



Article

# Talent Management in the Hospitality Sector: Predicting Discretionary Work Behaviour

Friday Ogbu Edeh <sup>1</sup>, Nurul Mohammad Zayed <sup>2</sup>, Iryna Perevozova <sup>3</sup>, Halyna Kryshtal <sup>4</sup> and Vitalii Nitsenko <sup>3,5</sup>,\*

- Department of Business Administration, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Abakaliki P.M.B. 1010, Nigeria
- Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Business and Entrepreneurship, Daffodil International University, Dhaka 1341, Bangladesh
- Department of Entrepreneurship and Marketing, Institute of Economics and Management, Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical Oil and Gas University, 76019 Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine
- Department of Accounting and Taxation, Interregional Academy of Personnel Management, 03039 Kyiv, Ukraine
- SCIRE Foundation, 00867 Warsaw, Poland
- \* Correspondence: vitaliinitsenko@onu.edu.ua; Tel.: +380-939983073

Abstract: Hospitality organisations have suffered a lot of setbacks, such as low performance caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, during which most management took drastic decisions to retrench talent, and these decisions have engendered low discretionary work behaviour and low emotional labour display amongst its talent. These maladies would have been averted if talent management practices were implemented effectively by hospitality organisations. It is based on this premise that this study investigates the effect of talent management on discretionary work behaviour in hospitality organisations in Nigeria using a survey research design. A sample frame of 820 was drawn from 60 registered hospitality organisations in the south-eastern part of Nigeria. A sample size of 263 was drawn from the sample frame. Linear regression was employed to analyse the formulated hypotheses with the aid of IBM statistical package for the social sciences version 20.0. The findings of the study show that talent management dimensions have significant effects on discretionary work behaviour in hospitality organisations in Nigeria. The study concludes that talent management measured in terms of talent attraction, talent development and talent retention have significant effects on the indicators of discretionary work behaviour. The study recommends that human resource professionals and organisational leaders should utilize talent management as a strategy to enhance discretionary work behaviour in their respective organisations.

**Keywords:** talent management; discretionary work behaviour; talent attraction; talent development; talent retention; altruism; sportsmanship; conscientiousness



Citation: Edeh, Friday Ogbu, Nurul Mohammad Zayed, Iryna Perevozova, Halyna Kryshtal, and Vitalii Nitsenko. 2022. Talent Management in the Hospitality Sector: Predicting Discretionary Work Behaviour. Administrative Sciences 12: 122. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/admsci12040122

Received: 18 August 2022 Accepted: 20 September 2022 Published: 22 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Hospitality organisations recently witnessed an unprecedented change in their functional areas of management, which was caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, and this has resulted in a high demand for potential talent that would assist the industry in bouncing back to its original state. However, in order to bounce back successfully from the abovementioned alterations, talent with discretionary work attitude need to be attracted into the hospitality industry. It is based on this premise that experts elucidated that the prosperity and sustainability of hospitality organisations depend on the discretionary work behaviour of talent that are saddled with the responsibility of ensuring that organisational goals are accomplished in line with predefined strategies (Abdou et al. 2022; Chi et al. 2021). When employees go beyond their job description, they are exhibiting discretionary work behaviour which is born out of the passion they have for the organisation, and in doing so, the organisation gains more in terms of quick service delivery, customer satisfaction,

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 2 of 13

effectiveness and high profitability. Dialoke and Edeh (2016) contended that discretionary work behaviour has engendered an increase in customer attraction and retention in the hospitality industry. In addition, employees that engage in discretionary work behaviour are much happier at workplace compared to their counterparts (Freire and Gonçalves 2021; Bilan et al. 2017). Studies have shown that discretionary work behaviour improves the well-being and job satisfaction of employees (Wang 2018). Research has also revealed that organisations that do not encourage workers to exhibit discretionary work behaviour would not be able to withstand their competitors in the same industry (Edeh et al. 2021; Edeh et al. 2020; Baharun et al. 2019). It therefore implies that discretionary work behaviour is an instrument for competitive advantage. Omoankhanlen and Issa (2022) are of the view that hospitality firms that make large profits are based on the discretionary work behaviour that its employees exhibit in the discharge of their duties in the workplace. Conversely, Zsigmond et al. (2021), in their study with regard to the hospitality business, conclude that the most significant indicator of sustainable performance in the sector is a stable customer base. However, Vuong (2022) opposed the above submission and argued that without the extra effort of employees in service sector, customers would not be retained.

In the light of the above, most hospitality businesses in sub-Saharan Africa especially in Nigeria have been faced with numerous challenges ranging from poor service delivery, low customer patronage, social loafing, inability to assist other coworkers without expecting a reward in return, lack of sportsmanship, inability to prevent problems before they occur, employee unwillingness to identify threats that could affect the smooth running of the organisation, and employee inability to go beyond their job description (Nelson 2021; Ampofo 2020; Gbadeyanka 2016). The above maladies can be resolved when hospitality organisations implement talent management practices which would motivate employees to engage in discretionary work behaviour. Studies have shown that talent management has significant relationship with workers effectiveness, workers commitment, employee performance, employee turnover, organisational engagement, employee retention, and job satisfaction (Tetik and Zaim 2021; Ingram and Nitsenko 2021; Al-Dalahmeh et al. 2020; Yousuf and Yan 2019; Edeh and Dialoke 2016).

Nevertheless, studies such as Kravariti et al. (2022), Bazaluk et al. (2022), Refan et al. (2021), Jepchumba (2021), Ramadan et al. (2021), Sopiah et al. (2020), Wangechi et al. (2020), Ollor and Harry (2020), Bagheri et al. (2020), Edeh and Mlanga (2019), Hafez et al. (2017), Thiriku and Were (2016), and Maxwell and MacLean (2008) investigated the effects, influence, relationship and role of talent management in relation to other criterion variables in the hospitality industry as well as other sectors, but none examined the effect of talent management on discretionary work behaviour in four-star hotels in Nigeria. This has created a huge gap which this study has filled. This is what motivated the researchers to investigate the effect of talent management on discretionary work behaviour in hospitality organisations, with a specific focus on four-star hotels in south eastern part of Nigeria as a study scope and geographical scope. In addition, this research is very significant because with the effective implementation of talent management practices in the hospitality industry, talent would be willing to engage in discretionary work behaviour, thereby restoring the structure that was ravaged by the COVID-19 pandemic. The choice of hospitality organisations is based on their contributions to global economic development and sustainability. The industry contributed 10.4% of global GDP in 2019, with 334 million jobs created, and dropped in 2020 to a contribution of 5.5% of global GDP and 272 million jobs, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic (World Travel and Tourism Council 2021). In Africa, for instance, the accommodation (hotel) sector of the hospitality industry increased 7.4% in terms of revenue in Nigeria, South Africa, Kenya, Mauritius, and Tanzania, while the revenue increased by 20% in Nigeria, 14.6% in Kenya, and 11.7% in Mauritius economies, respectively (PWC 2021).

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 3 of 13

### 2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

# 2.1. Talent Management (TM)

Talent management (TM) is a contemporary nomenclature of human resource management (HRM). This is a result of the dynamism arising from skill gaps and demand for new competencies, which was orchestrated by digital apparatus in the world of work. It is based on these metamorphoses that human resource professionals, practitioners and scholars began to move in that direction to avoid being shortchanged in the field of human resource practices and aligned with the current trend known as talent management (TM). Just like the traditional human resource management (HRM), which is concerned with practices of recruitment, selection, placement, training, compensation, health and safety, and performance management, talent management follows the same order, except for the changes in nomenclature. These modifications have proven the postulation offered by Koontz (1961), one of the management disciples, who posited that management is a theory jungle, implying that management has many concepts with similar synonyms, and thus, for scholars and practitioners to grasp these concepts, they must be baptized in the jungle. In line with the above postulation, scholars had defined talent management as the process of attracting talent, developing talent, and retaining talent with the aim of achieving the goals of the organisation (Bagheri et al. 2020; Kaleem 2019; Mugambwa 2018; Dialoke and Edeh 2016). Other scholars assert that talent management is a human resource activity that is concerned with selecting the best talent from the pool of individuals that applied for an available job position in the organisation (Abdulmaleek 2020; Baridula et al. 2018; Isa and Ibrahim 2014; Nzonzo and Chipfuva 2013). The above definition is misleading because it implies that talent management is about the selection of individuals that applied for a job, thereby neglecting other human resource policies or practices such as development, retention and reward. However, Bibi (2018) is of the view that talent management is human resource function that has to do with talent attraction, talent development, talent retention, and talent compensation. Other scholars perceived talent management as a process that has to do with talent identification, talent development, and talent retention (Kravariti et al. 2022; Weerasinghe 2017; Maxwell and MacLean 2008). Nonetheless, this study defines talent management as contemporary human resource practices designed to attract talent, develop talent, and retain talent for organisational continuity.

From the foregoing, several dimensions of talent management had been validated by researchers across the globe. Aina and Atan (2020) in their validation came up with talent attraction, talent retention, learning and development, and career management. Other measures from different scholars include workforce planning, talent attraction, talent development (Al-Dalahmeh et al. 2020); talent attraction, talent engagement, talent development, talent retention (Appau et al. 2021; Edeh and Mlanga 2019); leadership, talent retention, reward (Mohana et al. 2021); recruitment and selection, training, employee retention, competency management, skill gap, skill shortage (Asrar et al. 2018); and job experience, coaching, mentoring, training, succession planning, career management (Chitsaz-Isfahani and Boustani 2014). However, this study adapted talent attraction, talent development and talent retention as dimensions of talent management based on their authenticity as well as reliability in validation by different researchers, as seen in the literature above. Talent attraction is a process of identifying (recruiting) individuals that possess relevant skills required to perform a particular task in the hospitality sector (Kravariti et al. 2022; Nzonzo and Chipfuva 2013). Talent development is concerned with the activities of improving talent skills in the workplace (Bagheri et al. 2020; Watson 2008), while talent retention is the policies that could be used to prevent talent from leaving the organisation (Kravariti et al. 2022; Maxwell and MacLean 2008).

Nonetheless, regarding prior empirical evidence on talent management, Al-Dalahmeh et al. (2020) investigated talent management practices and employee turnover intention in the ICT sector of Jordan and found that talent management has a significant effect on employee turnover. Yadav (2020) examined the role of talent management in employee retention at Indian insurance firms. Yadav's result shows that talent management has a

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 4 of 13

significant correlation with the retention of employees. Mohana et al. (2021) examined the impact of talent management on the performance of employees. Their results show that talent management has a significant effect on employee performance. Appau et al. (2021) carried out empirical investigation on the influence of talent management on work performance at colleges of education in Ghana and found that talent management has significant influence on the performance of employees. Tetik and Zaim (2021) examined the effects of talent management policies on organisational engagement at Turkish holding firms. Their findings reveal that talent management is significantly associated with firm engagement. The results of the Ramadan et al. (2021) study on talent management and talent retention at Egyptian hotels indicated that talent management practices have a positive effect on the retention of talent. Ollor and Harry (2020) investigated the relationship between talent management and employee commitment at Le Meridien hotel in Nigeria and found that talent management dimensions have a significant positive association with employee commitment. Jepchumba (2021) studied the effect of talent management practices on hotel performance in Kenya and found that talent attraction, talent retention, and talent development have a significant positive effect on hotel performance. Wangechi et al. (2020) examined the effect of talent management on the service delivery of hotels in Kenya and found that talent development improves service delivery. Yeswa and Ombui (2018) investigated the influence of talent management on employee retention in Kenyan hotels and found that talent management indicators predicted employee retention. Mnim et al. (2018) investigated the correlation between talent management and innovation at hotels in Rivers state and found that talent identification (attraction), talent development, utilization and retention predicted the indicators of innovation in the hotels examined. Bibi (2018) investigated the impact of talent management on employee performance at Pakistan healthcare firms and found that talent management has significant effect on employee performance. Baba (2018) examined the impact of talent management on workplace trust and employee retention. Baba's finding revealed that talent management is associated with workplace trust and employee retention. Agarwal (2018) investigated the effects of talent management practices and firm performance on employee retention at Indian IT firms and found that talent management predicted the two criterion elements.

# 2.2. Discretionary Work Behaviour (DWB)

The term 'discretionary work behaviour' is used synonymously with organisational citizenship behaviour, prosocial behaviour and extra-role behaviour (Edeh et al. 2020; Edeh et al. 2021; Edeh and Ofoegbu 2017; Edeh and Onuba 2019; Dialoke and Edeh 2016; Eketu and Edeh 2015). Discretionary work behaviour was propounded by Bateman and Organ (1983). In their original definition, they perceived discretionary work behaviour as the behaviour of employees that is not related to the job description but is essential to the functioning of the organisation (Robbins and Judge 2018). Thus, any action that is geared toward going beyond an individual job description and specification is known as discretionary work behaviour. Other researchers viewed discretionary work behaviour as voluntary work behaviour that employees display to cover organisational leakages (Chamisa et al. 2020; Shaad 2019). In this case, a voluntary work behaviour that employees display is also discretionary. This study perceived discretionary work behaviour as a helping behaviour displayed by organisational members for the continuity of organisational existence. Employees engage in discretionary work behaviour as a result of certain factors such as motivation, job satisfaction which are the outcomes of talent management (Yaakobi and Weisberg 2020).

Dimensions of discretionary work behaviour were previously advocated and validated by Organ (1988), namely, altruism, sportsmanship, conscientiousness, courtesy and civic virtue. Altruism is a helping behaviour that is demonstrated within and outside the formal workplace. Conscientiousness is an employee's ability to abide by the organisation's rules and norms. Courtesy is about being concerned with the prevention of conflicts amongst colleagues and the ability to consider others' feelings. Civic virtue is a helping behaviour

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 5 of 13

associated with involvement in organisational activities such as meeting attendance, and defending the policies of the organisation at any place. Sportsmanship is an employee ability to tolerate work pressure without complaining.

In addition to Organ's dimensions, Graham (1989) and Graham (1991) advocated four indicators, which are interpersonal helping, individual initiative, being industrious, and loyal boosterism, and these were later modified to organisational obedience, participation, and commitment. Podsakoff et al. (2000) reconstructed their own dimensions as helping behaviour, sportsmanship, civic virtue, loyalty to organisation and compliance, individual initiative, and self-development. Drawing from the literature review, the research hypotheses are hereby developed.

#### 2.2.1. Talent Attraction and Altruism

Talent attraction is crucial to the altruistic behaviour of attracted employees in the hospitality industry. Altruistic behaviour takes place whenever recruitment processes followed sequential procedures ranging from selection to proper placement (Chamisa et al. 2020). These processes produce positive organisational behaviour which enhances organisational growth and sustainability (Shaad 2019). Studies have shown that talent selection requires much time to avoid bringing in pretenders without the relevant skills required in the contemporary workplace (Dayel et al. 2020). Another group of scholars opined that attracting talent in the workplace may not necessarily be an issue, but what might be an issue is the ability to identify those that are willing to exhibit helping behaviour that would salvage hospitality organisations that were adversely affected by COVID-19 pandemic (Thunnissen and Buttiens 2017; Dialoke and Edeh 2016). Thus, talent attraction is the entry point that leads to proper placement, and thereafter, these employees learn to display altruistic behaviour that fosters the prosperity and sustainability of hospitality businesses. Dayel et al. (2020) assert that when talent observed that the process through which other colleagues were attracted did not breach any part of the psychological contract, they would be motivated to engage in discretionary work behaviour. Aina and Atan (2020) are of the view that during a job interview, human resource professionals should endeavour to look out for altruistic behaviour amongst selected talent as this would bring sanity in the formal workplace, especially in the post-COVID-19 work environment. Helping behaviour has been attributed to the proper selection of talent during the encounter stage of socialization within the organisation (Dayel et al. 2020). This implies that altruistic behaviour must be part of talent behaviour before joining the organisation. However, in the event of identifying talent, human resource practitioners must watch out for altruistic behaviour traits during the selection process to avoid a high turnover, which would cost the organisation a lot of resources in starting another recruitment process. It is against this backdrop that Appau et al. (2021) assert that talent attraction, if properly implemented, has the capacity to predict discretionary work behaviour in the hospitality industry. It is against this premise that the first research hypothesis is formulated as thus.

**H1.** Talent attraction has a significant effect on altruism.

## 2.2.2. Talent Development and Sportsmanship

Studies have shown that talent development has positive significant effect on discretionary work behaviour in service organisations (Manenzhe and Ngirande 2021; Kamarul 2011). Thus, the development of talent is significant for any organisation aiming to retain industry leadership. This is because without developing talent, their skills will be out of place, leading to poor performance and social loafing, quite apart from ineffectiveness in rendering services to customers (Rubel and Rahman 2018; Deery 2008; Hughes and Rog 2008). It has been shown that talent that received development opportunities from their organisation are likely to be normatively committed to the goals and philosophies of that organisation (Tumwesigye et al. 2020; Bagheri et al. 2020; Nzonzo and Chipfuva 2013). This implies that the development of talent skills adds value to the organisation in terms of higher productivity orchestrated by talent discretionary behaviour. This argument

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 6 of 13

is in line with the Nawangsari and Sutawidjaya (2018) submission that individuals who receives one form of development opportunities in the organisation would, in one way or another, contribute to the success and prosperity of that organisation through discretionary behaviour. Prior studies have shown that skills development is very important, especially as most hospitality organisations across the globe were adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic restriction policies (Vuong 2022). In line with the above contentions, the second hypothesis is hereby developed.

**H2.** Talent development has a significant effect on sportsmanship.

#### Talent retention and Conscientiousness

Retention of talent is a prerequisite for conscientiousness to be displayed in the workplace especially in the service oriented organisations such as hospitality industry (Olendo and Muindi 2017). Retaining talent is crucial when it comes to conscientiousness displayed in the workplace. After talent has been recruited (attracted) through the formal processes, the next stage is to continuously develop their skills and knowledge using different training approaches (Maxwell and MacLean 2008; Watson 2008). The essence of doing this is to equip talent with the latest skills that hospitality organisations require to survive in the affected COVID-19 pandemic environment. Rahman and Chowdhur (2018a) assert that for talent to avoid leaving their organisation to go elsewhere, it is the responsibility of human resource professionals to employ several retention strategies such as direct and indirect compensation. Thus, the retention of talent has direct effects on their conscientiousness, which is a helping behaviour of working for extra hours in the workplace as well as obedience to the rules guiding the organisation (Sivapragasam and Raya 2018). Keeping talent in the organisation for a longer period of time results in employees working extra hours. Thus, human resource scholars and practitioners have opined that retaining people in the organisation has a greater positive effects on encouraging them to put more effort into working extra hours in order to ameliorate COVID-19 pandemic disruptions (Zanuchi et al. 2017; Makau et al. 2017). This means that the high rate of turnover is a function of not retaining valuable talent that have the keys to certain areas of the organisation (Baki 2021; Rahman et al. 2018b). In line with the above, talent retention improves the conscientiousness of talent to display discretionary behaviour in the organisation. Sarker (2017) contended that talent that work extra hours do so because they were retained for number of years. Therefore, talent that work extra hours in most hospitality organisations do so when they perceived that they would not be laid off since their knowledge is valued by the management. It is based on this argument that the third hypothesis is formulated as

H3. Talent retention has a significant effect on conscientiousness.

# 2.3. Theoretical Underpinning

The theories that explain this study are human capital theory popularized by Gary Becker and Theodore Schultz (Becker 1964) and social exchange theory by Homans (1958). Human capital theory affirms that people in the formal workplace are valued resources due to their skills and knowledge, which gives the organisation advantage over their competitors (Pogodayev 2013; Thunnissen 2016; Oliinyk et al. 2021). Mkamburi and Kamaara (2017) argued that human capital theory assumes that individual talent represents the intellectual capital in the organisation. Social exchange theory, on the other hand, affirms that employees exhibit certain behaviours that are positive in the workplace because of the expected reward attached to the behaviour (Ivancevich et al. 2014; Robbins and Judge 2018; Tsaras et al. 2018). In this case, employees display discretionary work behaviour by going beyond their job description and specifications with the high expectation of a reward from management.

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 7 of 13

#### 3. Materials and Methods

Survey research design was adopted in this study because it supports the use of a primary source of data such as a questionnaire to collect data from participants over the course of a week, a number of months or a year with the specified geographical scope (Sekaran and Bougie 2016; Saunders et al. 2009). Target population of 60 4-star hotels that are registered with Enugu State Ministry of Commerce and Tourism were sampled with simple random sampling technique. Sample frame of 820 participants—HR manager, supervisor, operations manager, accountant and junior level employees—were surveyed from 60 selected 4-star hotels in Enugu State, Nigeria. Sample size of 263 was determined from the sample frame of 820 with Krejcie and Morgan (1970). Participants' consent was sought formally through their head of operations, such that research on talent management and discretionary work behaviour would be carried out by a group of researchers and that their cooperation was needed. Participants were also informed that their identity would not be made public; rather, their responses would be used for the purposes of the research. It was after this that the researchers administered 263 copies of the questionnaire to the participants face to face, since COVID-19 protocols were no longer in place, and it was discovered that 240 copies of the questionnaire were filled correctly, while 21 copies were wrongly filled. The reason why 21 copies of the instrument were identified as wrongly filled is because the participants did not tick some of the options provided in the instrument. Thus, they were sorted out from the ones that were filled correctly. Copies that were correctly filled were found valid and used for analysis.

A 14-item validated Talent Management Questionnaire (TMQ) consisting of talent attraction, talent development and talent retention was adapted from Lyria (2014). These indicators were further affirmed by Aina and Atan (2020) in their empirical investigation. On the other hand, the English version of the Podsakoff et al. (1990) 14-item adjusted and validated questionnaire was used to measure Discretionary Work Behaviour (DWB) measures, which are altruism, conscientiousness, and sportsmanship in the organisation. All indicators were ranked as follows: 5 = strongly agree; 4 = agree; 3 = disagree; 2 = strongly disagree; 1 = neither agree nor disagree. Frequency distribution was used to analyse participants' demographic characteristics, while linear regression was used to analyse the formulated research hypotheses with the aid of IBM statistical package for the social sciences (20.0).

#### 4. Results

Table 1 (below) shows the demographic profiles results of the participants in this study. The gender of the participants revealed that 86 respondents (representing 35.8%) are females, while 154 respondents (representing 64.2%) are males, implying that males are greater in number in the hospitality industry under investigation. The working experience of the respondents indicated that 123 participants (representing 51.3%) have worked in the hospitality industry for 6-10 years; 31 participants (representing 12.9%) have worked in the industry for 11 years and above; and 86 respondents (representing 35.8%) have worked in the hospitality industry. On the age bracket of the participants, 87 respondents (representing 36.3%) fall within the range of 46 years of age and above; 113 participants (representing 47.1%) fall within the range of 36–45 years of age; and 40 respondents (representing 16.7%) fall within the range of 20–35 years of age. The educational qualifications of the participants revealed that 8 respondents (representing 3.3%) hold a PhD degree; 46 participants (representing 19.2%) are master's degree holders; 78 participants (representing 32.5%) hold diploma certificates; and 108 respondents (representing 45.0%) hold a bachelor's degree. This implies that participants with a bachelor's degree are greater in number compared to other respondents with several educational qualifications.

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 8 of 13

**Table 1.** Demographic profiles of participants.

Demographic	Frequency	Percentage (%)		
Gender				
Female	86	35.8		
Male	154	64.2		
Working Experience				
11 years and above	31	12.9		
6–10 years	123	51.3		
1–5 years	86	35.8		
Age-bracket				
46 years and above	87	36.3		
36–45 years	113	47.1		
20–35 years	40	16.7		
Educational Qualification				
PhD	8	3.3		
Master's degree	46	19.2		
Diploma certificate	78	32.5		
Bachelor's degree	108	45.0		

Source: Survey

Regression results in Table 2 (below) revealed that talent management dimensions (talent attraction, talent development, talent retention) have significant effects on discretionary work behaviour in hospitality organisations. Specifically, the result shows that talent attraction has a significant positive effect on altruistic behaviour in hospitality organisations (0.669 \*; p < 0.05) with an increase in progression followed by talent development, which also has significant and positive effects on sportsmanship (0.703 \*; p < 0.05). This is also followed by talent retention, which is significant and has positive effects on conscientiousness (0.844 \*; p < 0.05). The  $R^2$  of the models shows that 45% of the total variation in talent attraction can be explained by altruism. The second model indicated indicates that 49% of total variation in talent development can be explained by sportsmanship, while the third model revealed that 71% of total variation in talent retention can be explained by conscientiousness. The results also show that the difference between R<sup>2</sup> and adjusted R<sup>2</sup> in the models is less than 5%, which satisfies the absence of a sample error (Saunders et al. 2009). The authenticity of the models was significant, since the F Stat. values calculated (192.797; 232.586; 590.363) are greater than tabulated 3.89, which affirms the rejections of null hypotheses, while alternate hypotheses are accepted.

**Table 2.** Hypotheses results.

R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	T-Stat.	Beta (β)	df	N	F Stat.	Std. Error	Sig.
0.669	0.448	0.445	13.885	0.669 *	3.89	204	192.797	0.045	0.000
0.703	0.494	0.492	15.251	0.703 *	3.89	204	232.586	0.048	0.000
0.844	0.713	0.711	24.297	0.844 *	3.89	204	590.363	0.036	0.000

Predictor: Talent Management (talent attraction, talent development, talent retention). Criterion: Discretionary work behaviour (altruism, sportsmanship, conscientiousness).

# 5. Discussion

Drawing from the results above, it was found that talent management dimensions have significant effects on the measures of discretionary work behaviour, which affirms that talent management is a predictor of discretionary work behaviour in the hospitality industry. The finding of this study has shown that talent management practices aimed at attracting, developing, and retaining have strong potential to contribute to changing methodologies used to manage talent in the hospitality industry (Maxwell and MacLean 2008). In specific terms, it was found that talent attraction has significant positive effects on

Adm. Sci. 2022, 12, 122 9 of 13

altruism. This result corresponds with the Jepchumba (2021) finding which revealed that talent attraction, talent retention, and talent development has a significant positive effect on hotel performance. In support of the above result, the Ollor and Harry (2020) finding indicated that talent management dimensions have a significant positive association with employee commitment in Le Meridien hotel in Nigeria. On the other hand, talent development has significant effect on sportsmanship. This is in congruence with the Wangechi et al. (2020) result which revealed that talent development has a significant positive effect on service delivery in selected hotels in Kenya. In addition, the Yeswa and Ombui (2018) result revealed that talent management indicators predicted employee retention in Kenyan hotels. Lastly, it was found that talent retention has a significant effect on conscientiousness. This result corroborated the Mnim et al. (2018) finding which revealed that the indicators of talent management such as talent attraction, talent development, talent utilization, and talent retention have a significant association with innovation in Nigerian hotels. Another finding that supports the hypothesis three result is the Ramadan et al. (2021) result, which affirmed that talent management practices have a significant effect on employee retention in Egyptian hotels.

Research has shown that talent do not really want to leave their organisation for another, but their decision could be changed when they perceived that they were not being remunerated appropriately (Kaleem 2019; Baba 2018). From the foregoing findings, it can be deduced that most of the previous aforementioned empirical evidence in the literature failed to oppose any of the three results reported. The reasons are that hotel businesses across the world have a common culture and can easily absorb any forces of change from the external work environment (Nzonzo and Chipfuva 2013; Maxwell and MacLean 2008).

#### 6. Conclusions

In line with the findings, this study concludes that talent management measured in terms of talent attraction, talent development and talent retention has significant effects on the indicators of discretionary work behaviour. Attracting talent is an essential part of human resource management function especially when there is a need to fill a vacant position, but it does not end at that. Attracted talent must be equipped with current technological skills, service innovations and customer retention strategies through continuous training. Thereafter, retaining talent becomes the last option for the human resource function to implement using both direct and indirect compensation packages. It was based on these findings that the study affirms that discretionary work behaviour can be promoted through effective implementation of talent management in the hospitality industry. The implications of this study are that human resource professionals and organisational leaders should utilize talent management as a strategy to enhance discretionary work behaviour in their respective organisations. The results of this study have further affirmed the benefit of talent management on improving hospitality business performance and sustainability through discretionary work behaviour of talent in the hospitality industry. The implications of this study are that leaders of hospitality organisations, such as managers and supervisors, should engage the services of human resource professionals who would assist them to identify potential talent that are capable of withstanding any changes that tend to disrupt their stability. Secondly, recruited talent should be trained on a yearly or quarterly basis in order to adapt to changing technologies in the hospitality industry. Lastly, recruited talent should be rewarded with various compensation policies that would prevent them from leaving for another organisation. Regarding limitations, the use of one method of data collection is already a shortfall, and hence, methodological paradigm triangulation should be used in future investigations as it supports both qualitative and quantitative methods. In addition, the absence of moderating or contextual factors may have limited the research. Thus, subsequent investigation should include a moderating variable to discover if the findings would be the same. Another limitation of this research is concerned with the number of questionnaires that were wrongly filled by the participants. The result of the study would have been different assuming all the instruments were correctly filled by the

respondents. Lastly, the finding of this study may not be generalised, since the geographical scope was Nigeria, and thus, future investigations should explore both developing and developed countries before a generalisation can be established.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, F.O.E. and N.M.Z.; methodology, V.N. and F.O.E.; software, N.M.Z. and I.P.; validation, H.K., N.M.Z. and I.P.; formal analysis, F.O.E. and V.N.; investigation, F.O.E.; data curation, H.K.; writing—original draft preparation, F.O.E. and N.M.Z.; writing—review and editing, F.O.E. and V.N.; visualization, I.P.; supervision, V.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Ethical review and approval were waived for this study because the identities of the participants were not mentioned.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

Abdou, Ahmed Hassan, Ayman Ahmed Farag Khalil, Hassan Marzok Elsayed Mahmoud, Mohamed Ahmed Elsaied, and Ahmed Anwar Elsaed. 2022. The impact of hospitality work environment on employees' turnover intentions During COVID-19 Pandemic: The mediating role of work-family conflict. Frontiers in Psychology 13: 890418. [CrossRef]

Abdulmaleek, O. Abdulazeez. 2020. Talent management strategies and employees' retention in Chi Farms Ltd. (Ajanla Farm), Oyo State, Nigeria. World Journal of Business and Management 6: 1–14. [CrossRef]

Agarwal, Tamanna. 2018. Effect of talent management practices and organisational performance on employee retention: Evidence from Indian IT Firms. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management* 20: 42–52. [CrossRef]

Aina, Al Riham, and Tarik Atan. 2020. The Impact of Implementing Talent Management Practices on Sustainable Organizational Performance. *Sustainability* 12: 8372. [CrossRef]

Al-Dalahmeh, Maha, Mária Héder-Rima, and Krisztina Dajnoki. 2020. The effect of talent management practices on employee turnover intention in the information and communication technologies (ICTs) sector: Case of Jordan. *Problems and Perspectives in Management* 18: 59–71. [CrossRef]

Ampofo, Justice Agyei. 2020. Contributions of the hospitality industry (HOTELS) in the development of WA municipality in Ghana. *International Journal of Advanced Economics* 2: 21–38. [CrossRef]

Appau, Bright Korankye, Edward Marfo-Yiadom, and Lawrence Yaw Kusi. 2021. Performance implication of talent management and innovative work behaviour in colleges of education in Ghana. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration* 7: 1–10.

Asrar, Zaeema, Tehseen Azhar, and Mudassir Hussain. 2018. Effect of talent management on the success of organization development. Archives of Business Research 6: 90–103. [CrossRef]

Baba, Mubashir Majid. 2018. Examining the impact of talent management on organizational trust and employee retention. *Asian Journal of Managerial Science* 7: 46–52.

Bagheri, Moslem, Tom Baum, Abolghasem Ebrahimi, and Abbas Abbasi. 2020. Talent management in the tourism and hospitality industry: Evidence from Iran. *Anatolia* 31: 88–98. [CrossRef]

Baharun, Rohaizat, Tock Jing Mi, Dalia Streimikiene, Abbas Mardani, Jawaria Shakeel, and Vitalii Nitsenko. 2019. Innovation in healthcare performance among private brand's healthcare services in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica* 16: 151–72. [CrossRef]

Baki, Rahmi. 2021. An integrated, multi-criteria approach based on environmental, economic, social, and competency criteria for supplier selection. *RAIRO-Operations Research* 55: 1487–500.

Baridula, Vito, Michael Alagala Barifa, and Naakuu Kpobari Joseph. 2018. Talent management as predictor of employee commitment of deposit money banks in Port Harcourt. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research* 4: 50–66.

Bateman, Thomas S., and Dennis W. Organ. 1983. Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee citizenship. *Academy of Management Journal* 26: 587–95.

Bazaluk, Oleg, Olha Yatsenko, Nataliia Reznikova, Ivanna Bibla, Nataliia Karasova, and Vitalii Nitsenko. 2022. International Integration Processes Influence on Welfare of Country. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* 23: 382–98. [CrossRef]

Becker, Gary S. 1964. Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education. New York: Colombia University Press.

Bibi, Munaza. 2018. Impact of talent management practices on employee performance. Seisense Journal of Management 2: 22–32. [CrossRef]

Bilan, Yuriy, Mykola Zos-Kior, Vitalii Nitsenko, Uladzimir Sinelnikau, and Valerii Ilin. 2017. Social component in sustainable management of land resources. *Journal of Security and Sustainability* 7: 107–20. [CrossRef]

Chamisa, Shingirayi F., Temba Q. Mjoli, and Tatenda S. Mhlanga. 2020. Psychological capital and organisational citizenship behaviour in selected public hospitals in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa. SA Journal of Human Resource Management/SATydskrif vir Menslikehulpbronbestuur 18: a1247. [CrossRef]

- Chi, Oscar Hengxuan, Asim Saldamli, and Dogan Gursoy. 2021. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on management-level hotel employees' work behaviors: Moderating effects of working-from-home. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 98: 103020. [CrossRef]
- Chitsaz-Isfahani, Ali, and HamidReza Boustani. 2014. Effects of talent management on employees retention: The mediate effect of organizational trust. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences* 3: 114–28. [CrossRef]
- Dayel, Wejdan, Yaw A. Debrah, and John Mulyata. 2020. To explore the effect of talent management developments in Saudi healthcare sector. *Management Studies* 8: 1–13.
- Deery, Margaret. 2008. Talent management, work-life balance and retention strategies. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 20: 792–806. [CrossRef]
- Dialoke, Ikechukwu, and Friday Ogbu Edeh. 2016. Correlational analysis of workers extra-role behaviour and organizational socialization: A study of road passenger transport operators in Umuahia, Abia State. *Nigerian Journal of Management Sciences* 5: 128–41. [CrossRef]
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, and Ikechukwu Dialoke. 2016. Talent management strategies and workers' effectiveness. A study of selected hotels in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *International Journal of Knowledge Management and Practices* 5: 32–44.
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, and Chinwe Obiora Onuba. 2019. Organisational change and employee extra-role behaviour: A study of selected private secondary schools in Rivers state, Nigeria. *Rhema University Journal of Management and Social Sciences* 7: 149–59.
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, and Sunday Mlanga. 2019. Talent management and workers' commitment to oil and gas firms in Nigeria. *Seisense Journal of Management* 2: 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, and Wilson Chukwuemeka Ofoegbu. 2017. Procedural justice and workers' prosocial behaviour of selected deposit money banks in Abia state. *International Journal of Business Systems and Economics* 11: 78–87.
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, Chukwu Agnes Ugboego, Ngozi Ukamaka Azubuike, and Obiageri Felicitas Onwuegbul. 2020. Knowledge management and extra-role behaviour: The role of organisational culture. *Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 1–10.
- Edeh, Friday Ogbu, Georgina Edeoga, Chukwu Agnes Ugboego, Linus Adama, Chiamaka Eunice Oketa, and Ukamaka Ngozi Azubuike. 2021. Fostering prosocial work behaviour through organisational politics. *Fudutsinma Journal of Management Sciences* 4: 266–78.
- Eketu, Continue Anddison, and Friday Ogbu Edeh. 2015. The role of social intelligence on workers' extra-role behaviour of independent road transport companies in Port Harcourt. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention* 4: 34–40.
- Freire, Carla, and Joana Gonçalves. 2021. The Relationship between Responsible Leadership and Organizational Citizenship Behavior in the Hospitality Industry. *Sustainability* 13: 4705. [CrossRef]
- Gbadeyanka, Modupe. 2016. Challenges facing growth of tourism in Africa. *Business Post*. November 9. Available online: https://businesspost.ng/travel/challenges-facing-growth-tourism-africa/ (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Graham, Jill W. 1989. Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Construct Redefinition, Operationalization, and Validation. Unpublished Working Paper. Chicago: Loyola University of Chicago.
- Graham, Jill W. 1991. An essay on organizational citizenship behavior. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal* 4: 249–70. [CrossRef] Hafez, Eglal, Reem AbouelNeel, and Eahab Elsaid. 2017. An exploratory study on how talent management affects employee retention and job satisfaction for personnel administration in Ain Shams University Egypt. *Journal of Management and Strategy* 8: 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Homans, George C. 1958. Social behaviour as exchange. American Journal of Sociology 63: 597-606. [CrossRef]
- Hughes, Julia Christensen, and Evelina Rog. 2008. Talent management: A strategy for improving employee recruitment, retention and engagement within hospitality organizations. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 20: 743–57. [CrossRef]
- Ingram, Keisha LaRaine, and Vitalii Nitsenko. 2021. Comparative analysis of public management models. *Naukovyi Visnyk Natsionalnoho Hirnychoho Universytetu* 4: 122–27. [CrossRef]
- Isa, Aerni, and Hazril Izwar Ibrahim. 2014. Talent management practices and employee engagement: A study in Malaysian GLCs. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law* 4: 64–70.
- Ivancevich, John M., Robert Konopaske, and Michael T. Matteson. 2014. *Organizational Behavior and Management*, 10th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Jepchumba, Cheraisi Emmy. 2021. Effect of Talent Management Practices on Organizational Performance: A Case of Hotels in South Rift Region, Kenya. Ph.D. thesis, Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya. Available online: http://ir-library.egerton.ac.ke/handle/12 3456789/2757 (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Kaleem, Mahmoud. 2019. The influence of talent management on performance of employee in public sector institutions of the UAE. *Public Administration Research* 8: 8–23. [CrossRef]
- Kamarul, Zaman Ahmad. 2011. The association between training and organizational citizenship behavior in the digital world. *Communications of the IBIMA* 2011: 1–11.
- Koontz, Harold. 1961. The management theory jungle. The Journal of the Academy of Management 4: 174–88.

Kravariti, Foteini, Katerina Voutsina, Konstantinos Tasoulis, Chianu Dibia, and Karen Johnston. 2022. Talent management in hospitality and tourism: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 34: 321–60. [CrossRef]

- Krejcie, Robert V., and Daryle W. Morgan. 1970. Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 30: 607–10. [CrossRef]
- Lyria, Rita Kagwiria. 2014. Effect of Talent Management on Organizational Performance in Companies Listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. Ph.D. thesis, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Juja, Kenya. Available online: http://ir.jkuat.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/123456789/1571/Lyria%2C%20Rita%20Kagwiria%20%E2%80%93%20PhD%20 Human%20Resource%20Management-2014.pdf?sequence=1andisAllowed=y (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Makau, Mary Mwongeli, Joyce Nzulwa, and Samuel W. Wabala. 2017. Influence of compensation programs on organizational citizenship behavior among employees of Kenya women microfinance bank limited. *Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management* 4: 587–604.
- Manenzhe, Portia M., and Hlanganipai Ngirande. 2021. The influence of compensation, training and development on organisational citizenship behaviour. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology/SA Tydskrif vir Bedryfsielkunde* 47: a1845. [CrossRef]
- Maxwell, Gillian A., and Samantha MacLean. 2008. Talent management in hospitality and tourism in Scotland: Operational implications and strategic actions. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 20: 820–30. [CrossRef]
- Mkamburi, Mary, and Mary Kamaara. 2017. Influence of talent management on employee performance at the United Nations: A case of World Food Programme. *The Strategic Journal of Business and Change Management* 2: 28–48.
- Mnim, Olaka Loveday Owate, Okafor Lawrence Chima, and Ulo Fabin U. 2018. Talent Management and Innovation in Indigenous Hotels in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)* 20: 31–40.
- Mohana, S., Kathari Santosh, M. Rama Kumari, and Kasa Sudarsan. 2021. Impact of talent management on employee job performance in information technology (IT) Sector: An empirical study of Chennai City. *Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology* 18: 1–14.
- Mugambwa, Stephen Mukasa. 2018. The Effect of Talent Management Practices on Employee Retention in State Corporations in Uganda: A Case of National Social Security Fund. Ph.D. thesis, Strathmore Business School, Strathmore University, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Nawangsari, Lenny Christina, and Ahmad Hidayat Sutawidjaya. 2018. The impact of human resources practices affecting organization citizenship behaviour with mediating job satisfaction in University. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research* 200: 291–97.
- Nelson, Shane. 2021. The Top Challenges Facing the Hotel Industry for 2021. Available online: https://www.travelagewest.com/ Travel/Hotels/Hotel-Hospitality-Trends-2021-COVID (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Nzonzo, Jennifer Chishamiso, and Tsitsi Chipfuva. 2013. Managing talent in the tourism and hospitality sector: A conceptual view point. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences* 3: 92–97.
- Olendo, Ombanda Paul, and Florence Muindi. 2017. The perceived relationship between employee retention practices and organization citizenship behaviour at D.T. Dobie (K) Ltd. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* 7: 320–49.
- Oliinyk, Olena, Yuriy Bilan, Halyna Mishchuk, Oleksandr Akimov, and László Vasa. 2021. The impact of migration of highly skilled workers on the country's competitiveness and economic growth. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics* 17: 7–19. [CrossRef]
- Ollor, Helen Y., and Christina O. Harry. 2020. Talent management and employee commitment in the hospitality industry: A study of Le Meridien hotel. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies* 8: 1–13.
- Omoankhanlen, Joseph Akhigbe, and Taiye Eletu Issa. 2022. Impression management and organizational citizenship behaviour of hotels in Rivers State, Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management Research* 6: 278–85. [CrossRef]
- Organ, Dennis W. 1988. Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Good Soldier Syndrome. Lexington: Lexington Books.
- Podsakoff, Philip M., Scott B. MacKenzie, Julie Beth Paine, and Daniel G. Bachrach. 2000. Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management* 26: 513–63. [CrossRef]
- Podsakoff, Philip M., Scott B. Mackenzie, Robert H. Moorman, and Richard Fetter. 1990. Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction and organizational citizenship behavior. *The Leadership Quarterly* 1: 107–42. [CrossRef]
- Pogodayev, Sergiy Eugene. 2013. Marketing of works as a source of the new hybrid offerings in widened marketing of goods, works and services. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing* 28: 638–48. [CrossRef]
- PWC. 2021. Hospitality Outlook: 2019–2023. Available online: https://www.pwc.com/ng/en/publications/hospitality-outlook.html (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Rahman, Md. H. Asibur, and Abu Sayef Md. Muntaquimul Bari Chowdhur. 2018a. Effect of employee compensation on organizational citizenship behavior (OCB): A study on private commercial banks in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management* VI: 848–63.
- Rahman, Mushfiqur, Chima Mordi, and Uzoechi Nwagbara. 2018b. Factors influencing E-HRM implementation in government organisations: Case studies from Bangladesh. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 31: 247–75. [CrossRef]
- Ramadan, Ahmed, Mohamed Abo Taleb, Yasser Abdel-Aty, and Tamer Mohamed Amer. 2021. Talent Management Practices in the Egyptian Hotels: Talent Retention Perspective. *Journal of the Faculty of Tourism and Hotels-University of Sadat City* 5: 1–14.

Refan, Galuh Syailendra, Suciratin Anita Maharani, and Dewi Tamara. 2021. Talent management and employee retention with organizational trust as mediating in insurance industry. *Psychology and Education* 58: 3776–90. [CrossRef]

- Robbins, Stephen P., and Timothy A. Judge. 2018. Essentials of Organizational Behavior. Global Edition. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Rubel, Md., and Md. H Asibur Rahman. 2018. Effect of training and development on organizational citizenship behavior (OCB): An evidence from private commercial banks in Bangladesh. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: A Administration and Management* 18: 71–80.
- Sarker, Abdus Salam. 2017. Human resource management practices and employee performance in banking sector of Bangladesh. *Journal of Human Resource Management* 20: 60–80.
- Saunders, Mark, Philip Lewis, and Adrian Thornhill. 2009. *Research Methods for Business Students*, 5th ed. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Sekaran, Uma, and Roger Bougie. 2016. Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach, 7th ed. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Shaad, Habeeb. 2019. A proposed instrument for assessing organizational citizenship behavior in BFSI companies in India. Cogent Business and Management 6: 1–20. [CrossRef]
- Sivapragasam, Panneerselvam, and Rampalli Raya. 2018. HRM and employee engagement link: Mediating role of employee wellbeing. *Global Business Review* 19: 147–61. [CrossRef]
- Sopiah, Sopiah, Didiek Tri Kurniawan, Elfia Nora, and Bagus Shandy Narmaditya. 2020. Does talent management affect employee performance? The moderating role of work engagement. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 7: 335–41. [CrossRef]
- Tetik, Selman, and Halil Zaim. 2021. Effects of talent management practices on organizational engagement: A quasi-experimental study. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics* 14: 91–109. [CrossRef]
- Thiriku, Magdalene, and Susan Were. 2016. Effect of talent management strategies on employee retention among private firms in Kenya: A case of Data Centre Ltd.—Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration* 2: 145–57.
- Thunnissen, Marian, and Dorien Buttiens. 2017. Talent management in public sector organizations: A study on the impact of contextual factors on the TM approach in Flemish and Dutch public sector organizations. *Public Personnel Management* 46: 391–418. [CrossRef] Thunnissen, Marian. 2016. Talent management. *Employee Relations* 38: 57–72. [CrossRef]
- Tsaras, Konstantinos, Ioanna V. Papathanasiou, Viktor Vus, Antigoni Panagiotopoulou, Maria Alexandra Katsou, Martha Kelesi, and Evangelos C. Fradelos. 2018. Predicting factors of depression and anxiety in mental health nurses: A quantitative cross-sectional study. *Medical Archives (Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina)* 72: 62–67. [CrossRef]
- Tumwesigye, Godfrey, David Onen, and John C. S. Musaaz. 2020. Human resource management practices, organisation citizenship behaviour and turnover intentions in public universities: The mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science* 33: 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Vuong, Bui Nhat. 2022. The impact of human resource management practices on service-oriented organizational citizenship behaviors: Does positive psychological capital matter? *Cogent Psychology* 9: 2080324. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Qi. 2018. Employee's organization citizenship behavior in hotels of management contract and its relationship with job satisfaction. *Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management* 5: 394–403.
- Wangechi, Edward Mwangi, Peter Koome, and Paul Gesimba. 2020. Effect of talent management on service delivery in the hospitality industry: A critical approach to learning and development process. *International Journal of Research in Business & Social Science* 9: 91–99.
- Watson, Sandra. 2008. Where are we now? A review of management development issues in the hospitality and tourism sector: Implications for talent management. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 20: 758–80. [CrossRef]
- Weerasinghe, Gamini. 2017. Impact of talent management on employee retention: A study carried out on travel agency in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development* 4: 54–58.
- World Travel and Tourism Council. 2021. Economic Impact Reports. Available online: https://wttc.org/Research/Economic-Impact (accessed on 7 September 2022).
- Yaakobi, Erez, and Jacob Weisberg. 2020. Organizational citizenship behavior predicts quality, creativity, and efficiency performance: The roles of occupational and collective efficacies. *Frontiers in Psychology* 11: 758. [CrossRef]
- Yadav, Babita. 2020. Role of talent management practices on employee retention: A correlation study with special focus on selected Indian life insurance companies. *EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review-Peer Reviewed Journal* 8: 61–66. [CrossRef]
- Yeswa, Lydia Salima, and Kepha Ombui. 2018. Influence of talent management strategies on employee retention in hotel industry in Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration* 3: 83–103.
- Yousuf, Kamal Abdi, and Qian Yan. 2019. The impact of talent management on employee retention in Somali. *Journal of Research in Business, Economics and Management* 13: 2494–97.
- Zanuchi, Amadi Blessing, Seth Accra Jaja, and Ojiabo Ukoha. 2017. An empirical study on relationship between employee engagement and organizational citizenship behavior in maritime firms, Onne, Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research* | Social & Management Sciences 3: 16–32.
- Zsigmond, Tibor, Renáta Machová, and Annamária Zsigmondová. 2021. Strategic management from the perspective of SMEs operating in service sector. *Quality Innovation Prosperity* 25: 37–53. [CrossRef]