

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 11, Page 413-420, 2024; Article no.JABB.126275 ISSN: 2394-1081

Genetic Diversity Analysis of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Genotypes for Yield and Sheath Blight Screening

Bandela Chandana ^{a++}, Adheena Ram A. ^{a#*}, Seeja G. ^{a†}, Surendran M. ^{b†} and Susha S. Thara ^{c#}

 ^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram-695522, Kerala, India.
^b M.S. Swaminathan Rice Research Station, Kerala Agricultural University, Moncompu, Alappuzha-688503, Kerala, India.

^c Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram-695522, Kerala, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111626

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126275

> Received: 01/09/2024 Accepted: 03/11/2024 Published: 06/11/2024

Original Research Article

++ PG Scholar;

Cite as: Chandana, Bandela, Adheena Ram A., Seeja G., Surendran M., and Susha S. Thara. 2024. "Genetic Diversity Analysis of Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) Genotypes for Yield and Sheath Blight Screening". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (11):413-20. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111626.

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Professor & Head;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: adheenaram.a@kau.in;

ABSTRACT

Sheath blight disease, caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn, is considered the second most important disease affecting rice, causing yield reduction globally. In the present study thirty diverse rice genotypes were inoculated with fungal mycelia during the maximum tillering stage to assess the genetic diversity of rice genotypes for sheath blight reactions. Mahalanobis D² statistics was performed for grain yield and yield contributing features under sheath blight stress to calculate the genetic divergence between the genotypes. Four clusters were formed from the genotypes. Cluster III has the highest number of genotypes (nine). The highest inter-cluster distance was noticed between cluster I and cluster IV (11.41) and minimum between cluster III and cluster IV (6.50). The intra-cluster distances were lower, indicating the homogeneity of the genotypes within the clusters. Maximum intra-cluster D² value was recorded in cluster IV (6.32) and minimum in cluster II (5.36). Cluster I showed high genetic divergence from all other clusters. Hence, the genotypes from cluster I could be used for hybridization with the genotypes of other clusters to develop high yielding sheath blight resistant rice varieties.

Keywords: Rice sheath blight resistance; cluster analysis; D2 statistics; genetic divergence; rice germplasm; rhizoctonoa solani; relative lesion height.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is an important cereal crop in the world, playing a vital role in nutrition and food security (Sahu et al. 2024). However, due to climatic changes, rice production is challenged by several emerging diseases, one of the most significant being sheath blight, caused by the soil-borne necrotrophic fungus Rhizoctonia solani Kühn. Sheath blight causes infection from seedling to the heading stage, causing damage to leaves, sheaths, and even panicles affecting plant health and yield (Savary et al. 1995). Sheath blight thrives in warm, humid conditions, making it particularly problematic in regions with high rainfall and intensive cultivation reducing both grain yield and guality (Singh et al. 2016). The yield loss due to sheath blight was estimated to range from 10-40% annually, causing a growing threat to rice cultivation (Savary et al. 2000). Effective management strategies. including developing resistant rice varieties, cultural practices and judicious use of fungicides are essential to mitigate the effects of this disease (Sha et al. 2000). However, highly resistant varieties, have not yet been identified which significantly limits the progress of sheath blight resistance breeding (Chen et al. 2023). Only moderate resistance to rice sheath blight has been reported by different researchers (Liu et al. 2009, Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010. Dev et al. 2020).

Genetic diversity is essential for any crop improvement program, as progenies from divergent parents exhibit greater heterosis and broader variability in segregating generations (Dev et al. 2020). Diversity analysis is used to assess the genetic divergence existing in the germplasm collections and to identify parental areater divergence aenotypes with for hybridization to develop high yielding varieties (Bhatt, 1970). Mahalanobis D² statistics has been widely recognized as a powerful tool for plant breeders to select suitable parental genotypes with a broader range of variability for various traits (Sinha et al. 2020). The clustering can reveal the pattern of divergence among the genotypes and help in selecting diverse parental lines for breeding (Kamera 2015). Hence, the present investigation was undertaken to estimate the magnitude of genetic divergence among 30 rice genotypes under sheath blight disease incidence.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty rice genotypes (Table 1) were screened for blight resistance in completely sheath randomized design with two replications at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vellayani. At the maximum tillering stage (approximately 40 days after planting), rice plants were inoculated with Rhizoctonia solani mycelia bits between tillers, 5-10 cm above the waterline and then tied with cotton to maintain high humidity. Observations were recorded from five randomly selected plants from each replication for different characters via days to heading (DH), days to 50 per cent flowering (DF), number of tillers per plant (NT), number of productive tillers per plant (NPT), stem thickness (ST), plant height (PH, cm), lesion height (LH, cm), panicle length (PL, cm), number of grains per panicle (GP), thousand grain weight (TGW, g), grain yield per plant (GY,g), relative lesion height (RLH, %), number of lesions per plant (NLS) and percentage disease index (PDI, %). The lesion height was recorded on the 28thday after inoculation. The relative lesion height (RLH) was calculated using the following formula and expressed in terms of percentage (Dev et al. 2020).

Relative lesion height (RLH) = $\frac{\text{Lesion height (cm)}}{\text{plant height(cm)}} \times 100$

Percentage disease index (PDI) was calculated using the following equation and expressed in terms of percentage (Pramesh et al. 2017).

 $PDI = \frac{Sum of individual ratings}{No.of plants examined * Maximum disease scale} \times 100$

The data was analyzed following Mahalanobis's (1936) generalized distance (D²) extended by Rao (Rao 1952). The grouping of the genotypes into clusters was carried out using Ward's methods. Statistical analysis was carried out using RStudio 3.6.1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Distribution and Grouping of Genotypes

The cluster analysis was conducted for 30 genotypes (Table 2) for fourteen characters namely days to heading (DH), days to 50 per cent flowering (DF), number of tillers per plant (NT), number of productive tillers per plant (NPT), stem thickness (ST), plant height (PH, cm), lesion height (LH, cm), panicle length (PL, cm), number of grains per panicle (GP),

thousand grain weight (TGW, g), grain yield per plant (GY,g), relative lesion height (RLH, %), number of lesions per plant (NLS) and percentage disease index (PDI, %). Based on the distribution pattern, the 30 genotypes were grouped into four clusters. The clustering pattern of the genotypes is depicted through the dendrogram (Fig. 1). Cluster III with nine genotypes was the largest followed by cluster I (eight genotypes) and cluster IV (seven genotypes). The clusters Ilhad the least number of genotypes (six). Based on the inter-cluster distances, clusters III and IV had the lowest divergence (6.50) while clusters I and IV recorded the highest divergence (11.41). The highest intra cluster distance was noticed among genotypes of cluster IV (6.32) and lowest was noticed among genotypes of cluster II (5.36). Similar results were obtained by (Dev et al., 2020), where the 29 accessions are divided into six clusters and highest intra cluster distance was observed in cluster IV.

3.2 Intra and Inter Cluster D² Values

Based on D^2 values, the average inter-cluster and intra-cluster distances were computed and are presented in the Table 3. The intra-cluster distance varied from 5.36 (cluster II) to 6.32 (cluster IV). The lower intra cluster values indicated lower variation among the genotypes within the cluster. The inter-cluster distances extended from 6.50 to 11.41. The minimum divergence was noted between cluster III and cluster IV (6.50), while the highest inter-cluster distance was noticed between cluster I and cluster IV (11.41). The inter cluster distances were depicted in the cluster diagram (Fig. 2).

Treatments	Name of Genotypes	Treatments	Name of Genotypes
T1	Remanika	T16	Prathyasa
T2	Gouri	T17	Karthika
Т3	Chenthadi	T18	Chenkayama
Τ4	Krishnanjana	T19	Vyttila-9
T5	Panchami	T20	Pournami
Т6	Karishma	T21	Chettivirippu
T7	Revathy	T22	Vyttila-6
Т8	Bhagya	T23	Onam
Т9	Vyttila-7	T24	Makom
T10	Karuna	T25	Uma
T11	White Ponni	T26	Bhadra
T12	Sreyas	T27	Vyttila-8
T13	Vyttila-1	T28	Vyttila-4
T14	Vyttila-10	T29	Pokkali
T15	Vyttila-3	T30	Kuthiru

Table 1. List of rice genotypes used in the study

Cluster	No.of genotypes	Genotypes
Ι	8	Remanika, Chenthadi,Krishnanjana,Panchami, Karishma, Revathy, White Ponni, Bhadra
П	6	Gouri, Karuna, Sreyas, Karthika, Chenkayama, Onam
III	9	Bhagya, Vyttila-7, Vyttila-10, Prathyasa, Vyttila-9, Pournami, Vyttila-6, Makom, Uma
IV	7	Vyttila-1, Vyttila-3, Chettivirippu, Vyttila-8, Vyttila-4, Pokkali, Kuthiru

Table 2. Clustering pattern of 30 rice genotypes based on 14 traits

Table 3. Intra and inter-cluster (D²) distances of 30 rice genotypes

	Cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III	Cluster IV
Cluster I	5.83			
Cluster II	8.36	5.36		
Cluster III	9.23	6.68	5.87	
Cluster IV	11.41	8.31	6.50	6.32





Fig. 1. Hierarchical Wards method dendrogram showing the cluster pattern of 30 rice genotypes

With an intra-cluster distance of 5.83 Cluster I recorded the greatest inter-cluster value with cluster IV (11.41) and minimum with cluster II (8.36). Cluster II had an intra- cluster distance of 5.36. This cluster recorded the greatest divergence with cluster IV (8.31) and lowest with

cluster III (6.68). With an intra-cluster distance of 5.87, cluster III had the highest inter-cluster distance of 6.50 with cluster IV. Cluster IV recorded the greatest intra cluster value. In this study, the inter-cluster distances were higher than the intra-cluster distances which indicated

the presence of considerable genetic diversity among the genotypes of different clusters. Maximum heterosis and segregants could be developed by crossing genotypes from clusters I and IV that exhibited the greatest genetic distance. The least genetic distancewas observed between clusters III and IV, indicating that the genotypes within these clusters are closely related. Similar reports were made by (Vennela *et al.* 2017, Dey *et al.*, 2020) and (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2023) in rice.

The cluster means were calculated for fourteen characters and presented in Table 4. The maximum and minimum cluster mean values were distributed in different clusters. Cluster I was observed to have the highest cluster average for days to heading (78.00), days to 50 per cent flowering (90.68), number of tillers per plant (3.87), number of productive tillers per plant (3.62), stem thickness(6.08 cm), panicle length (21.77 cm), number of grains per panicle (144.46), thousand grain yield (23.20g) and grain yield per plant (7.10 g). Cluster IV had the highest cluster average for plant height (97.44 cm), lesion height (20.39 cm), relative lesion height (36.57%), percentage disease index (24.12) and number of lesions per plant (6.53). Similar results for maximum cluster means for plant height (140.08) was recorded in cluster 4 by (Dev et al., 2020, Rathan et al., 2020).

The lowest cluster mean for days to heading (56.50), days to 50 percent flowering (67.14), number of productive tillers per plant (2.43), stem thickness (4.95 cm), panicle length (17.00 cm), number of grains per panicle (42.02), thousand grain weight (14.54 g) and grain yield per plant (2.47 g) was recorded in cluster IV. The lowest cluster mean for lesion height (7.96 cm), relative lesion height (20.50 cm), number of lesions per plant (3.06) was observed in cluster I. Lowest cluster mean for number of tillers per plant (2.75) and percentage disease index was seen in cluster II. The cluster mean for plant height (79.88 cm) was minimum in cluster III (Gnaneswari, et al. 2023).

Cluster I exhibited desirable mean values for vield contributing traits including grain yield per plant, number of grains per panicle, thousand grain weight, panicle length and number of productive tillers. The mean values for sheath blight disease resistance reactions such as lesion height, relative lesion height and percentage disease index were low in cluster I. Cluster I consist of sheath blight tolerant genotypes Chenthadi, Krishnanjana, Panchami and White Ponni, moderately resistant genotypes Karishma, Revathy, Bhadra and moderately susceptible genotype Remanika. Hence, the sheath blight tolerant genotypes in cluster 1 can be utilized in hybridization for developing high yielding sheath blight resistant rice varieties.



Fig. 2. Cluster diagram of rice genotypes

Cluster	DH	DF	NT	NPT	ST	PH	LH	PL	GP	TGW	GY	RLH	PDI	NLS	_
	78.00	90.68	3.87	3.62	6.08	84.25	7.96	21.77	144.46	23.20	7.10	20.50	12.22	3.06	
II	66.75	79.83	2.75	2.41	5.40	89.03	12.69	21.38	126.00	22.42	6.11	21.95	11.85	3.70	
111	63.05	76.72	3.33	3.11	5.19	79.88	17.85	20.41	87.36	19.53	4.32	34.54	21.23	5.75	
IV	56.50	67.14	3.00	2.35	4.95	97.44	20.39	17.00	42.02	14.54	2.47	36.57	24.12	6.53	

Table 4. Cluster means for fourteen characters in rice genotypes

IV 56.50 67.14 3.00 2.35 4.95 97.44 20.39 17.00 42.02 14.54 2.47 36.57 24.12 6.53 DH-Days to heading, DF- Days to 50 per cent flowering, NT- Number of tillers per plant, NPT- Number of productive tillers per plant, ST- Stem thickness, PH- Plant height, LH-Lesion height, PL- Panicle length, GP- Number of grains per panicle, TGW-Thousand grain weight, GY-Grain yield, RLH-Relative lesion height, PDI-Percentage disease index, NLS-Number of lesions per plant

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that broad genetic variability exists among the genotypes resulting in four clusters with significant genetic distances in the diversity analysis. For future breeding programmes genotypes should be selected from different clusters rather than within the cluster. The results indicated that the genotypes in cluster I and IV were divergent and therefore future breeding programs can focus on hybridizing the genotypes from diverse clusters as parents for developing desirable segregants for grain yield and sheath blight resistance. Intra cluster distance was recorded highest in cluster IV followed by cluster III, indicating that within the cluster the genotypes have low genetic diversity and hybridization among the genotypes may not be useful. Cluster I, comprising Chenthadi, Krishnanjana, Panchami and White Ponni with significantly higher grain yield per plant and tolerance to sheath blight could be used in future hybridization programs to develop high yielding sheath blight resistant rice varieties.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is grateful to Kerala Agricultural University for providing Junior Research Fellowship and other resources for the research at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vellayani.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Bhatt, G. M. Multivariate analysis approach to selection of parents for hybridization aiming at yield improvement in selfpollinated crops. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1970; 21: 1-7.
- Channamallikarjuna, V., Sonah, H., Prasad, M., Rao, G.J.N., Chand, S., Upreti, H.C., Singh, N.K. and Sharma, T.R. Identification

of major quantitative trait loci qSBR11-1 for sheath blight resistance in rice. Mol. Plant Breed. 2010; 25:155-166.

- Chaudhary, S., Sagar, S., Lal, M., Tomar, A., Kumar, J., Kumar, V. and Kumar, M.,. Morpho-genetic variability of Rhizoctonia solani population causing sheath blight disease in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J. of Environ. Biol. 2023; 44(1): 108-121.[15]
- Chen J, Xuan Y, Yi J, Xiao G, Yuan DP and Li DProgress in rice sheath blight resistance research. Front. Plant Sci. 2023 1 4:1141697. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1141697
- Dey, S., Badri, J., Eswari, K.B. and Prakasam, V. Diversity analysis for yield traits and sheath blight resistance in rice genotypes. Electron. J. Plant Breed. 2020; *11*(01):60-64.
- Gnaneswari, V.M., Krishnan, V., Anandhan, T., Vengadessan, V., Nadaradjan, S. and Tamilzharasi, M., 2023. Assessment of genetic variability and diversity analysis in medium duration rice accessions. Electron. J. of Plant Breed. *14*(1): 329-335.
- Kamera, S., Genetic diversity for yield, its contributing characters and sheath blight tolerance in rice (Oryza sativa L.) (Doctoral dissertation, Professor jayashankar telangana state agricultural university. Hyderabad), 2015.
- Liu, Guangjie., Jia, Y., Correa-Victoria, F.J., Prado, G.A., Yeater, K.M., McClung, A. and Correll, J.C. Mapping quantitative trait loci responsible for resistance to sheath blight in rice. Phytopathol. 2009; *99*(9): 1078-1084.
- Pramesh, D., Alase, S., Muniraju, K.M. and Kumara, M.K. A combination fungicide for the management of sheath blight, sheath rot and stem rot diseases of paddy. IJCMAS, 2017; *6*(9) : 3500-3509
- Rao, C. R. Advanced Statistical Method in Biometrical Research. John Wiley and sons, New York, 1952; 390p.
- Rathan, N.D., Singh, S.K., Singh, R.K. and Singh, D.K.,. Estimating genetic divergence in rice (Oryza sativa L.) using D² analysis. Electron. J. of Plant Breed. 2020; *11*(03): 836-840.
- Sahu, B.K., Sahu, S., Sahu, S.K. and Awadhiya, G.K. Extensive Survey of Sheath Blight of Rice (Rhizoctoniasolani) in Different Geographical Area of Chhattisgarh, India. J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2024; 46(7):1153-1160.

- Savary, S., Castilla, N.P., Elazegui, F.A., McLaren, C.G., Ynalvez, M.A. and Teng, P.S. Direct and indirect effects disease of nitrogen supply and source structure rice sheath on blight spread. Phytopathol. 1995: 85(9) :959-965.
- Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Elazegui, F. A., Castilla, N. P., Teng, P. S. Rice pest constraints in tropical Asia: quantification of yield losses due to rice pests in a range of production situations. Plant Dis. 2000; 84 (3):357–369.
- Sha, X.Y. and Zhu, L.H., Resistance of some rice varieties to sheath blight (ShB).1990.Zou, J.H., Pan, X.B., Chen, Z.X., Xu, J.Y., Lu, J.F., Zhai, W.X. and Zhu, L.H., Mapping

quantitative trait loci controlling sheath blight resistance in two rice cultivars (Oryza sativa L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 2000; 101:569-573.

- Singh, R., Sunder, S. and Kumar, P.Sheath blight of rice: current status and perspectives. Indian Phytopathol. 2016; 69(4):340-351.
- Sinha, N., Singh, D. and Mohanty, T.A.Study of genetic divergence in lowland rice genotypes of Bihar. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(2): 88-94.
- Vennela, P.R., Singh, S.K., Singh, R., Gayatonde, V. and Singh, D.K. Genetic divergence studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) for yield and yield related traits. *Vegetos.* Int. J. Plant Res. 2017; *30*:191-195.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126275