's leading coffee producers. India produces some of the world's best coffee, which is grown in the shade. With over 3 million coffee growers, coffee cultivation is a source of

employment in India. The economics of the coffee industry have changed in recent years, with international market prices falling [4]. Different coffee plantations were established in the 18th century. The Indian coffee industry has made a distinct mark over time on the global coffee map. All coffees in India are cultivated beneath a "well-defined two-tier shadow canopy of evergreen legumes," which is unique in the world [5]. India today has 16 distinct coffee kinds grown in 13 different coffee-growing regions, the majority of which are in the country's southern region. Indian coffee varietals are ideal for cappuccinos and espressos, and there is no equivalent in any other coffee-growing countries.

The purpose of this study was to analyse the competitive position of India in World coffee export and gravity model of trade has been employed to find new markets for India. There are very few studies on Indian Coffee competitiveness in the International market which comprehensively deals with the export potential of coffee and its export competiveness. Majority of the studies focus mainly on the disaggregated products like horticulture sector as a whole or beverages as whole, but in our study we are dealing with particular product level, in this way the present study will add to the

| SI No. | Exporters | Export value | % share in world trade |
|--------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Brazil | 7351 | 17.40 |
| 2 | Switzerland | 3644 | 8.63 |
| 3 | Germany | 3408 | 8.07 |
| 4 | Viet Nam | 3382 | 8.01 |
| 5 | Colombia | 2915 | 6.90 |
| 6 | Italy | 2586 | 6.12 |
| 7 | Honduras | 1488 | 3.52 |
| 8 | Belgium | 1310 | 3.10 |
| 9 | France | 1271 | 3.01 |
| 10 | Ethiopia | 1225 | 2.90 |
| 11 | Netherlands | 1200 | 2.84 |
| 12 | USA | 1193 | 2.83 |
| 13 | Uganda | 955 | 2.26 |
| 14 | Guatemala | 949 | 2.25 |
| 15 | Indonesia | 929 | 2.20 |
| 16 | Peru | 829 | 1.96 |
| 17 | Canada | 764 | 1.81 |
| 18 | India | 747 | 1.77 |
| | World | 42245 | 100 |

Table 1. Major players in global coffee exports, 2023. (Value in million US\$)

Source; ITC Trade map dataset

current literature. This study first calculated Revealed comparative advantage (RCA) and Revealed symmetric comparative advantage (RSCA) for Indian coffee. Panel-gravity approach was used to calculate potential markets for Indian Coffee. The gravity model turns out to be in greatly accepted approach as it deals with all kinds of trade flows [6].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is entirely based on secondary data obtained from various sources viz., WITS database, ITC trade map, CEPII database and FAOSTAT. This study mainly confines to the period 2014-2023, as the trade data of these ten years are sufficient to study the trade competitiveness and trade potential of any commodity. Trade competitiveness of major exporters was analysed by using coffee Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) index. The RCA Index developed by Balassa [7] is one indicating popular methods of the of competitiveness in the international trade. It shows how much competitive is a product in country's export compared to that product's share in the global trade. A product with high RCA value is competitive and can be exported to countries with low RCA value. The RCA index is computed by Equation (1):

$$RCA = \frac{\binom{x_{ij}/x_{ik}}{x_{ik}}}{\binom{x_{nj}/x_{nk}}{x_{nk}}}$$
(1)

Where,

 X_{ij} = Exports by 'ith' country of 'jth'commodity, i.e. coffee

 X_{ik} = Exports by 'ith' country of a set of 'commodities, i.e. agricultural commodities

X_{nj} = Exports by a set of 'n' countries of 'jth'commodity and

 X_{nk} = Exports by a set of 'n' countries of a set of 'k' commodities

However, RCA suffers from the problem of asymmetry as 'pure' RCA is basically not comparable on both sides of unity. The index is made symmetric following the methodology suggested by Dalum, et al., [8] and the new index is called Revealed **Symmetric** Comparative Advantage (RSCA) Index (Equation 2). This index ranges between -1 and +1 and is free from skewness problem.

Mathematically,

$$RSCA = \frac{(RCA-1)}{(RCA+1)}$$
(2)

The gravity model, essential for analysing bilateral trade flows and potential, was first empirically studied by Tinbergen [9] and Poyhonen [10]. Its use surged with further developments by Anderson [11], Bergstrand [12], and Helpman and Krugman [13]. Based on Newton's law of gravitation, the model posits that

trade flows between two countries are proportional to their economic mass (GDP) and inversely proportional to the distance between them. GDP indicates market size, promoting trade as economies grow. Distance suggests higher transport costs and cultural barriers, reducing trade. To capture qualitative trade aspects, dummy variables like shared borders, languages, and colonial ties are included. Such factors reduce transaction costs and strengthen trade relations, enhancing trade, especially among Free Trade Agreement members [14]. By keeping these things in mind, the traditional gravity model is augmented following Irshad et al [15] as:

Where,

Cexp_{ijt} =India's coffee export to country j in year t Dist_{ijt} =distance between India and partner country

 $Y_{jt} = GDP$ of partner country j

Y_{it} = GDP of exporting country *i.e.*, India

 $EU_{it} = 1$ if country currently is a EU member

Trade_Flow_{ijt} = Bilateral trade flow (in thousands current US\$)

Comrelig_{ij} = Religious proximity index

Comcol_{ij}=1 if countries share a common colonizer post 1945

WTO_{ijt}= 1 if destination country currently is a WTO member

Exr_{ijt} =bilateral exchange rates of India and the partner country.

FTA_{ijt} = dummy variable for trade agreements.

This study utilizes data from ITC trademap and CEPII databases in order to estimate the gravity model, then results will be used to calculate India's trade potential in coffee with various countries, considering factors like distance, GDP, openness, landlocked status, common language, colonial ties and free trade agreements. The export potential (EP) formula is:

$$\mathsf{EP} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\left\{ \left(\frac{Actual}{Potential} \right) - 1 \right\}}{\left\{ \left(\frac{Actual}{Potential} \right) + 1 \right\}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

This formula standardizes export potential between -1 and 1. A positive index (0 to 1) indicates higher-than-predicted millets trade, showing exports have reached or exceeded

potential. A negative index (-1 to 0) suggests the opposite [16]. Another method to calculate India's millets exports is the absolute difference between potential and actual trade (ΔT = Potential trade value – Actual trade value), forecasting future trade direction [17]. A positive ΔT indicates trade expansion potential, while a negative ΔT shows India has exceeded its export potential with a specific country. These different indicators help to identify countries with potential for expanding India's coffee exports.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Coffee Production Scenario in the World and in India

Top 20 coffee-producing countries in 2022 by production volume in tonnes are presented in Table 2. Brazil leads with over 3.17 million tonnes, followed by Viet Nam with approximately 1.95 million tonnes. Indonesia and Colombia are third and fourth, producing 794,762 and 665,016 tonnes, respectively. India ranks eighth with 338,619 tonnes. The list includes a mix of countries from different continents, with Ethiopia, Uganda, and Honduras among other notable producers. The production volumes highlight Brazil's dominance in the coffee industry, while other countries contribute significantly smaller, yet substantial, amounts.

The Table 3 compares coffee production across various states in India for the years 2022-23 and 2023-24, focusing on two varieties: Arabica and Robusta. Karnataka is the leading producer, contributing over 70% of India's total coffee production in both years. Kerala follows, with around 19-20% of the total production, dominated by Robusta. Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, and the North Eastern Region contribute smaller shares. The overall coffee production in India increased from 352,000 tons in 2022-23 to 374,200 tons in 2023-24, with both Arabica and Robusta seeing growth. The percentages in parentheses represent each state's contribution to the total production within the given year.

3.2 Major Destination for Indian Coffee

From this study it was evident that Globally Indian coffee is having the export value of 747.20 (US\$) among this Italy was the major market with value of US\$ 146.30 million and 20 per cent share of Indian coffee exports. Followed by Germany with 15.14 per cent share and US\$ 113.10 million export value. Australia occupied the tenth position in the major market for Indian coffee with value of US\$17.50 million (Table 4).

This variation in unit values indicates differences in the quality, processing, and market positioning of Indian Coffee exports among these countries.

| SI. No. | Country | Production | SI. No. | Country | Production |
|---------|-----------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Brazil | 3172562 | 11 | Guinea | 261645 |
| 2 | Viet Nam | 1953990 | 12 | Guatemala | 225500 |
| 3 | Indonesia | 794762 | 13 | Mexico | 181706 |
| 4 | Colombia | 665016 | 14 | Lao People's Democratic | 171000 |
| | | | | Republic | |
| 5 | Ethiopia | 496200 | 15 | Nicaragua | 170181 |
| 6 | Uganda | 393900 | 16 | China | 108886 |
| 7 | Peru | 352645 | 17 | China, mainland | 108000 |
| 8 | India | 338619 | 18 | Costa Rica | 79200 |
| 9 | Honduras | 315490 | 19 | Côte d'Ivoire | 70000 |
| 10 | Central African | 306901 | 20 | United Republic of | 67200 |
| | Republic | | | Tanzania | |

| Table 2 | Top 20 maio | r coffee r | producers | in the world | in 2022 | (Production | in tonnes |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| | | | nouuoci 5 | | | (i i o a a o a o a o a | III tornico |

Source; FAOSTAT dataset pertaining to the year 2022

Table 3. Status of coffee production in India

| States | | 2023-24 | | | 2022-23 | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | Arabica | Robusta | Total | Arabica | Robusta | Total | | |
| Karnataka | 81960 | 184925 | 266885 | 72020 | 176000 | 248020 | | |
| | (72.53) | (70.80) | (71.32) | (72.02) | (69.84) | (70.46) | | |
| Kerala | 2075 | 70750 | 72825 | 1975 | 70450 | 72425 | | |
| | (1.84) | (27.09) | (19.46) | (1.98) | (27.96) | (20.58) | | |
| Tamil Nadu | 13,045 | 5,390 | 18,435 | 13,250 | 5,450 | 18,700 | | |
| | (11.54) | (2.06) | (4.93) | (13.25) | (2.16) | (5.31) | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 15,340 | 40 | 15,380 | 12,225 | 40 | 12,265 | | |
| | (13.58) | (0.02) | (4.11) | (12.23) | (0.02) | (3.48) | | |
| Orissa | 500 | 0 | 500 | 465 | 0 | 465 | | |
| | (0.44) | (0.00) | (0.13) | (0.47) | (0.00) | (0.13) | | |
| North Eastern | 80 | 95 | 175 | 65 | 60 | 125 | | |
| Region | (0.07) | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.02) | (0.04) | | |
| Grand Total (India) | 1,13,000 | 2,61,200 | 3,74,200 | 1,00,000 | 2,52,000 | 3,52,000 | | |
| | (100) | (100) | (100) | (100) | (100) | (100) | | |
| Note: Figures in the parenthesis indicates percentages | | | | | | | | |

Source; Coffee Board of India. Data pertains to 2022-23 and 2023-24

Table 4. Major destinations for Indian Coffee -2023. (Value in million US\$)

| Importers | Export value | Percentage (%) | | |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|--|--|
| Italy | 146.3 | 19.59 | | |
| Germany | 113.1 | 15.14 | | |
| Belgium | 57.8 | 7.74 | | |
| Jordan | 47.9 | 6.40 | | |
| United Arab Emirates | 42.5 | 5.69 | | |
| Libya, State | 35.9 | 4.81 | | |
| Kuwait | 30.5 | 4.08 | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 19.3 | 2.58 | | |
| Greece | 17.7 | 2.37 | | |
| Australia | 17.5 | 2.34 | | |
| World | 747.2 | 100 | | |

Source: Authors' computations from ITC trademap data 2023

| Countries | Index | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | Average |
|-------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Brazil | RCA | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| | RSCA | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Switzerland | RCA | 10.3 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 16.1 | 13.4 | 15.0 | 12.4 |
| | RSCA | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Germany | RCA | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| - | RSCA | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Viet Nam | RCA | 8.8 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| | RSCA | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Colombia | RCA | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 16.1 |
| | RSCA | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Italy | RCA | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| - | RSCA | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Honduras | RCA | 18.2 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 17.8 | 25.9 | | 18.6 | 20.5 |
| | RSCA | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Belgium | RCA | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| • | RSCA | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | RCA | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| | RSCA | -0.3 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| Ethiopia | RCA | 14.1 | 14.9 | 14.2 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 21.6 | 23.2 | 22.1 | 18.2 |
| - | RSCA | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| India | RCA | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| | RSCA | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 |

Table 5. Comparative advantage indices of 10 major exporters of Coffee in comparison with India

Source: Authors' computations from ITC trademap data from 2014 to 2023

3.3 Trade Competitiveness

The comparative advantage indices i.e. RCA and RCSA of 10 major exporting countries was studied and the results were presented in Table 5. Equation (1) and (2) were used to estimate RCA and RCSA indices respectively. These indices were calculated for a period of ten years (2014 to 2023) and also overall averages of these indices were listed in Table 5. From these results it was evident that the Honduras was the first country with highest average Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) and Revealed Symmetric Comparative Advantage (RSCA) values, which have been consistently increasing over the years. This trend underscores Honduras growing strength and efficiency in the millet export market followed by Ethiopia (18.20) and Columbia (16.10). Based on the outcome of RCA and RCSA index analysis. India is less competitive for coffee export when compared to other major coffee exporting countries in the world with RCA and RCSA index value of 0.8 and -0.1 respectively. These values have shown a constant growth from 2013 to 2023, reflecting India's strengthening position and competitive edge in the global coffee market. India's improvements highlight its capacity to enhance production; guality and market reach over the decade. Conversely, the Honduras and Ethiopia demonstrate relatively higher comparative advantages in coffee exports. Their RCA and RSCA values are comparatively highest, indicating high competitiveness in the global market. This could be due to various factors such as lesser production costs, higher yields and more efficient supply chains. Belgium and France were found to have least exporter of coffee, faces a comparative disadvantage. This is evident from their negative RSCA values and RCA values less than 1. These metrics suggest that Belgium and France struggles to compete effectively in the International coffee market. Factors contributing to this disadvantage could include less favourable climatic conditions, or lower productivity compared to its competitors. The results are comparable with those of Al-Abdulkader [18], Kumareswaran [19], Hassen, K et al [20] and Chinnappa and Rajashekar [21].

Overall, the comparative analysis of these major coffee exporters highlights the varying levels of competitiveness and the dynamic nature of global agricultural trade. Honduras and Ethiopia stand out with their increasing comparative advantages, while the remaining countries included for the study face challenges that affect their positions in the coffee export market.

3.4 Trade Potential

The gravity model analyses India's coffee exports to 178 trading partners from 2014 to 2023, addressing zero trade observations using the PPML method, as recommended by Silva and Tenreyro [22] and applied in agricultural trade studies by Fadevi et al. [23] and Sun & Reed [24]. Table 6 presents the estimation results using equation (3), showing that most variables have expected signs and significance levels. A higher GDP of the importing country positively and significantly affects trade, reflecting greater demand potential. Similarly, a higher GDP of the exporting country indicates greater production potential, leading to increased exports. Our findings confirm this for India's coffee exports: a 1% increase in trading partners' GDP results in a 1.54% [exp(0.432)] increase in coffee exports from India. This positive relationship indicates that larger economies import more coffee from India, holding other factors constant. Geographical distance, a proxy for transportation costs, negatively impacts India's coffee exports. Specifically, a 1 per cent increase in distance results in a 0.65% [exp(-0.422)] decrease in coffee exports, implying that India trades more with countries where transportation costs are lower. While there is no impact of exchange rates on Indian coffee export, our study found a negligible effect on Indian coffee trade. The dummy variable for religious proximity index and common colonizer, positively and significantly affects India's coffee exports, showing that a 1.9 per cent increase in religious proximity index and common colony correlates with 8.5 per cent and 0.10 per cent increase in coffee exports. This suggests that India typically exports commodities to other countries without trade agreements, indicating that such agreements do not significantly impact agricultural commodities exports. Sometimes, free trade agreements and trade liberalization policies can negatively affect the home industry [25], as tariff reductions might harm India's agricultural and coffee sectors. Most explanatory variables align with the gravity theory, demonstrating a positive model with India's coffee relationship exports. Interestingly, dummy variable for common colonial history is positive, indicating that countries with a British colonial past tend to import more coffee from India.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. err. | z | P>z | [95% conf. | . interval] |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Distance | -0.422 | 0.145 | -2.91 | 0.0040 | -0.706 | -0.138 |
| GDP of destination | 0.432 | 0.078 | 5.52 | 0.0000 | 0.279 | 0.586 |
| GDP of origin | 0.417 | 0.163 | 2.56 | 0.0100 | 0.098 | 0.735 |
| European Union | 1.898 | 0.143 | 13.24 | 0.0000 | 1.617 | 2.179 |
| Bilateral trade flow | 0.323 | 0.072 | 4.45 | 0.0000 | 0.181 | 0.464 |
| Common religion | 8.514 | 1.943 | 4.38 | 0.0000 | 4.707 | 12.321 |
| Common colony | 0.107 | 0.191 | 0.56 | 0.5750 | -0.267 | 0.481 |
| WTO membership of | -0.205 | 0.204 | -1.01 | 0.3140 | -0.605 | 0.195 |
| destination | | | | | | |
| Exchange rate | 0.000 | 0.000 | -2.16 | 0.0310 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| FTA | -1.985 | 0.251 | -7.91 | 0.0000 | -2.477 | -1.493 |
| _cons | -10.820 | 3.782 | -2.86 | 0.0040 | -18.232 | -3.408 |

Table 6. Panel gravity model results

Source: Authors' computations from ITC trademap data and CEPII database for the period of 2014-2023

| List of countries | with which India potential | List of countries with which India has exhausted trade potential | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Country | export potential in US\$ 1000 | Export potential Index | Country | exhausted potential in US\$ 1000 | Export potential Index | |
| United Kingdom | 32127 | -0.79 | Italy | -104985 | 0.62 | |
| France | 29419 | -0.74 | Belgium | -24641 | 0.42 | |
| Netherlands | 17316 | -0.63 | Jordan | -18607 | 0.89 | |
| United States | 9937 | -0.42 | Germany | -15878 | 0.15 | |
| Poland | 9135 | -0.71 | Slovenia | -11059 | 0.69 | |
| Sweden | 8873 | -0.87 | Kuwait | -10461 | 0.62 | |
| UAE | 7586 | -0.36 | Australia | -9743 | 0.68 | |
| Austria | 7382 | -0.84 | Libya | -9369 | 0.83 | |
| Denmark | 6964 | -0.80 | Korea, Rep. | -5083 | 0.84 | |
| Spain | 6892 | -0.19 | Israel | -5046 | 0.55 | |
| Turkey | 6214 | -0.65 | Greece | -4847 | 0.28 | |
| Ireland | 5998 | -0.98 | Switzerland | -4109 | 0.52 | |
| Czech Republic | 5378 | -0.97 | Croatia | -3783 | 0.59 | |
| Finland | 4503 | -0.63 | Syria | -3197 | 0.65 | |
| Hungary | 4353 | -1.00 | Russia | -2937 | 0.27 | |
| Romania | 3497 | -0.63 | Tunisia | -1837 | 0.52 | |
| Iraq | 3246 | -0.95 | Albania | -1647 | 0.88 | |
| Hong Kong, China | 3123 | -0.98 | Egypt | -1417 | 0.18 | |
| Slovak Republic | 2795 | -1.00 | Ukraine | -1310 | 0.47 | |
| Qatar | 2755 | -0.78 | Algeria | -1187 | 0.19 | |

Table 7. Trade potential of Indian coffee in Global market

Source: Authors' computations ITC trademap data and CEPII database for the period of 2014-2023.

To compete globally, India's coffee industry needs to ensure high product quality, competitive pricing, and adherence to international labelling and packaging standards. Export growth can drive India's economic development and help it become a significant player in the global market.

The gravity model coefficients are used to estimate India's predicted coffee exports, which are then compared to actual exports to assess export potential. From the export potential calculations it was revealed that India has coffee trade potential with Table 7 highlights India's coffee export potential with 135 out of179 countries. In order to make efficient use of space, the results are confined to only top 20 countries where export potential exists and last 20 countries where India has exhausted the coffee trade potential. The analysis reveals that India has the highest trade potential in the United Kingdom, France, the Netherlands, the United States, and Poland. However, India has already exhausted its trade potential in markets like Italy, Belgium, Jordan, Germany, and Slovenia. Interestingly, India's major export destinations are primarily those where its trade potential is already exhausted. This paradox highlights the disconnect between India's trade potential and its current export focus, suggesting an opportunity to redirect efforts toward underutilized markets.

4. CONCLUSION

The present study on export competitiveness of Indian coffee reveals a complex and nuanced position for India in the global coffee market. India's coffee production and export data, coupled with the analysis of trade potential, illustrate both strengths and areas for improvement in its export strategy. India stands as a significant player in the global coffee market, producing a substantial volume of coffee, particularly in the states of Karnataka, Kerala, and Tamil Nadu. The increase in overall coffee production from 2022-23 to 2023-24, particularly in both Arabica and Robusta varieties, showcases India's growing capacity to meet international demand. However, despite this production growth, India's export competitiveness, as measured by the Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) and Revealed Symmetric Comparative Advantage (RSCA) indices, indicates that India lags behind other major coffee-exporting countries like Honduras, Ethiopia, and Colombia. The RCA and RSCA values for India, although improving over the years, remain below 1, highlighting a less competitive position in comparison to its global counterparts. The gravity model analysis further underscores the opportunities and challenges faced by Indian coffee in the international market. While the model confirms a positive relationship between the GDP of trading partners

and Indian coffee exports, it also highlights the negative impact of geographical distance on trade volumes. Countries with closer proximity to India are more likely to import Indian coffee. whereas distant markets, despite potential demand, face higher transportation costs, which dampens trade. This finding suggests a need for India to explore and invest in cost-effective logistics solutions enhance to its competitiveness in distant but lucrative markets. A critical insight from this study is the mismatch between India's actual export destinations and untapped trade potential. The analysis its reveals that while India has exhausted its trade potential in key markets like Italy, Belgium, and Germany, these countries remain major export Conversely, destinations. markets with significant untapped potential, such as the United Kingdom, France, and the Netherlands, are not fully leveraged. This misalignment indicates an opportunity for India to diversify its export strategy by focusing on underutilized markets where its coffee could achieve greater market penetration. To strengthen its position in the global coffee market, India must address both the internal and external factors influencing its export competitiveness. This includes improving production efficiency, enhancing product quality, and aligning export strategies with markets that offer the most significant growth potential. By redirecting efforts toward these underexploited markets and optimizing supply chain logistics. India can improve its competitiveness and secure a more robust and sustainable position in the global coffee trade.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We gratefully acknowledge all the support and resources that made this research possible.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Abewoy D. Impact of coffee berry borer on global coffee industry. International

Journal of Novel Research in Engineering and Science. 2022;9(1):1-8.

- 2. Vieira HD. Coffee: The plant and its cultivation. In Plant-parasitic nematodes of coffee. Springer, Dordrecht. 2008;1(1):3-18.
- Adhikari M, Isaac EL, Paterson RRM, Maslin MA. A review of potential impacts of climate change on coffee cultivation and mycotoxigenic fungi. Microorganisms. 2020;8(10):16-25.
- Ninan KN, Sathyapalan J. The economics of biodiversity conservation: A study of a coffee growing region in the Western Ghats of India. Ecological Economics. 2005;55(1):61-72.
- Marie-Vivien D, Garcia CA, Kushalappa CG, Vaast P. Trademarks, geographical indications and environmental labelling to promote biodiversity: The case of agroforestry coffee in India. Development Policy Review. 2014;32(4):379-398.
- Anderson JE. The gravity model of economic interaction. Boston College and NBER; 2017. Available:https://www2.bc.edu/jamesander son/GravityModel.pdf
- Balassa B. Trade liberalization and revealed comparative advantage. The Manchester School. 1965;33(2):99– 123.
- Dalum B, Laursen K, Villumsen G. Structural change in OECD export specialisation patterns: De-specialisation and 'stickiness'. International Review of Applied Economics. 1998;12 (3):423-443.
- Tinbergen Jan. Shaping the world economy — Suggestions for an International Economy Policy, The Twentieth Century Fund; 1962.
- 10. Poyhonen Pentti. A Tentative model for the volume of trade between countries, Weltwirtschaftliches Archive. 1963;90:93-100.
- 11. Anderson, James E. A theoretical foundation for the gravity equation. American Economic Review. 1963;69:1(1979):106-16.
- Bergstrand JH. The gravity equation in international trade: Some microeconomic foundations and empirical evidence. Review of Economic Statistics. 1985;67(3):474–481. Available:https://doi.org/10.2307/1925976

- Helpman, Elhanan, Krugman Paul. Market structure and foreign trade. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1985.
- 14. Melitz J. North, South and distance in the gravity model. European Economic Review. 2007;51(4):971-991.
- Irshad MS, Xin Q, Arshad H. Competitiveness of Pakistani rice in international market and export potential with global world: A panel gravity approach. Cogent Economics & Finance. 2018;6(1):1486690.
- Mohmand YT, Salmaan A, Mughal KS, Imran M, Makarevic N. Export potentials of Pakistan: Evidence from the gravity model of trade. European Journal of Economic Studies. 2015;14(4):212–220.
- 17. Gul N, Yasin HM. The trade potential of Pakistan: An application of the gravity model. The Lahore Journal of Economics. 2011;16(1):23–62.
- Al-Abdulkader AM, Al-Namazi AA, AlTurki TA, Al-Khuraish MM, Al-Dakhil AI. Optimizing coffee cultivation and its impact on economic growth and export earnings of the producing countries: The case of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2018;25(4):776-782.
- 19. Kumareswaran T, Kamalvanshi V, Badal PS. Trade competitiveness and trend pattern of plantation crop exports in India; 2022.
- 20. Hassen K, Zinab B, Belachew T. Gender and education as predictors of food insecurity among coffee farming households of the Jimma zone, Southwest of Ethiopia. BMC Nutrition. 2016;2:1-7.
- 21. Chinappa RK, Rajashekar H. Export performance: Indian coffee. SCMS Journal of Indian Management. 2012;9(4):46.
- 22. Silva JS, Tenreyro S. Further simulation evidence on the performance of the Poisson pseu domaximum likelihood estimator. Economics Letters. 2011;112:220–222.

DOI: 10.1016/j. econlet.2011.05.008

- Fadeyi OA, Bahta TY, Ogundeji AA, Willemse BJ. Impacts of the SADC free trade agreement on South African agricultural trade. Outlook on Agriculture. 2014;43(1):53–59. DOI: 10.5367/oa.2014.0154
- 24. Sun L, Reed MR. Impacts of free trade agreements on agricultural trade creation and trade diversion. American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2010;92:1351–1363.

Jagadeesh et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 51-61, 2024; Article no.JSRR.122414

DOI: 10.1093/ajae/aaq07625. Anderson JE, Yotov YV. Terms of trade and global efficiency effects of free trade

agreements, 1990-2002. Journal of International Economics. 2016;98:279–298.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122414